



## LIFE OF NAPOLEON

## CHAPTER

FROM HIS BIRTH TO THE PERIOD OF THE SIEGE  
OF TOULON

Birth of Napoleon, his parentage and family, his illustrious ancestry, curious request of the Abbot Gregorio Buonaparte, inscription in the church of San Miniato, Buonaparte's disregard of descent, his early years, described by himself, enters the school at Brienne, his poverty, proficiency in mathematics, warlike amusements, attack on a snow fort, Pichegru, his private tutor, Madame de Brienne, characteristic reply of young Napoleon, his removal to the military school at Paris, his fame predicted by his master, L'Eguille, obtains a brevet of lieutenancy in the regiment of La Fère, death of his father, his first garrison duty, narrowly escapes drowning at Lyon, made a captain at Grenoble, disbands the regiment of La Fère for ill conduct, gains a gold medal from the college at Lyon, Talleyrand's servility, *History of Corsica* composed by Napoleon, his ardent defence of republicanism; is accidentally prevented from publishing it, prints a pamphlet against M. Buttafoco, the Corsican deputy, whilst lodging at a barber's at Auxonne, is disliked for his reserve, calls there on his way to Marengo, occurrences during his stay at Auxonne, his disputation with the aristocrats, General Paoli recalled to Corsica, Buonaparte visits the island, and quiets a tumult at Ajaccio, is accused of having incited it, goes to Paris to defend himself, excesses of the French populace, drawing-room politics, Buonaparte returns to Corsica, joins Admiral Truguet in an attack on Sardinia, his first military enterprise, failure of Paoli's attempt to wrest Corsica from France, his flight to England and death, revolt at Marseilles, Buonaparte sent to quell it, surrender of Toulon, falls in love with Mademoiselle Clary, afterwards the wife of Bernadotte

NAPOLEON BUONAPARTE was born at Ajaccio in the island of Corsica, on the fifteenth day of August, 1769. He was the son of Charles Buonaparte, an advocate in the royal court of assize, and of Letitia Ramolini,

his wife, a Corsican lady of great beauty, and of a good family, descended from that of Colalto at Naples. He had four brothers, born of the same parents; Joseph (elder than himself), Lucien, Louis, and Jerome; and three sisters, Eliza, Caroline, and Pauline. In the register of his marriage with Josephine Beauharnais, which took place the 9th of March 1796, the 5th of February 1768 is given as the date of his birth, and his name is signed NAPOLEONE BUONAPARTE. He was baptised the 21st of July 1771\*.

The ancestors of Buonaparte on the father's side came originally from San Miniato in Tuscany: some of the family held the sovereign power at Treviso. In the middle ages they figured as senators in the republics of Florence, Bologna, Sarzana, or as prelates of the church of Rome. They were allied to the Medici, the Orsini, and Lomellini families. While some of them were engaged in conducting the public affairs of their native cities, others devoted themselves to literary pursuits at the period of the revival of learning in Italy. Giuseppe Buonaparte published one of the earliest regular comedies of that age (1568), entitled "The Widow," copies of which are still extant in the libraries of Italy, and in the Royal Library at Paris, where is also preserved "The History of the Sacking of Rome by the Imperialists under the Constable de Bourbon in 1527," of which Jacopo Buonaparte is the author. He was a contemporary and an eye-witness, and his narrative is much esteemed.† When Buonaparte marched upon Rome, literary men, who are ingenious in finding out trifling coincidences, remarked that since the time of Charlemagne this

\* It has been pretended that the date of Buonaparte's birth was put forward above a year, in order to make it appear that he was born a French subject, Corsica not having been ceded to France till June, 1768, but the birth of his brother Joseph in January, 1768, makes his birth in February of that year impossible, and the date of August, 1769, is given in the list of pupils at the school of Brienne, at a time when there could be no sufficient motive for falsifying it.

† This piece has also been attributed to Guicciardini, and is inserted by him in his History of Italy.

capital had been twice threatened by great foreign armies at the head of one of which was the Constable de Bourbon, and at the head of the other, a remote descendant of the family of his historian. The manuscript of this work was first printed at Cologne, in 1756, and the volume contains an elaborate genealogy of the family of Buonaparte, which is traced very far back. An uncle of the author, one Nicolo Buonaparte, is mentioned in it as a very distinguished scholar, and as having founded the class of Jurisprudence in the University of Pisa. When the French army entered Bologna in 1796, the Senate had then "Golden Book" presented to the General-in-Chief, by Counts Marescalchi and Caprara, in which the names of several of his ancestors were inscribed amongst those of the senators who had done honour to the state.

In the fifteenth century a younger branch of the Buonaparte family, that had been driven from Florence by intestine troubles, settled first at Sarzana, and then in Corsica. It has also been stated by an author of some repute (Zopf, in his "Summary of Universal History") that a scion of the Commena family, who had claims on the throne of Constantinople, retired into Corsica in 1462, bearing the name of Calomeios, which, having the same meaning, was probably Italianised into Buonaparte. This, however, is but a conjecture, though it would be curious to discover that Napoleon had eastern blood in his veins. At the time of his first campaign in Italy, there was no one left of the Italian branches of his family, but the 'Abbé Gregorio Buonaparte, canon of San Miniato. He was an old man of great wealth and respectability. Napoleon in his way to Leghorn stopped at San Miniato, and was entertained with his whole staff at the house of his kinsman. After supper the conversation turned entirely on a Capuchin friar, one Fathier Buonaventura, a member of the family, who had been beatified a century before, and the Abbot earnestly solicited the interest of the General-in-chief to procure his canonisation, being sure that he owed all his good fortune to



him. This proposal, which occasioned a good deal of laughing and merriment among the officers, was several times made to Napoleon by Pope Pius VII. after the Concordat. The next day, in return for his hospitality and the interest he took in the family, Buonaparte sent the good old man a Cross of the order of St. Stephen, which he recollected he had at his disposal.

The name of Buonaparte was spelt indiscriminately with the *u*, or without it, by the different branches of the family: sometimes it has happened, that of two brothers, one has spelt it one way and the other the other. The omission of the letter was common in very early times. In the church of St. Francis, belonging to the Minor Friars in the town of Minato, on the right of the principal altar is a tomb with the following inscription —

CLARISSIMO SUÆ ÆTATIS ET PATRIÆ VIRO  
JOANNI JACOBO MOCCHII DE BONAPARTE  
QUI OBIT ANNO MCCCXXXI DIE XXV  
SEPTEMBRIS NICOLAUS DE BONAPARTE  
APOSTOLICÆ CAMERÆ CLERICUS FECIT  
GENITORI BENEMERENTI ET POSTERIS

The name was spelt *Buonaparte* during his first Italian campaigns, which is the reason why I have preferred it in writing this history. The Christian name of Napoleon has also been made a subject of dispute. It was frequent in the Orsini and Lomellini families, from whom it was taken by that of Buonaparte: it was always given to the second son. The correct way of writing it is Napoleone. Some pretend that it is derived from the Greek, and signifies *Lion of the Desert*, others that it is derived from the Latin. This name is not to be found in the Roman calendar. From researches made in the Martyrologies at Rome, at the period of the establishment of the Concordat, it appears that St. Napoleon was a Greek martyr. Clarke, afterwards Duke of Feltré (who was proud of his Irish extraction), when sent ambassador to Flo-

rence, busied himself with inquiries into Buonaparte's pedigree, to which the latter put a stop by saying, "I am the first of my family," and to the Emperor of Austria, who, at the time of his marriage with his daughter, set the heralds at work to trace his genealogy to the old Italian nobility, he answered much in the same spirit, that "he would rather be the son of a peasant than descended from any of the petty tyrants of Italy."

Napoleon's great-grandfather had three sons, Joseph, Napoleon, and Lucien. The first of these left only one son, whose name was Charles the second left a daughter, named Elizabeth, who was married to the head of the Ornano family. the third was a priest, and died in 1791, aged eighty years, he was archdeacon of the chapter of Ajaccio Charles, who thus became the only representative of his family, was the father of Napoleon He received his education at Rome and Pisa, at which latter place he took the degree of Doctor of Laws Shortly after his return to his native country, he married He was but twenty years of age at the breaking out of the war of 1768, between France and Corsica he was a staunch friend to Paoli, and a zealous defender of the independence of his country The town of Ajaccio having been occupied at the commencement of hostilities by French troops, he removed with his family to Corté in the centre of the island. His young and high-spirited wife, then pregnant with Napoleon, followed Paoli's head-quarters and the army of the Corsican patriots, in the campaign of 1769, across the mountains, and resided a long time on the summit of Monte Rotondo, in the parish of Noli. But as the term of her pregnancy drew near a close, she obtained a safe-conduct from Marshal Devaux to return to her house at Ajaccio. Napoleon was born here on the day of the Feast of the Assumption His mother had gone to church, but finding herself taken ill, had hastened back to her room, which she reached just in time, and where the new-born infant came sprawl-

ing into the world on an old carpet with huge tawdry figures. It is not unreasonable to suppose, that the harassed life and high-wrought feelings of the mother, previously to his birth, might have had an influence on the temper and future fortunes of the son.

His father, after the unfortunate termination of the contest in which they had been engaged, accompanied Paoli as far as Porto Vecchio, and wished to have embarked with him. but the entreaties of his friends and his fondness for his wife and children prevented him. The French government established Provincial States in Corsica, and continued the magistracy of the twelve nobles, who, like the Burgundian deputies, governed the country. Charles Buonaparte, who was popular in the island, formed part of this magistracy. He was attached as assessor to the tribunal of Ajaccio: which situation gave him great influence, with the supreme council of the country. In 1779 the states appointed him deputy for the nobles to Paris, the clergy chose the bishop of Nebbio, and the third estate a Casabianca. The elder Buonaparte took with him on this occasion his two sons, Joseph and Napoleon, the one aged eleven years, the other ten: he placed the former in a boarding-school at Autun; and the latter, through the interest of M Marbœuf, governor of the island, entered the military school of Brienne.

Little is known of Buonaparte's early years, except what he himself relates. He says that he was nothing more than an obstinate and inquisitive child.—“In my infancy I was extremely head-strong; nothing overawed me, nothing disconcerted me. I was quarrelsome, mischievous, I was afraid of nobody, I beat one, I scratched another, I made myself formidable to the whole family. My brother Joseph was the one with whom I was oftenest embroiled, he was beaten, bitten, abused; I went to complain before he had time to recover from his confusion. I had need to be on the alert; our mother would have repressed my warlike humour, she would not have put up with

my caprices Her tenderness was joined with severity. she punished, rewarded all alike, the good, the bad, nothing escaped her My father, a man of sense, but too fond of pleasure to pay much attention to our infancy, sometimes attempted to excuse our faults 'Let them alone,' she replied, 'it is not your business, it is I who must look after them' She did, indeed, watch over us with a solicitude unexampled. Every low sentiment, every ungenerous affection was discarded, discouraged she suffered nothing but what was grand and elevated to take root in our youthful understandings She abhorred falsehood, was provoked by disobedience. she passed over none of our faults I recollect a mischance which befel me in this way, and the punishment which was inflicted on me. We had some fig-trees in a vineyard, we used to climb them, we might meet with a fall, and accidents, she forbade us to go near them without her knowledge This prohibition gave me a good deal of uneasiness, but it had been pronounced, and I attended to it One day, however, when I was idle, and at a loss for something to do, I took it in my head to long for some of these figs They were ripe, no one saw me, or could know any thing of the matter. I made my escape, ran to the tree, and gathered the whole My appetite being satisfied, I was providing for the future by filling my pockets, when an unlucky vineyard-keeper came in sight I was half dead with fear, and remained fixed on the branch of the tree, where he had surprised me. He wished to seize and conduct me before my mother Despair rendered me eloquent: I represented my distress, undertook to keep away from the figs in future, was prodigal of assurance, and he seemed satisfied. I congratulated myself on having come off so well, and fancied that the adventure would not transpire, but the traitor told all The next day Signora Letitia wanted to go and gather some figs I had not left any, there were none to be found. the keeper came, great reproaches followed,

and an exposure; the culprit had to expiate his fault”

When he was between five and six years old, he was placed in a school with some little girls, the mistress of which was an acquaintance of the family. He was handsome, he was by himself; they all made much of him, but he always had his stockings down about his heels, and in walking out, he never let go the hand of a charming girl, who was the occasion of many quarrels. His rogues of companions, jealous of his *Giacominetta*, connected the two circumstances together, and put them into a song. He never appeared in the street but they followed him, repeating the rhymes, *Napoleone di mezzà calzetta fa l'amore à Giacominetta*\*. He could not bear being made the sport of this crew. Sticks, stones, everything that came in his way, he seized on, and rushed furiously into the midst of the throng. Fortunately, some one always came by to put an end to the affair, and bring him safe out of it, but numbers did not intimidate him, he never stopped to count his adversaries.

Napoleon always spoke in terms of admiration of the courage and strength of mind which his mother evinced at this period. “Losses, privations, fatigue,” he said, “had no effect upon her: she endured all, braved all, she had the head of a man placed on the body of a woman. But it was very different with the archdeacon (his uncle); he regretted his goats, the Genoese—all, in short, that he no longer had. He was in other respects an excellent old man. Good, generous, intelligent, he at a later period became a father to us, and re-established the affairs of the family. Sound of mind, but bed-ridden, he suffered no abuse to escape him. He knew the value, the number of each herd of cattle, made them kill one, sell or keep another; every shepherd had his

\* “Napoleon, with his stockings half down, makes love to *Giacominetta*.”

task, his instructions. The mills, the cellar, the vineyards, were subjected to the same superintendence. Order, plenty, reigned everywhere; our situation had never been more prosperous. The good man was rich, but did not like to part with his money. He strove hard to persuade us that he had saved nothing. If I asked him for money: 'You know well,' he said, 'that I have it not, your father's extravagance has left me nothing.' At the same time he would authorize me to sell a head of cattle, a cask of wine, it was all a pretence. We had discovered a bag of money, and, piqued at hearing him preaching up poverty with pieces of gold in his pockets, we resolved to play him a trick. Pauline was quite young; we gave her her lesson she drew out the bag, the doubloons rolled out and covered the floor. We burst into fits of laughter; the good old man was choked with rage and confusion. Mamma came in, scolded, picked up the pieces of gold, and the archdeacon fell to protesting that the money was not his. We knew what course to follow in this respect, and took care not to contradict him. He was taken ill some time after, and was soon reduced to the last extremity. During the delirium with which he was seized in his last moments, he was constantly calling Napoleon to come to his aid with 'his great sword.' We were standing round his bed-side. We lamented the loss we were about to sustain, when Fesch was seized with a sudden zeal, and wanted to plague him with the customary homilies. The dying man interrupted him; Fesch paid no attention to this, and the old priest grew impatient. 'Nay, give over,' he cried out, 'I have but a few moments to live, and I wish to devote them to my family.' He then made us draw near, and gave us his blessing and advice. 'Tis needless,' said he, 'to think about Napoleon's fortune. he will make it for himself. Joseph, you are the eldest of the family, but Napoleon is the head of it. Take care to remember what I say to you.' He then expired amidst the sobs and tears

which this melancholy sight drew from us Left without a guide, without support, my mother was obliged to take the direction of affairs upon herself But the task was not above her strength. she managed everything, provided for everything, with a prudence and sagacity which could neither have been expected from her sex nor from her age Ah! what a woman!—where look for her equal?"

"I came into the world," says Napoleon, addressing himself to his fellow-countryman Antommarchi, "in the arms of old Mammuccia Caterina She was obstinate, captious, continually at war with all around her She was perpetually quarrelling with my grandmother, of whom she was notwithstanding very fond, and who had the same regard for her They disputed without ceasing—they had endless wranglings, which afforded us great amusement\* You grow serious, Doctor; the portrait hurts you; never mind: if your countrywoman was quarrelsome, she was kind, affectionate; she walked out with us, took care of us, made us laugh, she showed an anxiety for us, the recollection of which is not yet effaced I still remember the tears she shed when I quitted Corsica† That is

\* When he landed at Ajaccio, on his return from Egypt, he sought out his old nurse, with whom he stopped and conversed for some time Afterwards, on being made consul, he settled on her a pension of fifty Napoleons a year This good woman, having heard of the honours to which her former nursing was raised, could not be easy without being herself an eye-witness of them Though much advanced in years, she actually undertook a journey to Paris for this purpose, and, landing at Marseilles, after having remained there two days to rest, proceeded forwards This visit was the occasion of her pension being doubled —*O'Meara*

† A foster brother of Buonaparte's, of the name of Ignatio Lorm, entered the English service, and became master of an English store-ship He landed at some sea-port in France, went in disguise to the French consul, and said who he was The consul took him for an impostor, wrote a long history to Paris about a man who had presented himself as foster-brother to the Emperor, and was much surprised when the latter admitted it to be perfectly true It is singular that, during the height of his power, this man never asked any favour of him, though in their childhood they had been constantly together and though he knew that, since the elevation of her foster-son, his mother had been loaded with favours and money

now forty years ago. You were not then born: I was young, and did not see the glory that awaited me, still less that we should find ourselves here together;\* but destiny is unchangeable, one must obey one's star. Mine was to run through the extremes of life, and I set out to fulfil the task assigned me. My father repaired to Versailles, whither he had been deputed by the Corsican noblesse. I accompanied him, we passed through Tuscany—I saw Florence and the Grand Duke. We at length reached Paris—we had been recommended to the Queen. My father was well received—fêted. I entered the school at Brienne, I was delighted. My head began to ferment, I wanted to learn, to know, to distinguish myself—I devoured the books that came in my way. Presently, there was no talk in the school except about me. I was admired by some, envied by others, I felt conscious of my strength, and enjoyed my superiority. Not that there were even then wanting some charitably disposed persons who sought to trouble my satisfaction. I had on my arrival been shown into a hall, where there was a portrait of the Duke de Choiseul. The sight of this odious character, who had sold my country, extorted from me an expression of bitterness. it was a blasphemy, a crime which ought to obliterate all my other deserts. I let malevolence take its course, and only applied more closely than ever to study. I perceived by this what human nature was, and made up my mind on the subject.”—The ill-usage we receive from mankind we are tempted to retort upon them; and the ball is thus kept up with great spirit from one generation to another. Nothing sets in a clearer point of view the importance of education and early example.

At the school of Brienne it has been said that his poverty exposed him to mortifications, to which he was forced to submit in silence, but with inward



indignation, in the midst of boys more favoured by fortune than himself. Reports were also spread injurious to the character of his mother and the profession of his father, which, on more than one occasion, drove him beyond the bounds of patience and discretion. He was alternately accused of being the son of a Corsican attorney, and next of Monsieur Marboëuf, the French governor sent over to Corsica, though the latter only arrived in the island in June, 1769, two months before the birth of Napoleon. Perhaps to the slights and repulses he met with at this period, on account of his inferiority of birth or fortune, we may trace his firmness as to one great principle of the Revolution—equality of pretension, and his adherence to what he considered as the chief maxim of his reign—"the career left open to talents." The impressions we receive from personal suffering or experience last longer and strike deeper than mere theories. The spirit which Napoleon had shown in vindication of the honour of his parents, procured him many friends in the school. One day, soon after his arrival, one of the teachers, not attending to the character of the child, had condemned him to wear a coarse woollen dress, and to dine on his knees at the door of the refectory. It was a kind of dishonour. Buonaparte felt it so. The moment of its execution brought on a sudden vomiting and a violent fit of hysterics. The superior, who was passing by chance, snatched him from the intended punishment, blaming the teacher for his want of discernment; and Father Patrau, the mathematical professor, ran up, complaining bitterly that, without any consideration, they should thus degrade his first mathematician. At the time of entering the school, his strongest feeling was grief for the subjugation of the independence of his country, and this kept him in a great measure estranged from his school-fellows. Almost the only one with whom he was on terms of intimacy, was Fauvelet, brother to De Bourrienne, who was afterwards his private secretary during the Consulate.

This shy and reserved humour did not abate as he advanced to maturity, and involved him in many quarrels, of which, though he often came off with the worst, he never made any complaint; nor could he be prevailed upon, when appointed in his turn to superintend the conduct of the other boys, to inform against those who had misbehaved. He seldom joined in their sports or exercises; but, during the hours of recreation, shut himself up with a volume of Plutarch, or turned over the different works on history in the library. The want of proper exercise, together with the not giving way to the gaiety and flow of animal spirits natural at his time of life, probably stunted his growth. His body was not proportioned to his remarkably fine manly head, cast in the mould of the antique. The games in which he indulged at this early period, it was remarked, were images of war. He saw himself surrounded with camps, fortifications, armies, and already played the conqueror and hero in little. In the winter of 1783 the pupils at Brienne had constructed a regular fort with the snow. Buonaparte took a great share in this important concern: the fort was alternately attacked, taken, retaken; and he showed, both in the attack and defence equal courage, hardihood, and address. In like manner, afterwards, at the school at Paris, when he could snatch a moment's leisure, he was seen leaning on the parapet of fort Thunbrun, which had been constructed for the benefit of the scholars, and with a Vauban, a Cohorn, or a Folard in his hand, tracing plans for the assault or defence of this little fortress.

Stubborn and untractable with his equals, he was docile to his superiors, and never rebelled against established authority. A love of order, a sense of the value of power, whether in himself or others, seems to have been always a first principle in his mind. Diligent, studious, regular, and grave, he became a favourite with the teachers. Pichegru, who had been brought up in the school on charity, by an old aunt belonging to it, and had been originally intended for

the church, was his private tutor and instructor in the four rules of arithmetic\*. His chief studies were history and mathematics the one taught him a knowledge of mankind, as the other put instruments into his hands for mastering them† Seeking neither for relaxation nor amusement, he applied himself closely to those severer branches of study which rested on positive grounds and led to practical results Literature and the fine arts had little attraction for his stern genius; and though at a later period he paid greater attention to them, and took pleasure in the conversation of men distinguished by works of fancy and taste, yet it is to be doubted whether this was not from policy or curiosity rather than from inclination‡ After he grew up, and at the time of his first achievements in Italy, Ossian is known to have been a favourite with him, which is easily accounted

\* When Pichegru joined the royalist party, he was asked whether it were possible to gain over the general-in-chief of the army of Italy. "To attempt that would only be wasting time," said he, "from my knowledge of him, when a boy, I am sure he must be a most inflexible character he has taken his resolutions, and he will not change them"

† While yet a cadet, he went on one occasion to witness the ascent of a balloon in the Champ de Mars Impelled by an eager curiosity, he made his way through the crowd, and unperceived entered the inner fence which contained the apparatus for inflating the silken globe It was then very nearly filled, and restrained from its aerial flight by the last cord only, when Napoleon requested the aeronaut to permit him to mount the car in company with him This, however, was refused, from an apprehension that the feelings of the boy might embarrass the experiments, on which Buonaparte is stated to have exclaimed, "I am young, it is true, but fear neither the powers of earth nor of air" sternly adding, "will you let me ascend?" The erratic philosopher sharply replied, "No, sir, I will not, I beg that you will retire" The little cadet, enraged at the refusal, instantly drew a small sabre, which he wore with his uniform, cut the balloon in several places, and destroyed the curious apparatus which the aeronaut had constructed with infinite labour and ingenuity for his experiment Such was the last notable act of the boyhood of Buonaparte, it would seem as if on the verge of manhood, he had in this one adventure prefigured the whole of that extraordinary career which he afterwards ran as the clouds aspiring, as the air trickle its only object to ascend, its only rudder the whirlwind vapour its impulse, downfall its destiny — *O'Meara*

‡ He was exceedingly fond of conversing on physical and metaphysical subjects He was of opinion that there was a link between

for from its undefined images of grandeur, the blaze of war and thirst of undying glory that are spread over it! In the campaign of 1814 the victory was bloodily contested between him and Blucher at the Chateau de Brienne, foot to foot, and chamber by chamber, on the very spot where he was brought up, which must have been a mortifying reflection to him. On returning to the place after so many years, he had an interview with an old woman in the neighbourhood, who had formerly sold him milk and fruit, and who had a difficulty in recognising her youthful acquaintance in the person of the veteran soldier. "Did she remember a boy of the name of Buonaparte?" "Yes" "Did he always pay her for what he had of her?" "She believed so, perhaps a few *sous* might be left" Napoleon presented her with a purse of gold in discharge of any old standing debt between them. Madame de Brienne used to invite several of the school-boys, and among others Napoleon, to visit her at the Chateau. It is to her that he is supposed to have returned the characteristic answer, addressed to some lady of quality who was complaining of the burning of the Palatinate by the great Twenne, "And why not, madam, if it was necessary to his designs?" This lady afterwards had a house at Auteuil, near Paris, where Buonaparte, while Emperor, made a point of visiting her with the most marked attention and respect

animals and the Deity, and that man is merely a more perfect animal than the rest

"A horse has memory, knowledge, and love. He knows his master from the servants, though the latter are more constantly with him. I had a horse myself who knew me from any other person, and manifested by capering and proudly marching with his head erect when I was on his back, his knowledge that he bore a person superior to the others by whom he was surrounded. Neither would he allow any other person to mount him, except one groom, who constantly took care of him and when ridden by him, his motions were far different, and such as seemed to say that he was conscious he bore an inferior. When I lost my way, I was accustomed to throw the reins on his neck, and he always discovered it in places where I, with all my observation, and boasted superior knowledge, could not.—O'Meara

Napoleon remained upwards of five years at Brienne, from March, 1779, till the latter end of 1784. In 1783 Field-Marshal the Chevalier Keralio, inspector of the twelve military schools, selected him to pass the year following to the military school at Paris, to which three of the best scholars were annually sent from each of the twelve provincial schools of France. It is curious as well as satisfactory to know the opinion at this time entertained of him by those who were the best qualified to judge. The manuscript collection which belonged to Marshal Segur, then minister at war, contains the following remarks, under the article headed SCHOOL OF BRIENNE: "*State of the king's scholars eligible from their age to enter into the service or to pass to the school at Paris, to wit, M de Buonaparte (Napoleon), born the 15th of August, 1769, in height 4 feet, 10 inches, 10 lines (5 feet 6½ inches English;) has finished his fourth season, of a good constitution, health excellent; character mild, honest, and grateful, conduct exemplary; has always distinguished himself by his application to the mathematics, understands history and geography tolerably well, is indifferently skilled in merely ornamental studies, or in Latin, in which he has only finished his fourth course, would make an excellent sailor; deserves to be passed on to the school at Paris*" His old master L'Eguille, professor of history at Paris, boasted, that in a list of the different scholars, he had predicted his pupil's subsequent career. In fact, to the name of Buonaparte the following note is added "*A Corsican by birth and character—he will do something great, if circumstances favour him*" Monge was his instructor in geometry, who also entertained a high opinion of him. M Bauer, his German master, was the only one who saw nothing in him, and was surprised at being told he was undergoing his examination for the artillery. Buonaparte was not quite a year at Paris, where his principal associates were Messrs Lauriston and Dupont. In the month of August, 1785, he was examined by the

celebrated mathematician La Place, and obtained the brevet of a second-lieutenant of artillery in the regiment of La Fère. he was then sixteen years of age. He received this appointment with transports of joy. The height of his ambition then bounded itself to wearing an epaulet with puffs on each shoulder. a colonel of artillery appeared to him the *ne plus ultra* of human grandeur. Philippeaux, Pecaduc, and Demasis, passed at the same examination with him. they all three emigrated at the commencement of the Revolution. The first defended St Jean d'Acre in 1799, where he displayed much talent, and where he fell, the second was a Breton, and attained the rank of major in the Austrian service, the third, who returned to France during the Consulate, was appointed administrator of the crown-moveables, and chamberlain. It was in the beginning of this year Napoleon lost his father (February 24, 1785).

The regiment of La Fère was stationed at Valence in Dauphiny, where Napoleon kept garrison for the first time. He was well received at the house of a Madame du Colombier, and conceived a tender attachment for her daughter, a girl of his own age, but it came to nothing more than then walking out in a morning and eating cherries together\*. The society he met with here, and the manners to which he became accustomed, he considered as having been of great service to him in after-life. Some disturbances

\* It was the first love of both, and it was that kind of love which might be expected to arise at their age and with their education. "We were the most innocent creatures imaginable," the emperor used to say, "we contrived short interviews together: I well remember one which took place on a midsummer's morning, just as daylight began to dawn. It will scarcely be believed that all our happiness consisted in eating cherries together."

In the year 1805, when about to be crowned King of Italy, on passing through Lyons, he again saw Mademoiselle Colombier, who had now changed her name to Madame de Bressieux, she gained access to him with some difficulty, surrounded as he was by the etiquette of royalty. Napoleon was happy at seeing her again, but he found her much altered for the worse. He granted her husband what she solicited, and placed her in the situation of lady of honour to one of his sisters — *Las Cases*

having broken out in the city of Lyon, he was ordered thither with his battalion.\* His regiment afterwards passed to Douay in Flanders, and to Auxonne in Burgundy. In 1791 Napoleon was made a captain in the regiment of artillery of Grenoble, then in garrison at Valence, whither he returned. The revolutionary ideas now began to prevail very generally. Several of the officers emigrated. Gouvion, Vaubois, Galbo Dufour, and Napoleon, were the four captains of the regiment who retained the confidence and good-will of the soldiers, and kept them within the bounds of discipline. The regiment of La Fère, in which Buonaparte commenced his military career, was afterwards broken by him for scandalous behaviour to the inhabitants of Turin. He accordingly had them marched to Paris, assembled them on the parade, ordered their colours to be taken from them, and lodged in the church of the Invalids, covered with black. He disposed of the officers who had behaved less shamefully than the rest in other regiments. Some months after, he formed the regiment again under different officers; and the colours were taken from the church with great pomp by a number of colonels, each tearing off a piece, which they burnt, and then new ones were given in their stead.

When at Lyon with his regiment in 1786, our young lieutenant of artillery gained a gold medal from the college on the following theme "*What are the sentiments most proper to be cultivated, in order to render men happy?*" When seated on the throne many years afterwards, he mentioned the circumstance to Talleyrand, who sent off a courier to Lyon to

\* Whilst here, he narrowly escaped being drowned in the Saone the cramp seized him while swimming, and after repeated ineffectual struggles, he sank. He experienced at the moment all the sensations of dying, and lost his recollection, but after he had sunk, the current drifted him against a sandbank, on the edge of which it threw him, where he lay senseless for some time, and was restored to life by the aid of some of his companions, who discovered him there by accident. Previously to this they had given him up for lost, as they saw him sink, and the current of the river had carried him to a considerable distance.

procure the essay, which he easily obtained from knowing the subject, and as the author's name was unknown. One day soon after, when they were alone together, Talleyrand took the manuscript out of his pocket, and thinking to please and pay his court to the Emperor, put it in his hands, and asked if he knew it? He immediately recognised the writing, and threw it into the fire, where it was consumed in spite of Talleyrand's efforts to save it, who was greatly mortified, as he had not taken the precaution of causing a copy to be made previously to showing it to the author.\* Buonaparte, on the contrary, was much pleased, as the style of the work was highly romantic and extravagant, abounding in sentiments of liberty suggested by the warmth of a fervid imagination, at a moment when youth and the rage of the times had inflamed his mind, but too exalted (according to his own account of the matter) ever to be put in practice. At the same period, or when he was about seventeen, he composed a short *History of Corsica*, which he submitted to the Abbé Raynal, who praised and urged him to publish it, saying that it would do him much credit, and render great service to the cause then in agitation. Buonaparte afterwards expressed his satisfaction that he did not follow this advice, as the work was written entirely in the spirit of the day, at a time when the zeal for republicanism was at its height, and contained the strongest arguments in favour of it. It likewise contained many violent things on the subjugation of Corsica by France, a feeling of resentment against which had been early instilled into his mind, and no doubt added its gall to his love of liberty. This production was also afterwards lost. It appears that at this period and long after, Buonaparte was the ardent defender of liberty in its most unfettered forms. He professed himself a republican during all the first years of the Revolution, he witnessed with eager enthusiasm the great national festivals celebrating the

\* A copy of the treatise, however, had been taken by his brother Louis, and it has been since published by General Gourgaud



triumphs over the Coalition he retained the same principles (to all outward appearance) in taking the command of the Army of Italy, and the same spirit shone with undiminished force and brilliancy through the proclamations that he issued during all his first campaigns. It would have been strange if, in the circumstances and at his then time of life, he had felt otherwise, but the feeling was merely common to him with others, an impression from without, or the impulse of warm youthful blood, not a conviction profoundly engraven on his understanding, or the result of the powerful and characteristic bent of the genius of the man.

In 1790 Buonaparte, who was then in garrison at Auxonne, agreed with M Joly, a bookseller at Dôle, to come over to see him, to treat for an impression of the History of Corsica. He, in fact, came and found Buonaparte at the *Pavillon*, lodging in a chamber with bare walls, the only furniture in which was an indifferent bed without curtains, two chairs, and a table standing in the recess of a window, covered with books and papers. his brother (Louis) slept on a coarse mattress in an adjoining room. They agreed about the expence of the impression, but Buonaparte was expecting every moment an order to leave Auxonne, and nothing was finally settled. The order arrived a few days after, and the work was never printed. It is odd enough that the clerical ornaments of the chaplain, whose office had been just suppressed, were left in his charge. He showed these to M Joly, and spoke of the ceremonies of religion with respect. "If you have not heard mass," said he, "I can repeat it to you." This M. Joly had just before printed his *Letter to Matteo Buttafoco*, deputy from Corsica to the National Assembly, who had highly displeased Buonaparte and the Corsican patriots, by his want of civism. The author had revised the proofs with his own hand, for which purpose he used to go over on foot to Dôle, setting out from Auxonne at four in the morning.

extremity; but he proved the worst of all. I see him still, this mauspicious personage, dressed out in his fine Sunday's clothes, and proud of his rich crimson coat: he was a very wretch. Luckily the generosity of the mistress of the house, perhaps a secret similarity of opinion, saved me. She turned aside with great presence of mind the blows that were intended to annihilate me, and was the welcome shield behind which I escaped unhurt. I have always retained a grateful sense of the service she was of to me in this kind of fool-hardy enterprise. The same diversity of opinions at that time was to be found all over France. In the drawing-rooms, in the streets, on the high-ways, at the inns, people's minds were ready to kindle into a flame, and nothing was more easy than to deceive one's-self as to the strength of parties and of opinion, according to the situation in which one was placed. Thus, for instance, a patriot was very liable to be discouraged, if he appeared in the drawing-rooms or in a group of officers, so greatly was he in the minority, but no sooner did he get into the street, or among the soldiers, than he felt himself to be in the midst of the entire nation. The sentiments of the time were not, however, slow in gaining ground, even among the superior officers, especially after the famous oath *To the nation, the law, and the king*. Till then, if I had received the order to point my guns against the people, I have little doubt that habit, prejudice, education, the name of the king would have led me to obey: but the civic oath once taken, it was all over; I should no longer have acknowledged any authority but that of the nation. My natural inclinations were then reconciled with my duty, and fell in wonderfully with all the metaphysics of the Assembly\*. Still it must be confessed, the officers on the patriotic side amounted only to a small number, yet with the aid of the soldiers they managed

\* The following expression has been attributed to Buonaparte: "Had I been a general officer, I might have adhered to the court party, a sub-lieutenant, I sided with the Revolution."

the regiment and gave the law. Those who were of the opposite party were often obliged to come to us for assistance in moments of exigency. I remember having snatched from the fury of the mob one of our own mess, whose crime had been that of singing from the window of our dining-room the well-known song, '*O Richard! O mon roi!*' I little thought then that one day the air would be proscribed on my account."

There is a letter of Buonaparte's, dated June, 1789,\* addressed to Paoli (then in England) on the subject of his "History of Corsica," in which he broods over the wrongs and oppressions of his country, and seems to found the only hope of relief on the liberal turn which things were then taking. Not long after, Mirabeau proposed the recall of the exiled patriots, and spoke of this measure as the least atonement he could make for the share he had formerly had in the unjust and forcible annexation of that island to France. Paoli had resided for the last twenty years in England, where we find him described in Boswell's "Life of Johnson" as mingling in the literary society of the day, but on hearing of this decree he immediately quitted London for Paris, was presented to the Constituent Assembly by La Fayette, and was received in the French capital with all the honours which the love of liberty could pay to one of its most devoted and heroic defenders. On his return to Corsica, in 1790, he was everywhere hailed with shouts of enthusiasm, and was appointed lieutenant-general in the French service, and commandant of the twenty-third military division. In 1792 Napoleon obtained leave of absence from his regiment,† and passed six months in Corsica. He took the earliest opportunity of seeking out Paoli, who received him in a very friendly manner, and did all in his power to detain him and keep him at a distance from the disturbances with which France was then threatened.

\* See post, p. 55

† He had been raised to the rank of captain on 6th February, 1791.

Meanwhile, his young friend was appointed to the temporary command of a battalion of National Guards, levied in Corsica to maintain the public tranquillity. The island was at this time torn in pieces by the two contending factions, who favoured or were hostile to the union of Corsica with France. Ajaccio, the birth-place of Napoleon, was the head-quarters of the opposition party, and such was the ferment, that he was obliged, at the head of his troop, to employ force against the national guard of the town. The tumult, which he succeeded in quieting, took place the day after Good Friday in this year. Peraldi, one of the chiefs of the malcontents, and an old enemy of his family (which is provocation enough in a country where hatred is hereditary), accused him to the government of having caused the disturbance which he had been the means of suppressing. He was under the necessity of going to Paris, in order to acquit himself of this injurious imputation. He was there on the 20th of June and on the 10th of August, 1792, and was an eye-witness of the events of both these days.

In Las Cases's account (which is not free from mistakes) he is made to apply the epithet "hideous" to the latter epoch, and to speak of a "hideous group of men, that he met carrying a head upon a pike," in a tone which is neither consonant with his feelings at the time, nor with a sober estimate of the circumstances on reflection. Be it so, that this group of men were hideous, they did not proceed out of the Revolution, but out of the ancient monarchy: their squalidness and frantic gestures were the counterpart of the finery and haughty airs of the old court. The state of degradation of the French populace at the time of the Revolution was not an argument against it, but the strongest argument for it. They wished to better their condition, to get rid of some part of their "hideousness" (moral and physical)—so much light, at least, had broken in upon them—and because this was denied them, they naturally flew out into rage and madness. Whose was the fault? If a regiment

of soldiers in smart uniforms had been ordered by a martinet officer in cold blood, and without any distortion of features, to fire upon this group of wretched fanatics, there would have been nothing "hideous" in it—so much do we judge by rule and appearances, and so little by reason! Did these men parade the streets with this tragic apparatus for nothing? Did they challenge impunity for nothing? Was the voice of justice and humanity stifled? No! It had now for the first time called so loud, that it had reached the lowest depths of misery, ignorance, and depravity, and dragged from their dens and lurking places men whose aspect almost scared the face of day, and who having been regarded as wild beasts, did not all at once belie their character. *Ecquid sentitis in quanto contemptu vivatis? Lucis vobis hujus partem, si liceat, adimant. Quod spiratis, quod vocem mittitis, quod formas hominum habetis indignantur!* Is it wonderful that in throwing off this ignominy, and in trying to recover this form, they were guilty of some extravagances and convulsive movements? This genteel horror, as well as callous indifference, is exceedingly misplaced, and is the source of almost all the mischief. The mind is disgusted with an object, conceives a hatred and prejudice against it, and proceeds to act upon this feeling without waiting to consider whether its anger ought not to be rather directed against the system that produced it, and which is not entitled to the smallest partiality or favour in such an examination. There is a kind of *toilette* or drawing-room politics, which reduces the whole principle of civil government to a question of personal appearance and outward accomplishments. The partisans of this school (and it is a pretty large one, consisting of all the vain, the superficial, and the selfish) tell you plainly that "they hate the smell of the people, the sight of the people, the touch of the people, their language, their occupations, their manners"—as if this was a matter of private taste and fancy, and that because the higher classes are better off than they, this

alone gave them a right to treat the others as they pleased, and make them ten times more wretched than they are. It is true, the people are coarsely dressed—is that a reason they should be stripped naked? They are ill-fed—is that a reason they should be starved? Their hanging is rude—is that a reason they should not utter their complaints? They seek to redress their wrongs by rash and violent means—is that a reason they should submit to everlasting oppression? This is the language of plea and passion, which only seek for an object to vent themselves upon, at whatever price, not of truth or reason, which aim at the public good. At the rate the worse the government, the more cruel and inviolable it ought to be, for it has only to render the people brutish, degraded, and dangerous, in order to deprive them of every chance of deliverance, and of the common claims of humanity and compassion. The cowardice and soppery of mankind make them ashamed to take part with the people, lest they should be thought to belong to them, and they would sooner be seen in the ranks of their oppressors, who have so many more advantages—fashion, wealth, power, and whatever flatters imagination and prejudice, on their side. But “the whole need not a physician;” it is the wants, the ignorance, and corruption of the lower classes that demonstrate the abuses of a government, and call loudly for reform; and the family physician would not be more excusable who refused to enter a sick room or to administer to the cure of a patient in the paroxysms of a fever, than the state physician who gives up the cause of the people from affecting to be disgusted with their appearance, or shocked at their excesses!\*

\* The passage in *Las Cases* gives a striking account of the violent fermentation of the public mind in the coffee houses and streets, of the suspicious and watchful looks with which a stranger was viewed, and of the circumstance of well dressed women prowling about and insulting the dead bodies of the Swiss in the garden of the Tuileries. Buonaparte was struck with the number of these, neither from the smallness of the space, nor from the novelty of the sight, but his imagination was overloaded and oppressed from there being no other interest to carry off and absorb the natural horror of the scene. The

Buonaparte returned to Corsica in the month of September, 1792, deeply impressed with the mighty changes he had witnessed and that were daily taking place, and his mind fully made up as to the side he should espouse. A squadron under the orders of vice-admiral Truguet, entrusted with an attack on Sardinia, arrived at Ajaccio in January, 1793. The forces stationed in Corsica were put in motion, and in January, 1793, Buonaparte, at the head of two battalions of the National Guard, was specially charged to make a diversion on the north of Sardinia, while Truguet directed his operations against Cagliari. The expedition not having succeeded (owing to a total want of discipline and management) he brought his troops safely back to Bonifacio. This was his first military enterprise, and gained him testimonials of the satisfaction of the soldiers, and a local reputation. Of the entire hold which his professional studies had taken of his mind, and of the unremitting assiduity with which he made every occasion subservient to this grand object, the following anecdote furnishes rather a whimsical example. "It was in 1793 I had obtained a furlough, and had come to spend it at Ajaccio. I was as yet only a captain. I foresaw that the war would be long and sanguinary, I prepared myself for it. I had fixed my study in the quietest part of the house, I had, in fact, got on the roof, I saw no one, seldom went out, but studied hard. One Sunday morning, as I was crossing the pier, I met Barbieri, who complained that he never saw me, and proposed an excursion of pleasure. I consented, on condition that it should be on the water. He made a signal to the sailors on board a vessel of which he was a proprietor, they came, and we set out. I wanted to measure the extent of the gulf, and made them direct their course to the Recanto. I placed myself at the stern, undid my ball of packthread, and

and his were many, because they were there without his knowledge or assistance. Had they served to swell his triumphs, or to furnish proof of his power and skill, they would have seemed too few!

obtained the result which I wished for. Arrived at Costa, we ascended it, the position was magnificent; it is the same that the English afterwards surmounted with a redoubt, it commanded Ajaccio. I was desirous to examine it. Barberi, who took little interest in researches of this kind, pressed me to have done, I strove to divert him and gain time, but appetite made him deaf. If I spoke to him of the width of the bay, he replied that he had not yet breakfasted if of the church-steeple, of such or such a house which I could reach with my bomb-shells, 'Good,' he said; 'but I am in haste, and an excellent breakfast awaits us, let us go by all means.' We did so, but his friends were tired of waiting for him; so that on his arrival he found neither guests nor banquet. He resolved to be more cautious in future, and to mind the hour when he went on a reconnoitring party."

Shortly after this, Paoli, against whom an accusation had been already preferred by the senate, threw off the mask, and revolted. Previously to declaring himself openly, he communicated his design to his young *protégé*, of whom he entertained a very high opinion, and to whom he used frequently to say, patting him on the head, "You do not belong to modern times, you are one of Plutarch's men!" But all the persuasions and flatteries of this hasty and ardent-minded old man did not move him a jot. Napoleon allowed that France was in an alarming state, but reminded him that nothing that is violent can last long; and that as he had an immense influence over the inhabitants, and was master of the strong places and of the troops, he ought to exert himself to maintain tranquillity in Corsica, and let the fury of the moment pass away in France, that the island ought not to be severed from its natural connexion on account of a temporary inconvenience, that it had every thing to lose in such a conflict, that it belonged geographically either to France or Italy; that it never could be English; and that as Italy was not a single undivided power, Corsica ought always



to remain in the possession of the French. The old general had no answer to make to all this, but he persisted in his intention of annexing Corsica to the crown of England. Paoli had an old grudge against France as the oppressor of his country, and however the situation of things might be altered, was ready to seize the first opportunity to pick a personal quarrel with her. Because the French government had formerly trampled on the independence of Corsica, he thought that the best way to retaliate upon her and secure his favourite object, was to turn against France at the moment when, having thrown off her ancient yoke, she was struggling for her own liberties, and consequently for those of mankind. The defeated patriot of 1769 did not or would not understand that the cause which had been the ruling passion of his life, had taken a more enlarged and general ground, that the part which he had urged Corsica to act against France, France had now to sustain against Europe; he was one of those who looked at politics as made up chiefly of local and party differences, as it affected an irritable set of nerves, or piqued his habitual prejudices, and could not reach to contemplate it from a higher point of view in its general principles or more distant consequences. Paoli was at length compelled to take refuge once more in England, where he died in 1807, having been several years pensioned by the king, and has a monument erected to him in Westminster Abbey.—This was the first occasion on which Buonaparte proved himself worthy of the praises which his late friend and patron lavished upon him or displayed that decided superiority of character which, disentangling itself from petty and local ties, marched boldly on to the grand and future. He saw that Corsica was no longer the scene on which the love of freedom or military prowess could take their loftiest stand. The great drama which Paoli had rehearsed in his younger days in an obscure career (to which he still wished to confine it) had got to Langdon for a stage, and nations to behold the

swelling act." Thither the keen glance, the towering spirit of his new associate directed itself. not assuredly that he was aware, or probably even ambitious of the fortune that was in store for him; but he was naturally attracted to the scene, where his latent capacities had the fairest opportunity of unfolding themselves, and where the passing events were of an interest and magnitude to answer his utmost wishes. It is the distinguishing property of a great mind that it attaches itself to great objects, to the larger masses and powerful impulses of things, expands and gathers strength with them, and in the end becomes the governing spirit that directs and wields them to its purposes!

Napoleon quitted the convent of Rostino, where he held this conference with Paoli, two hours afterwards. He got as far as Bocognano, where he was overtaken by the mountaineers, and made his escape from them by a stratagem. His friend Barberi also gave him shelter. Paoli sent him word, that if he and his brothers did not instantly return back, he would seize their flocks, their vineyards, and lay waste every thing belonging to them. A refusal was given and the threat was immediately put into execution. Affairs daily grew worse for the French party. Corte openly revolted, bodies of insurgents from all quarters advanced on Ajaccio, where there were no troops of the line or means of resistance proportioned to the danger. The Buonaparte family retired from the impending storm to Nice, and afterwards to Marseilles. Their property was confiscated, their house at Ajaccio, after being pillaged, was used as a barracks by a battalion of English troops. The serious mischance which Paoli had inflicted on the son of his old friend, did not produce rancour or ill-will on either side. Napoleon still esteemed him, and regretted their separation, and Paoli watched the progress of his rising fame and fortune with the fond anxiety of a parent and received the intelligence of his victories with such extravagant demonstrations of joy as to

give offence in England where he was. Napoleon had thoughts of recalling him, that he might witness the splendour with which he was surrounded, when he was prevented by his death. Friendship and goodwill are often neither conciliated by benefits nor effaced by injuries, but seem to depend on a certain congeniality of temper or original predilection of mind\*.

Napoleon, on reaching Nice, was preparing to join his regiment at Avignon, when General Dujear, who commanded the artillery of the Army of Italy, required his services, and employed him in several delicate commissions. Not long after, Marseilles revolted against the revolutionary government. The Marseillais troops took possession of Avignon, the communications of the Army of Italy were cut off; there was a want of ammunition, a convoy of powder having been intercepted, and the general-in-chief found himself considerably at a loss what to do. In these circumstances General Dujear dispatched Napoleon to the Marseillais insurgents, to endeavour to induce them to let the convoys pass, and at the same time to take all necessary measures to hasten and secure their passage. He went to Marseilles and Avignon had interviews with the leaders of the insurgent troops, satisfied them that it was for their interest not to provoke the resentment of the Army of Italy, and got the convoys forwarded. In the meantime Toulon had surrendered to the English and Spanish fleets. Napoleon, now a lieutenant-colonel (*chef de bataillon*), was immediately ordered on service to the siege of this place, on the recommendation of the committee of artillery. He joined the besieging army on the 12th of September, 1793.

During his stay at Marseilles, when sent to the heads of the insurrection, he had an opportunity of closely observing the weakness and want of combination in their means of resistance to the Convention. In his way back he supped at an inn at Beaucaire, in company with a merchant from Nîmes and another from Montpellier, when the conversation turned on this subject, and on the politics of the south of France. On his return to Avignon, having a little leisure on his hands, he drew up a short pamphlet, retailing the arguments of the different speakers, which was published under the title of "The Supper of Beaucaire"\* The dialogue is managed with great spirit, shrewdness, and *naïveté*. The object of the writer is to open the eyes of the disaffected to the inefficacy as well as unseasonableness of their efforts, and to prove that the only result of their perseverance would be to furnish a pretext to "the men of blood of the day" to send more persons to the scaffold. It is to be remarked that Buonaparte evinced from the first the same horror of the shedding of blood in civil quarrels. The counsels that he gave to others, or adopted himself, on that head, almost always inclined to the timid and prudential side. There is a natural cowardice, as well as a heavy responsibility, attached to the consideration in ordinary cases, which only strong enthusiasm or studied cruelty can overcome, and for disregarding which the calculations of mere policy are hardly a sufficient warrant. The occasion, too, that he had to shut his eyes and brace his nerves to the prodigal waste of human life in war and in the field of battle, perhaps, exhausted all his stock of fortitude in his professional capacity, and left the statesman hesitating, cautious, and almost pusillanimous.

It was at this period of his life, or the year following, that Buonaparte fell in love with Madeiros de Desirée Clary, the daughter of a merchant at Mon-

\* See Appendix, No. 1

scilles. The courtship, by his own account, had proceeded so far that a marriage was in treaty, but was broken off in consequence of his being suddenly called away by the pressure of affairs, and was never afterwards renewed. In 1794 his brother Joseph married her sister; and some years after Bernadotte married this young lady, with Napoleon's approbation. It was to please her, and make her a queen, that he principally consented to Bernadotte's succeeding to the throne of Sweden. Thus to the indulgence of an early romantic sentiment, by putting power into the hands of a capricious and dangerous rival, he possibly owed the loss of his own crown and life.

## CHAPTER II.

## SOME ACCOUNT OF CORSICA

History of Corsica—revolt against Genoa, heroism of the Corsicans, annexation to France, Baron Nieuhoff proclaimed king, Pascal Paoli declared first magistrate, his conflict with Matras, is defeated, death of Matras, end of the civil war, exploits of Paoli, negotiations for the transfer of Corsica to France, spirited resistance of the people, defeat of Chauvelus' expedition, conduct of the English cabinet, Paoli conquered by the French expedition under De Vaux, repairs to London, his reception; insurrection in Nioh, cruelties of the French, views of the court of Versailles, anecdotes of the peasantry, effects of the revolution on the people, return of Paoli to the island, his enthusiastic reception, is opposed by the higher classes, denounced by the national convention, appeals to his countrymen, takes Bastia in conjunction with Admiral Hood—proposes to offer the crown to the King of England, who accepts it—is invited by him to London, where he dies—another insurrection, and the island again annexed to the French republic, execution of Griffleri, geographical situation and statistics of Corsica—considerations on political economy, falsity of the modern doctrines—shrewd remark of Arthur Young on non-cultivation of land—Bonaparte's plan for improving Corsica—his attachment to that country.

IN order to throw a clearer light on some of the transactions mentioned in the preceding chapter, it will be proper to give a brief sketch of the history of Corsica, which is also entitled to this distinction as having been the birth-place of Napoleon.

Little certain is known of Corsica in early times. Philippi, the author of the oldest chronicle of that island, lived in the fifteenth century, and was arch-deacon of Aleria. Towards the end of the last century, Lampridi (a man of talent and learning) published at Rome a very voluminous history of the revolutions of this country. Many other accounts have since appeared. The public attention was kept alive during a great part of the eighteenth century by the

unequal but daring struggle maintained by the inhabitants, in order to resist oppression and throw off a foreign yoke.

The Arabs of Africa were long masters of Corsica. The arms of this kingdom are still a Death's-head, with a bandage over the eyes, on a white ground. The Corsicans distinguished themselves at the battle of O-ta (in 1520), where the Saracens were defeated, and compelled to relinquish their views on Rome. Some persons are of opinion that these arms were given them by Pope Leo X., in acknowledgment of the valour they displayed on that occasion.

Corsica formed part of the inheritance of the Countess Matilda. The Colonnas of Rome pretend, that in the ninth century one of their ancestors conquered this island from the Saracens, and reigned as King there. The Colonnas of Itria and Cinerca have been acknowledged by the Colonnas of Rome, and genealogists have traced the relationship, but the historical fact of the sovereignty of a branch of the Colonna family in Corsica remains at this day doubtful. It appears, however, certain, that Corsica at one time formed the twelfth kingdom acknowledged in Europe; a title which these islanders were proud of, and would never renounce. It was in virtue of this title that the Doge of Genoa wore the regal crown. At the most enthusiastic moments of their zeal for liberty, they reconciled these opposite notions by declaring the Holy Virgin their queen. Traces of the same expedient appear even in the deliberations of several councils, amongst others, of that held at the convent of La Vinsolasca.

Corsica like the rest of Italy, was subjected to the feudal system; every village had its lord: but the emancipation of the common people was effected thirty years earlier than the general movement which took place in Italy for the same purpose in the sixteenth century. There are still to be seen on the top of steep rocks the ruins of castles, which tradition represents as the refuge of the lords in the war of the Colonnas: during the twelfth, thirteenth, fourteenth,

and fifteenth centuries The Liamone party, as it was called, and especially the province of La Rocca, had at this period the principal direction of the affairs of the island But in the sixteenth, seventeenth, and eighteenth centuries, the *pieves* (parishes) of the lands of the Communes, or of La Castagnichia were, in their turn, preponderant in the councils and assemblies of the nation

Pisa was the nearest continental city to Corsica. The Pisans began to trade with that island, established factories there, extended their influence gradually, and at length subjected the whole island to their government Their administration was, however, mild, and suited to the wishes and opinions of the natives, who served them with zeal in their wars against Florence Their enormous power ended with the battle of La Maloria, and the greatness of Genoa, to which state the commerce of Pisa devolved, arose out of the ruins of the latter city. The Genoese established themselves in Corsica This was the beginning of the misfortunes of that country, which henceforth constantly increased The senate of Genoa, not having found the way to gain the affection of the inhabitants, endeavoured to weaken and divide them, and to keep them in poverty and ignorance. The picture which the Corsican writers have left of the tyranny of the oligarchy of Genoa is one of the most revolting that the history of the world affords; and the antipathy and animosity of these islanders towards the Genoese are also nearly unexampled. Such are the lessons we meet in every page of history: yet persons are not wanting who would persuade us that the words *tyrant* and *tyranny* are without any counterpart in nature, the mere invention of modern sophists and innovators!\*

France, although so near Corsica, had never pre-

\* It is a circumstance somewhat characteristic of the times, that the court-censor lately struck these words out of an entire tragedy, as offensive to "ears polite," and as implying an unjust imputation on the immaculate purity, and benignant sway of all established authority



tended to the government of the island. It has, indeed, been asserted that Charles Martel sent one of his lieutenants thither against the Saracens, but this is without any authority. Henry II. sent an army into Corsica, under the command of the Marshal de Thiers, the famous San Pietro Ornano, and one of the Orsini, but they remained only a short time there. Old Andrew Doria, when eighty-five years of age, reconquered the island, and restored it to his country. Spain, divided into several kingdoms, and wholly occupied by her wars with the Moors, entertained no views on Corsica until a very late period, and was then diverted from them by her wars in Sicily.

The *pieves* of Rostino, Ampugnano, Orezza, and La Piana, were the first that rose, in the beginning of the last century, against the government of the senate of Genoa; the *pieves* of Castagnicchia and all the other districts of the island, by degrees, followed their example. This war, which began in 1729, ended in 1769, in the annexation of Corsica to the French monarchy, the contest having lasted forty years. The Genoa employed Swiss mercenaries, and several times had recourse to the greater powers, taking auxiliary troops into their pay. Thus the Emperor of Germany sent first Baron Watchendorf, and afterwards the Prince of Wurtemberg, into Corsica, as Louis XV. sent Count Bonseux, and afterwards Marshal Maillebois. Watchendorf and Boissieux were beaten, the Prince of Wurtemberg and Maillebois both succeeded in subduing the country, but they left the fire under the embers, and immediately after their departure the war broke out again, and raged with redoubled fury. Old Gaffieri, the Canon Orlicone (a man of address and eloquence), Hyacinth Paoli, Cianaldi, and Gaffieri were placed by turns at the head of affairs, each then conducted with various degrees of good fortune, but always honourably, and under the guidance of the noblest sentiments. The sovereignty of the country resided in a council, composed of the deputies of the *pieves*, which decided on war and

peace, and decreed the taxes and levies of militia. There were no hired troops, but the names of all the inhabitants capable of bearing arms were inscribed on three muster-rolls in each district, and they marched against the enemy at the call of their leaders. Arms, ammunition, and subsistence were provided by each individual.

It may seem difficult at first sight to comprehend the policy of Genoa. Why, it may be asked, so much perseverance in so unprofitable a struggle? She should either have given up Corsica, or else satisfied the inhabitants. Such was the dictate of common sense as well as of humanity. Had she, for instance, inserted the names of the principal inhabitants in her *Golden Book*, and tried the contrary system to that which had proved so ruinous, and which she had never been able quietly to establish, she would have ensured the good-will of the Corsicans, and rendered the connexion useful to herself. But this does not appear to have been the object. It had often been urged to the senate: "The militia of Corsica are more able to possess themselves of Genoa than you are to conquer their mountains. Acquire the confidence of these islanders by a just government, flatter their ambition and haughty spirit of independence; you will thereby obtain a nursery of good seamen, who will be serviceable in guarding your capital, and establish factories of great value to your commerce." The proud oligarchy replied: "We cannot treat the Corsicans more favourably than the people of the two *Rivieras*. Is the *Golden Book* then to be principally filled with the names of provincial families? This would be a total subversion of our Constitution, it is proposing that we should abandon the inheritance left us by our forefathers. The Corsicans are not formidable. all their successes are owing to our neglect. By pursuing more prudent and vigorous measures, it will be easy for us to subdue this handful of rebels, who are without arms, discipline, or concert." So much easier is it always to

persist in our errors than to retract them. The reasoning of Genoa with regard to Corsica might find numberless parallels. It is a stooping from our dignity to redress the wrongs we may have done, and thus to admit that we have any wrongs to redress. The behaviour of governments to their dependencies would be indeed in many cases a riddle, if states, any more than individuals, were influenced by right reason and did not suffer their passions, their prejudices, and idle humours constantly to prevail not only over justice but policy. The habit of treating others ill seems by degrees to confer the right: there is no hatred equal to that we feel towards those we have injured, and the conscious incapacity to govern finds obvious relief in the resolution to oppress. A word spoken in season, a trifling concession made in the spirit of conciliation, would perhaps heal all differences, and put a stop to wide-spread mischiefs: but we reject every such expedient, as if moderation were weakness, and obstinacy wisdom; or as if by entailing misery, ignorance, and oppression on a whole nation, it would appear that their degradation and sufferings were in the inevitable order of Providence, and not the effect of our caprice and mismanagement. The parent state plays the part of a step-mother to her less-favoured children, and is not unwilling by taunts and cruelty to drive them to despair, that she may thus have a pretext for confirming the abuses of power into a system, and a vindication of her original prediction of their being incorrigible to mild and rational treatment. Pride is the master-key of the human breast; and of all the rights claimed by governments over their subjects, the *right of injustice* is the most precious in their eyes, and the one they are the least disposed to part with. It is on this principle that we lost America, and that we still keep Ireland in a state of vassalage.

The Corsicans in all their councils, of which they sometimes held several in a year, published manifestos, wherein they enumerated their ancient and more

recent complaints against their oppressors Their object was to rouse the patriotism of the nation, and also to interest Europe in their behalf Several of these manifestos, drawn up by Orticone, are full of energy, sound reasoning, and a lofty enthusiasm

Theodore, king of Corsica, excited a great interest towards the middle of the last century in Europe, and particularly in England, where he was reduced to the utmost distress, and was confined in gaol for debt for a length of time His story has not been generally understood He was not a dethroned prince, according to the popular belief concerning him, which made him an object of extreme curiosity and attention The Baron Nieuhoff was by birth a Westphalian. He landed on the coast of Aleria, in Corsica, with four transports at his disposal, laden with muskets, powder, shoes, and other articles useful in war The expences of the armament had been defrayed by private individuals or Dutch speculators This unexpected succour, arriving at a moment of the greatest need, appeared to have descended from heaven The chiefs on this declared the German baron king, describing him to the people as a great European potentate, whose appearance was a pledge of the powerful assistance they should soon receive The artifice had the effect it was intended to produce, it operated on the multitude for a while, till at length it was worn out, and Baron Nieuhoff returned to the Continent He afterwards, at different intervals, revisited the shores of the island with important succours, with which he was supplied by the court of Sardinia and the Bey of Tunis This is a romantic episode in that memorable war, and shows the readiness of the leading characters of the country to avail themselves of every resource or advantage that presented itself

In 1755 Pascal Paoli was declared first magistrate and general of Corsica. He was the son of Hyacinth Paoli, had been brought up at Naples, and was a captain in the service of the king Don Carlos. The *pieve* of Rostino appointed him then deputy to the

council of Alesani. His family was very popular. He himself was tall, young, handsome, learned, eloquent. The council was divided into two parties: one of them, that of the most zealous patriots and most hostile to any accommodation, proclaimed him their chief. The Moderates set up Matras, the deputy for Fiumorbo, in opposition to him. The two parties came to action: Paoli was defeated, and obliged to shut himself up in the convent of Alesani. His case seemed desperate: his rival's troops surrounded him. But as soon as the news reached the *pieves* of the Communes, all the peaks of the mountains blazed with fires, the caverns and forests echoed with the mournful sound of the horn, the signal of civil war. Matras wished to anticipate the insurgents; and endeavoured to take the convent by assault. With his natural impetuosity, he rushed on foremost, and fell mortally wounded. Both parties thenceforth submitted to Paoli. In the course of a few months the council of Alesani was recognised by all the *pieves*. Paoli displayed much talent, he reconciled the different factions, governed on a regular plan, erected schools and a University, gained the friendship of Algiers and the Barbary pirates, built a navy of light vessels, kept agents in the towns on the sea-coast, and made himself beloved by the inhabitants. In a naval expedition he possessed himself of Capraia, and drove out the Genoese, who were even apprehensive that the Corsican rovers would land in the *Riviera*.\* He did all that it was possible to do under the circumstances of the time, and with the nation that he ruled; and was on the point of making himself master of the five ports of the island, when the senate of Genoa, seriously alarmed, had recourse for the third time to France. In 1764 French troops occupied the maritime towns which under their control continued to acknowledge the authority of the Senate.

The French garrisons took no decided part. The

\* The Strait of Genoa, a long narrow ship between the Mediterranean and the Alps.

officers were in general favourably disposed towards the islanders, who were encouraged by the circumstance, and waited impatiently for the departure of the troops to break out into open rebellion against the Genoese. But the Duke de Choiseul about this time conceived the project of annexing Corsica to France, as a natural dependency of Provence, and also as calculated to protect the commerce of the Levant, and facilitate any subsequent operations in Italy. After considerable hesitation, the Senate consented, and Spinola, their ambassador at Paris, signed a treaty, by which it was made over to France by a diplomatic subterfuge. it being agreed that the King of France should take and keep possession of Corsica, till the Republic should be in a situation to reimburse him the expense of sending an army of 30,000 men to subdue the island, and of maintaining garrisons there for several years, which it was to be foreseen they neither could nor would repay. This equivocal mode of proceeding at once saved the Genoese the reproaches of Italy for having sold Corsica to a foreign power, and furnished the French minister with a pretext for retracting, in case the English should object to the new arrangement, for Louis XV was averse to a war with England but England, at this time uneasy at the disposition to revolt which manifested itself in the American colonies, had no desire to interfere on a feeling of pure disinterested generosity, the example of which might be turned against herself. When France became republican, then it became an object to detach Corsica from her at any rate. But that was a widely different question.

The Duke de Choiseul made splendid overtures to Paoli to induce him to persuade the Corsicans to declare themselves a province of France. He rejected all these offers with disdain. He convoked the council, and laid before them the critical state of affairs. A youth of twenty, deputed to the council (Charles Buonaparte, the father of Napoleon) decided its resolution by a speech imbued with the noblest

sentiments of antiquity. There was but one cry—"Liberty or Death!" The conduct of the French government, which, after pretending to act as a mediator, now came forward as a party concerned, and did not blush to bargain for the transfer of Corsica, as if they were no better than a herd of slaves, excited the strongest reprobation. Some, indeed, gave a different turn to the affair. they said, "Their ancestors had resisted the tyranny of the oligarchy of Genoa: they were now freed from it for ever. If Giasteri, Hyacinth Paoli, Gafforio, Orticone, and the other lofty-minded men who had fallen in defence of their rights, could now see their country united to the finest monarchy in Europe, they would feel satisfied, and no longer regret the blood they had shed for her independence. By accepting the protection of Louis, they would secure all the privileges of French subjects, and have the commerce of the ports of Europe thrown open to them." But these arguments and excuses had little effect: the people and their leaders were alike deaf to them. "We are invincible in our mountains," they said: "there let us remain, and laugh at our enemies. They talk of the advantages we should obtain by submission: we have no ambition for them. We wish to remain poor, but free; our own masters, governed by our own laws and customs, and not the sport of a clerk from Versailles. They talk of the privileges to which we might be admitted—the privilege of becoming vassals to a despot. *As wills the King, so wills the law*, such is the maxim of the French monarchy. What security then is it likely to afford against the caprice and rapacity of a subaltern?" And the cry of "Liberty or Death" rang through the valleys of Corsica, and was echoed from her mountain-tops.

The priests and monks joined in sounding the alarm. The mass of the people, especially those who dwelt in the mountains, had no notion of the power of France. They thought a few straggling regiments which they had seen comprised the whole of the French

armies The public in France were by no means inclined to a war with Corsica "What had they to do with Corsica? Had it never existed till now? Why then was it now thought of for the first time?" Besides, there seemed to be something not only useless but cowardly in directing the power of a great nation against a handful of poor but spirited mountaineers. The expedition under Chauvclin, with 12,000 men, also failed; and his troops, after their defeat at Borgo, were glad to retire into the fortresses, having no communication with each other but by sea. The Corsicans believed their deliverance accomplished. The English cabinet did no more than give in a feeble remonstrance at the court of Versailles,—(oh! impotent to save, powerful to destroy!)—and acquiesced in an evasive reply. But clubs were formed in London that sent arms and money, and a correspondence was kept up with Sardinia and other parts of Italy. Even Louis XV. was in some sort friendly, and showed no haste to set this new crown on his head, until it was represented to him how pleased the French philosophers would be to see the *Grand Monarque* foiled, and compelled to retreat before a free people. This, it was urged, would materially affect royal authority, since independence had its fanatics, who would see miracles in the success of so unequal a contest. There was no longer room for deliberation. The dread of opinion is the spring that has moved the politics of Europe and settled the question of peace or war for the last sixty years. Marshal De Vaux set sail for Corsica in 1768 with 30,000 men: the ports of the island were inundated with troops. The Corsicans made a brave, but ineffectual resistance. They could not raise more than 20,000 disposable troops, besides those which were necessary to keep the enemy's garrisons in check. The passage of the Golo was manfully disputed by the patriots. Not having had time to cut down the bridge, which was of stone, they made use of the bodies of their dead to form a rampart. Paoli, driven to the southward of the island,



embarked in an English ship at Porto Vecchio, landed at Leghorn, crossed the Continent, and repaired to London. He was every where received with tokens of respect and admiration, both by the people and their princes. The quarrel in which he had been engaged, and to which he had fallen a sacrifice, was not then generally understood to have more than a personal or local application. The stream of liberty ran like the crystal spring, making its way through the clefts of rocks or among wild flowers, the object of curiosity and pity, and had not then, as afterwards, swollen into a torrent, burst through all obstacles that contained it, and swept away states and kingdoms in its furious course, filling the world with wonder and dread!

It was not to be looked for that the Corsicans should resist the numbers sent against them. Yet at one time Marshal De Vaux had very imprudently dispersed his troops, thinking the country subdued, though, in fact, none but old men, women, and children remained in the villages, and none but useless mutquets had been given up in disarming the inhabitants. All the brave men inured to arms by forty years' civil war, were wandering in the woods and caverns or on the tops of the mountains. Corsica is so difficult and dangerous a country, that a San Pietro Ormino under such circumstances might have fallen on the French army separately, and have cut them to pieces. But Paoli had not the military tact, promptitude, or vigour for executing so bold an enterprise. Four or five hundred persons followed him in his retreat and emigrated. a great number abandoned their villages and houses, and kept up a petty harassing warfare for a long time against their invaders. Five years after (in 1774) some of the refugees returned home, and raised an insurrection in Niohi, a point among the peaks of the highest mountains. The Count de Narbonne-Frizzlar and his *marechal de camp* Simonville, disgraced themselves, and made the French name odious, by the cruelties they com-

mitted on this occasion, burning the dwellings, cutting down the olive and chestnut-trees, and pulling up the vines belonging not only to the patriots themselves (or *banditi*, as they were termed) but to their kinsmen to the third degree. The inhabitants were struck with terror by this treatment, but harboured a deep and lasting resentment.

The views of the court of Versailles were, however, upon the whole moderate. The Corsicans were allowed provincial states, the magistracy of the twelve nobles (an ancient Pisan institution), and a direct appeal to the throne, representing the grievances of which they had to complain, once a year. Schools were opened; encouragement was given to commerce and agriculture; the taxes were not burdensome; and it was in Corsica that the French economists first made the experiment of taxation in kind. In the course of twenty years the island was considerably improved; but all these advantages produced no effect on the sentiments of the people, who in their hearts were anything but French at the period of the Revolution. We are not thankful for benefits conferred against our will. A French infantry-officer, who was crossing the mountains, entered into conversation with a shepherd on the ingratitude of his countrymen. "In your Paoli's time, you paid double what you pay now." "True, signor; but then we gave it, and now you take it!" The native wit of these islanders appears on most occasions, and was at this time sharpened by political animosities. One of their repartees may serve as a specimen for many others. Some officers of rank travelling in Nioli were observing one evening to their host, one of the poorest inhabitants of the place, "What a difference there is between us Frenchmen and you Corsicans: see how we are clothed and maintained!" The peasant rose, and looking at them attentively, asked each of them his name. One turned out to be a marquis, another a baron, and a third a chevalier. "Pshaw!" said the peasant, "I should like very well to be dressed as you are, I own;

but pray, are all Frenchmen marquises, barons, and chevaliers?"

The Revolution produced a great alteration in the disposition of these people: they became reconciled to the French in 1790. Paoli then left England, where he had been living on a pension allowed him by the King, passed through Paris, where he was received in the most flattering manner, and returned to his own country after an absence of above twenty years. The whole island flocked to see him: his arrival occasioned a general rejoicing. He was invested with the chief power in the island, civil and military, and became once more exceedingly popular. He was, however, frequently astonished at the little attention he obtained in private conferences. Many of those very persons who had followed him into England, where they had spent their whole time in uttering curses against France, were now the most refractory to his authority. A new era had arrived, and he did not perceive it. He began to waver in his opinion of the Revolution after the well-known 10th of August. The death of Louis XVI. completed his dissatisfaction. He was denounced by the popular societies of Provence; and the National Convention summoned him to its bar. This was an invitation to lay down his head upon the scaffold. He was near eighty years of age. He had but one resource, which was to appeal to his countrymen, and prevail on them to revolt against the Convention. The banner of the Death's-head was in an instant hoisted on every steeple, and Corsica ceased to belong to the Republic. After the evacuation of Toulon by the English, Admiral Hood landed 12,000 men, under the orders of Nelson, at San Fiorenzo. Paoli joined them with 4000 more, and they surrounded Bastia, which fell after a siege of four months, and an obstinate resistance by La Combe St Michel and Gentili. General Dundas, encamped with 1000 men at San Fiorenzo, refused to take part in the siege without the special order of his government.

In the month of June, 1794, the Council, with Paoli at its head, proposed that the crown of Corsica should be offered to the King of England. A deputation, consisting of Galeazzi, Filippo of Vescovato, Negroni of Bastia, and Cesare Rocca of La Rocca, proceeded to London for this purpose, and the King accepted the offer. Sir Gilbert Elliot was appointed viceroy, with young Colonna and Pozzo di Borgo (since ambassador from Russia to France) under him. They soon quarrelled with Paoli, who declared in a pique, "This is my kingdom. I carried on war against the King of France for two years, I expelled the republicans, if you violate the privileges and rights of the people, I can more easily expel you than I did them." He had expected to be chosen governor; and was extremely disappointed and chagrined to find others placed in authority over him. His views suited the policy of neither party, and he became by turns the outcast of both. He was willing to make Corsica the focus of contention and independence on a small scale, though he would not allow France to be so on a large one. Persons of this stamp are surprised that they cannot get all the rest of the world to agree with them, though they are determined to see every object from their own narrow and pragmatistical point of view. Shortly after, he received a friendly letter from the King of England, begging him to go and spend the remainder of his days in a country where he was respected and had been happy. This invitation was considered as a command; after some hesitation, he submitted to necessity, and went to London, where he died in 1807. It has been said that he afterwards regretted the part he had taken on this occasion. By his will he left a considerable sum to establish a university at Corte.

The Corsicans very soon grew discontented with their new masters. Their language, their manners, their religion, and mode of living were equally strange to them. This was the first time since the origin of Christianity that their territory had been profaned by

what they regarded with abhorrence as an heretical worship. In the meantime, Napoleon entered Milan, and took possession of Leghorn, where he collected all the Corsican refugees under the command of Gentili. At a grand entertainment at Ajaccio, young Colonna was accused, though unjustly, of having insulted the bust of Paoli. The mere rumour was sufficient to provoke hostilities; the viceroy was hemmed in, and his two favourite advisers with difficulty escaped and reached the sea-coast in disguise and by cross-roads. In October, 1796, Gentili with his refugees made good his landing, in spite of the vigilance of the English cruisers. They called for a general rising of the people, the summits of the mountains were covered with fires during the night, and the hoarse sound of the horn, the signal of insurrection, was heard in the valleys. The republican party seized upon Bastia and on the different fortresses. The English hastily embarked, leaving a number of prisoners. The King of England wore the crown of Corsica two years, a distinction which cost the British treasury five millions sterling. Corsica from this time formed the twenty-third military division of the Republic. General Vaubois was entrusted with the command of it. In the beginning of 1798 a partial insurrection broke out, on a religious account, in Fiumorbo, at the head of which General Giafferi was persuaded by his confessor to place himself. He was seventy years of age. He was taken prisoner, and given up to a military commission to be shot. His cruel end was deeply lamented by his fellow citizens and old companions in arms. He was the son of the famous Giafferi, who had commanded for thirty years in the war of independence. His name and age might alone to have saved him.

Corsica is situated at the distance of twenty leagues from the coast of Tuscany, forty from that of Provence, and sixty from that of Spain. The surface of the island is fifteen hundred square miles in extent, and contains four maritime towns, Bastia, Ajaccio,

Calvi, and Bonifacio, sixty-three *pieves* (or parishes), four hundred and fifty hamlets, and three fine harbours, capable of holding the largest fleets, namely, San Fiorenza, Ajaccio, and Porto-Vecchio. A chain of lofty mountains runs through the island from the north-west to the south-east, the highest peaks of the range are covered with perpetual snow. The three principal rivers are the Golo, the Liamone, and the Tavignano. Rivers and torrents rush from the highest mountains, and fall into the sea in all directions, towards their mouths are small verdant spots, five or six miles in circuit. The coast on the side of Italy from Bastia to Aleria, is a level sixty miles in length, and from ten to twelve in breadth. The isle is woody, and the valleys filled with olives, mulberry, orange, lemon, and other fruit trees. The sides of the mountains are clothed with chestnut trees of the largest species, with villages of the most romantic appearance peeping out and forming a kind of natural fortifications. On the tops of the hills are forests of pine, fir, and evergreen oaks. The pine-trees are equal in size to those of Russia, but less durable, lasting only three or four years when made into masts for vessels. Oil, wine, silk, and timber are the four staple commodities of the island, that are proper for exportation. San Fiorenza ought to be the capital. Corsica possesses a beautiful climate in the winter months, but in the heat of summer it grows dry, and there is a want of water, which drives the inhabitants into the recesses of the hills, whence they descend again in winter either to graze their flocks or to cultivate the plains. The population is not a hundred and eighty thousand, though it might be five hundred thousand. This is one instance, among so many others, that history and geography afford, to show that the earth is not full, or that population is not necessarily and wisely kept back by its having reached the utmost possible limits of the means of subsistence but that various political and accidental causes constantly conspire to depress it much below the level of

the means of subsistence or natural resources of the country. Not only is it untrue that population and the means of subsistence have (according to a very prevalent hypothesis, and as a general and invariable rule) attained their *maximum*, beyond which every advance is to be deprecated as the most serious evil, but it is clear in most instances, both that the earth, by care and management, might be made to produce a much greater quantity of food than it actually does, and that its produce might be distributed in such a manner as to maintain a much greater number of persons in equal ease and plenty. That it does not do so is not the fault of the earth, but the fault or (as some will have it) the excellence of human institutions. There is surely some neglect, waste, or misapplication of obvious advantages in the best-ordered communities, and much more so in the worst. Nay, further, the same causes which keep population down below its natural or necessary limits, such as ignorance, barbarism, oppression, &c., also tend to render the country remains of it degraded and miserable. Where there are few inhabitants, those few are uniformly ill off. Good government, arts, industry, and civilization at the same time favour the population, and diffuse comfort and abundance among them. The contrary doctrine is a paradox, founded neither on facts nor reasoning, but which has gained converts because it serves as a screen for the abuses of power, and to shift the responsibility of a number of evils existing in the world from the shoulders of individuals on the order of Providence or on the mass of the people. Before the invasion of Corsica by the Saracens, it appears that all the seashore was peopled. Aleria and Mariana two Roman colonies, were great cities of sixty thousand souls, but the incursions of the Moors in the seventh and eighth centuries, and afterwards those of the Barbary powers, drove the whole population into the mountains. Hence the plains became uninhabited, and in process of time unproductive. If the plain of Lombardy were suffered,

## ACCOUNT OF CORSICA.

through mismanagement, or oppressive exactions, foreign wars, to go into neglect, it would become, like the Campagna of Rome, instead of a fruitful and populous country, a pestilential marsh, and we should hear complaints of the niggaardliness of nature, and of the impossibility of remedying it by human art and contrivance \*

The Corsicans retain some traces of Eastern manners, as well as of barbarous life. For example the father of the family and the sons sit at table while the wife and daughters wait upon them, or eat their meal in one corner of the room standing. When they go a journey, the husband rides on before, well armed and mounted, and the wife follows on foot carrying one or two of her children. Boys at twelve years of age learn the use of the gun, and go armed like men. You are in constant danger of being stopped on the high-roads by straggling banditti. Troops of these enter the towns and country-houses and carry off the most respectable individuals, who on paying a certain ransom, are suffered to return home, and are glad to hush the matter up. The priests even, in some remote districts, officiate at the altar armed, and are often compelled to give absolution to assassins, under pain of becoming themselves their victims. The state of Corsica presents the image of war in time of peace. The natives approach to the wildness of the savage or animal tribes. Hunted down, exposed to the incursions and ravages of different neighbouring states for centuries, their natural fierceness has been exasperated by danger and ill-usage, jealousy, distrust, hatred, sudden shifts, want,

\* Young, in his Travels through France, says, "We passed three rivers, the waters of which might be applied to irrigation, yet no use made of them. The Duke de Bouillon has vast possessions in these lands. A *grand Seigneur* will at any time, and in any country, explain the reason of unprovable land being left waste." Yet Arthur Young was no enthusiast, but a plain, practical man. But this was forty years ago, before common sense and liberal feeling were overclouded and buried under a heap of paradoxes and counter-paradoxes.



and sloth are become familiar to them. They plant themselves on the top of a rock for security against the fenced foe, instead of cultivating the field beneath as a precaution against hunger, the necessity of watching a precarious subsistence by chance or violence takes away the industry or patience required to improve their condition, the hoarse sound of the horn hurrying in the ear of memory keeps alive their courage and their fears; and to inflict or revenge injuries is considered as the first duty they owe to their country. Feuds between families are handed down with unabated rancour from one generation to another; and a bride reckons as the most valuable part of her dowry the number of her kindred, who are bound to take up and avenge her quarrel. How for this picturesque and dramatic situation of things may have its charms either for the inhabitants themselves or in the eyes of the poet or romance-writer, is another question; but there is nothing in the considerations of philosophy or the laws of statistics, to prevent them from exchanging it for one of greater security, numbers and plenty, whenever they choose.

The most effectual means for accomplishing such an object as laid down by Napoleon, are—1 A good code of rural laws, to protect agriculture against the injury of the cattle, and to destroy the goats. 2 The draining of the marshes, so as to recall the inhabitants by degrees to the sea-coast. 3 Premiums for the encouragement of planting and the grafting of olive and mulberry trees which ought to be double for plantations by the sea-side. 4 A just but severe justice, and a general and absolute disarming, as well with respect to great as small arms, such as stilettoes and piques. 5 Two hundred places, exclusively reserved for young Corsicans in the military and veterinary schools, and schools of agriculture, arts, and commerce in France. 6 A regular exportation of timber for the use of the navy, and consequently the reconstruction of towns by the sea-side and at the entrance of the forts, since it ought to be the con-

arbour was engrained on his resentment of the wrongs or insults suffered by Corsica from the old French government, and on the hope of her emancipation. He retained to the last a vivid recollection of the scene of his early childhood, and spoke of its valleys, its precipices, its torrents, its glowing sky, and keen passions with all the enthusiasm of a lover. Those objects excite the deepest regret which give scope to the imagination, not those which satisfy it. His attachment to Corsica must have been strong, since he fancied at one time it might afford him a final refuge from his enemies. He repelled with indignation the sarcasm thrown out by some writer, that "the French had sought an emperor among a people whom the Romans had refused to receive for slaves." This, which was meant for a satire, was in fact a compliment. Their unwillingness to serve did not make them unfit to rule. Yet the French themselves sometimes affect

## ACCOUNT OF CORSICA.

to throw the blame of Buonaparte's ambition and of all their misfortunes (which they say he brought upon them) on the original sin of his not being born in France.\*

\* The particulars of the foregoing account are chiefly taken from his Memoirs, and may therefore be considered as in all likelihood comprising the substance of his *History of Corsica*, which has been lost

## CHAPTER III.

## THE FRENCH REVOLUTION—PRELIMINARY REMARKS

BUONAPARTE was not quite twenty years old, when the French Revolution broke out in 1789. From the time of his being employed at the siege of Toulon and in the war of Italy which followed, he may be considered as its sword-arm. From that time, its fate became in a manner bound up with his. It awaited his appearance to triumph and to perish with him. It will be therefore not improper in this place to give some account of its origin and progress up to that period.

The French Revolution might be described as a remote but inevitable result of the invention of the art of printing. The gift of speech, or the communication of thought by words, is that which distinguishes man from other animals. But this faculty is limited and imperfect without the intervention of books, which render the knowledge possessed by every one in the community accessible to all. There is no doubt, then, that the press (as it has existed in modern times) is the great organ of intellectual improvement and civilisation. It was impossible in the point of view, that those institutions, which were founded in a state of society and manners long ante-

rior to this second breathing of understanding into the life of man, should remain on the same proud footing after it, with all their disproportions and defects. Many of these, indeed, must be softened by the lapse of time and influence of opinion, and give way of their own accord but others are too deeply rooted in the passions and interests of men to be wrenched asunder without violence, or by the mutual consent of the parties concerned, and it is this which makes revolutions necessary, with their train of lasting good and present evil. When a government, like an old-fashioned building, has become crazy and rotten, stops the way of improvement, and only serves to collect diseases and corruption, and the proprietors refuse to come to any compromise, the community proceed in this as in some other cases, they set summarily to work—"they pull down the house, they abate the nuisance." All other things had changed. why then should governments remain the same, an excrescence and an incumbrance on the state? It is only because they have most power and most interest to continue their abuses. This circumstance is a reason why it is doubly incumbent on those who are aggrieved by them to get rid of them; and makes the shock the greater, when opinion at last becomes a match for arbitrary power.

The feudal system was in full vigour almost up to the period of the discovery of printing. Much had been done since that time. but it was the object of the French Revolution to get rid at one blow of the frame-work and of the last relics of that system. Before the diffusion of knowledge and inquiry, governments were for the most part the growth of brute force or of barbarous superstition. Power was in the hands of a few, who used it only to gratify their own pride, cruelty, or avarice, and who took every means to extend and cement it by fear and favour. The lords of the earth, disdaining to rule by the choice or for the benefit of the mass of the community, whom they regarded and treated as no better than a herd of

castles, derived their title from the skies, pretending to be accountable for the exercise or abuse of their authority to God only—the throne rested on the altar, and every species of atrocity or wanton insult having power on its side, received the sanction of religion, which it was thenceforth impiety and rebellion against the will of Heaven to impugn. This state of things continued and grew worse and worse, while knowledge and power were confined within mere local and personal limits. Each petty sovereign shut himself up in his castle or fortress, and scattered havoc and dismay over the unresisting country around him. In an age of ignorance and barbarism, when force and interest decided every thing, and reason had no means of making itself heard, what was to prevent this or act as a check upon it? The lord himself had no other measure of right than his own will. his pride and passions would blind him to every consideration of conscience or humanity, he would regard every act of disobedience as a crime of the deepest die, and to give unbridled sway to his lawless humours would become the ruling passion and sole study of his life. How would it stand with those within the immediate circle of his influence or his vengeance? Fear would make them cringe, and lick the feet of their haughty and capricious oppressor: the hope of reward or the dread of punishment would smother the sense of justice or pity, despair of success would make them cowards, habit would confirm them into slaves, and they would look up with bigoted devotion (the boasted *loyalty* of the good old times) to the right of the strongest as the only law. A king would only be the head of a confederation of such petty despots, and the happiness or rights of the people would be equally disregarded by them both. He would instead of curbing this state of rapine and anarchy, become an accomplice and a party in the crime; gave absolution and plenary indulgence to all sorts of enormities; granting the forgiveness of the king in return for a rich jewel or fat abbey-lands.

and setting up a regular (and what in the end proved an intolerable) traffic in violence, cruelty, and lust. As to the restraints of law, there was none but what resided in the breast of the *Grand Seigneur*, who hung up in his court-yard, without judge or jury, any one who dared to utter the slightest murmur against the most flagrant wrong. Such must be the consequence, as long as there was no common standard or impartial judge to appeal to, and this could only be found in public opinion, the offspring of books. As long as any unjust claim or transaction is confined to the knowledge of the parties concerned, the tyrant and the slave, which is the case in all unlettered states of society, *might* must prevail over *right*, for the strongest will bully, and the weakest must submit, even in his own defence, and persuade himself that he is in the wrong, even in his own despite: but the instant the world (that dread jury) are impanelled, and called to look on and be umpires in the scene, so that nothing is done by connivance or in a corner, then reason mounts the judgment-seat in lieu of passion or interest, and opinion becomes law, instead of arbitrary will, and farewell feudal lord and sovereign king!

From the moment that the press opens the eyes of the community beyond the actual sphere in which each moves, there is from that time inevitably formed the germ of a body of opinion directly at variance with the selfish and servile code that before reigned paramount, and approximating more and more to the manly and disinterested standard of truth and justice. Hitherto force, fraud, and fear decided every question of individual right or general reasoning, the possessor of rank and influence, in answer to any censure or objection to his conduct, appealed to God and to his sword — now a new principle is brought into play which had never been so much as dreamt of, and before which he must make good his pretensions, or it will shatter his strongholds of pride and prejudice to atoms, as the pent-up air shatters whatever resists

its expansive force. This power is public opinion, exercised upon men, things, and general principles, and to which mere physical power must conform, or it will crumble it to powder. Books alone teach us to judge of truth and good in the abstract. Without a knowledge of things at a distance from us, we judge like savages or animals from our senses and appetites only; but by the aid of books and of an intercourse with the world of ideas, we are purified, raised, ennobled from savages into intellectual and rational beings. Our impressions of what is near to us are false, of what is distant, feeble, but the last gaining strength from being united in public opinion, and expressed in the public voice, are like the congregated roar of many waters, and quail the hearts of princes. Who but the tyrant does not hate the tyrant? Who but the slave does not despise the slave? The first of these looks upon himself as a God, upon his vassal as a clod of the earth, and forces him to be of the same opinion: the philosopher looks upon them both as men, and instructs the world to do so. While they had to settle their pretensions by themselves, and in the night of ignorance, it is no wonder no good was done, while pride intoxicated the one, and fear stupefied the other. But let them be brought out of that dark cave of despotism and superstition, and let a thousand other persons, who have no interest but that of truth and justice, be called on to determine between them, and the plea of the lordly oppressor to make a beast of burden of his fellow-man becomes as ridiculous as it is odious. All that the light of philosophy, the glow of patriotism, all that the brain excited in midnight study, the blood poured out upon the scaffold or in the field of battle, can do or have a chance to take this question in all cases from before the first gross, blind and iniquitous tribunal, where error in its over weakness, and place it before the last more just, disinterested, and in the end more sound tribunal, where each individual is tried by his merits, and according to rules and principles which



have received the common examination and the common consent. A public sense is thus formed, free from slavish awe or the traditional assumption of insolent superiority, which the more it is exercised becomes the more enlightened and enlarged, and more and more requires equal rights and equal laws. This new sense acquired by the people, this new organ of opinion and feeling, is like bringing a battering-train to bear upon some old Gothic castle, long the den of rapine and crime, and must finally prevail against all absurd and antiquated institutions, unless it is violently suppressed, and this engine of political reform turned by bribery and terror against itself. Who in reading history, where the characters are laid open and the circumstances fairly stated, and where he himself has no false bias to mislead him, does not take part with the oppressed against the oppressor? Who is there that admires Nero at the distance of two thousand years? Did not the *Tartuffe* in a manner hoot religious hypocrisy out of France, and was it not on this account constantly denounced by the clergy? What do those, who read the annals of the Inquisition, think of that dread tribunal? And what has softened its horrors but those annals being read? What figure does the massacre of St Bartholomew make in the eyes of posterity? But books anticipate and conform the decision of the public, of individuals, and even of the actors in such scenes, to that lofty and unevocable standard, and mould and fashion the heart and inmost thoughts upon it, so that something manly, liberal, and generous grows out of the fever of passion and the palsy of base fear, and this is what is meant by the progress of modern civilisation and modern philosophy. An individual in a barbarous age and country throws another who has displeased him (without other warrant than his own will) into a dungeon, where he pines for years, and then dies, and perhaps only the mouldering bones of the victim, discovered long after, disclose his fate or if known at the time, the confessor gives absolution, and the few who are let into

the secret are intimidated from giving vent to their feelings, and hardly dare disapprove in silence. Let this act of violence be repeated afterwards in story, and there is not an individual in the whole nation whose bosom does not swell with pity, or whose blood does not curdle within him at the recital of so foul a wrong. Why then should there be an individual in a nation privileged to do what no other individual in the nation can be found to approve? But he has the power, and will not part with it in spite of public opinion. Then that public opinion must become active, and break the moulds of prescription in which his right derived from his ancestors is cast, and this will be a Revolution. Is that a state of things to regret or bring back, the bare mention of which makes one shudder? But the form, the shadow of it only was left: then why keep up that form, or cling to a shadow of injustice, which is no less odious than contemptible, except to make an improper use of it? Let all the wrongs public and private produced in France by arbitrary power and exclusive privileges for a thousand years be collected in a volume, and let this volume be read by all who have hearts to feel or capacity to understand, and the strong, stifling sense of oppression and kindling burst of indignation that would follow will be that impulse of public opinion that led to the French Revolution. Let all the victims that have perished under the mild, paternal sway of the ancient *regime*, in dungeons, and in agony, without a trial, without an accusation, without witnesses, be assembled together, and then chains struck off, and the shout of jubilee and exultation they would make, or that we would make at the sight, will be the shout that was heard when the Bastille fell! The dead pause that ensued among the gods of the earth, the rankling mirth the comic-tear, when they saw law and justice reduced to an equality with their sovereign will, and mankind no longer doomed to be their sport, was that of man's robbed of their prey: their struggles, their unyielding perseverance, and their final

triumph was that of fiends when it is restored to them !

It has been sometimes pretended as if the French Revolution burst out like a volcano, without any previous warning, only to alarm and destroy—or was one of those comet-like appearances, the approach of which no one can tell till the shock and conflagration are felt. What is the real state of the case ? There was not one of those abuses and grievances which the rough grasp of the Revolution shook to air, that had not been the butt of ridicule, the theme of indignant invective, the subject of serious reprobation for near a century. They had been held up without ceasing and without answer to the derision of the gay, the scorn of the wise, the sorrow of the good. The most witty, the most eloquent, the most profound writers were unanimous in their wish to remove or reform these abuses, and the most dispassionate and well-informed part of the community joined in the sentiment, it was only the self-interested or the grossly ignorant who obstinately clung to them. Every public and private complaint had been subjected to the touchstone of inquiry and argument, the page of history, of fiction, of the drama, of philosophy had been laid open, and their contents poured into the public ear, which turned away disgusted from the arts of sophistry or the menace of authority. It was this operation of opinion, enlarging its circle, and uniting nearly all the talents, the patriotism, and the independence of the country in its service, that brought about the events which followed. Nothing else did or could. It was not a dearth of provisions, the loss of the queen's jewels, that could overturn all the institutions and usages of a great kingdom—it was not the Revolution that produced the change in the face of society, but the change in the texture of society that produced the Revolution, and brought its outward appearance into a nearer correspondence with its inward sentiments. There is no other way

of accounting for so great and sudden a transition. Power, prejudice, interest, custom, ignorance, sloth, and cowardice were against it. what then remained to counterbalance this weight, and to overturn all obstacles, but reason and conviction which were for it? *Magna est veritas, et prevalebit* A king was no longer thought to be an image of the Divinity; a lord to be of a different species from other men; a priest to carry an immediate passport to heaven in his pocket. On what possible plea or excuse then, when the ground of opinion on which they rested was gone, attempt to keep up the same exclusive and exorbitant pretensions, without any equivalent to the community in the awe and veneration they felt for them? Why should a nobleman be permitted to spit in your face, to rob you of an estate, or to debauch your wife or daughter with impunity, when it was no longer deemed an honour for him to do so? If manners had undergone a considerable change in this respect, so that the right was rarely exercised, why not abrogate the insult implied in the very forbearance from the injury, alike intolerable to the free-born spirit of man? Why suspend the blow over your head, if it was not meant to descend upon it? Or why hold up claims in idle mockery, which good sense and reason alike disowned, as if there were really a distinction in the two classes of society, and the one were rightful lords over the other, instead of being by nature all equal? But the evil did not stop here, for it was never yet known that men wished to retain the semblance of a wrong, when they aimed at profiting as far as in them lay, by the practice of it. While the king wore the orientated crown that was supposed to be let down in a golden chain from heaven on his head, while the lord dyed his sword in blood, while the priest worked sacred miracles, with a crucifix and beads, they did not claim to be masters of the world, and to trample in triple phalanx on mankind. but why they should expect us to allow this claim in

mere courtesy and good-will, when it is no longer backed by fraud or force, is difficult to comprehend. What is a legitimate government? It is a government that professedly derives its title from the grace of God and its ancestors, that sets the choice or the good of the governed equally at defiance, and that is amenable for the use it makes of its power only to its own caprice, pride, or malice. It is an outrage and a burlesque on every principle of common sense or liberty. It puts the means for the end, mistakes a trust for a property, considers the honours and offices of the state as its natural inheritance, and the law as an unjust encroachment on its arbitrary will. What motive can there be for tolerating such a government a single instant, except from sheer necessity or blindfold ignorance? Or what chance of modifying it so as to answer any good purpose, without a total subversion of all its institutions, principles, or prejudices? The kings of France, tamed by opinion, conforming to the manners of the time, no longer stabbed a faithful counsellor in the presence chamber, or strangled a competitor for the throne in a dungeon, or laid waste a country or fired a city for a whim. but they still made peace or war as they pleased, or hung the wealth of a province in a mistress's ear, or lost a battle by the promotion of a favourite, or ruined a treasury by the incapacity of a minister of high birth and connexions. The noble no longer, as in days of yore, hung up his vassal at his door for a disrespectful word or look (which was called the *haute justice*), or issued with a numerous retinue from his lofty portcullis to carry fire and sword into the neighbouring country, but he too laboured in his vocation, and in the proud voluptuous city drained the last pittance from the toil-worn peasant by taxes, grants, and exactions, to waste it on his own vanity, luxury, and vices. If he had a quarrel with an inferior or with a rival less favoured than himself, the king would issue his *lettre-de-cachet*,

and give the refractory and unsuspecting offender a lodging for life in what Mr. Burke is pleased to call the "*king's castle*!" Had opinion put a stop to this crying abuse, had it rendered this odious privilege of royalty merely nominal? "In the mild reign of Louis XV. alone," according to Blackstone, "there were no less than 15,000 *lettres-de-cachet* issued." Some persons will think this fact alone sufficient to account for and to justify the overturning of the government in the reign of his successor. The priests no longer tied their victim to the stake or devoted him to the assassin's poniard as of old, they thought it enough if they could wallow in the fat of the land, pander to the vices of the rich and the abuses of power, to which they looked for the continuance of wealth and influence, and fly-blow every liberal argument and persecute every liberal writer, from whom they dreaded their loss. From the moment that the ancient *régime* ceased to be supported by that system of faith and manners in which it had originated, the whole order of the state became warped and disunited, a wretched jumble of claims that were neither enforced nor relinquished. There was ill-blood sown between the government and the people, heart-burning, jealousy, an want of confidence between the different members of the community. Every advance in civilisation was regarded by one party with dislike and distrust, while by the other every privilege held by ancient tenure was censured as the offspring of pride and prejudice. The court was like a decayed beauty, that viewed her youthful rival's charms with scorn and apprehension. The nation, in the language of the day, *had hitherto been nothing, was everything, and wanted to be something*. The great mass of society felt itself as a degraded caste, and was determined to wipe out the stigma with which every one of its opinions, sentiments and pretensions was branded. This was a thing no longer to be endured, and must be got rid of at any rate. The States-General of 1789 met

under different auspices from what they did in 1614, when the president of the nobles reviled the *Tiers Etat*, and was echoed by the King with greater acerbity of language, for begging to be looked upon in the light of "a younger brother of the family"\*

\* EXTRACT FROM THE PROCES-VERBAL OF THE NOBILITY OF THE STATES-GENERAL OF 1614 P 113.

"On Tuesday, 25th of November, having obtained an audience, Mons de Senecey addressed the King thus —

"Sire,

"The goodness of our kings has always granted to their nobility the privilege of having recourse to them on all occasions, the greatness of their quality bringing them near their own persons, so that they have always been the principal executors of their royal behests.

"I should never have done, Sire, were I to recapitulate to your Majesty all that antiquity has handed down to us of the pre-eminence which birth has given to this order, and what distinction there is between it and the rest of the people, with which it can suffer no sort of comparison. I could extend the subject, Sire, to a great length, but a truth so glaring has need of no other testimony than that which is known to all the world—and then I speak before the King, whom we hope to find as jealous to preserve to us that lustre which we share with him, as we should ourselves be anxious to require and intreat of him, sorry that an extraordinary novelty opens our mouth rather to complaints than to the very humble supplications for which we are at this time assembled.

"Sire, your Majesty has been pleased to assemble the States-General of the three orders of your kingdom, orders destined and separated from each other by their functions and their rank. The church, dedicated to the service of God, and for the direction of souls, holds the first rank. We honour the prelates and ministers as fathers and mediators for our reconciliation with God.

"The nobility, Sire, holds the second rank. It is the right arm of justice, the support of your throne, and is the invincible defence of the state. Under the happy auspices, and by the brave conduct of our kings, at the price of their blood, and by the force of their victorious arms, the public peace has been established, and by their endeavours the Commons are enabled to enjoy the conveniences which peace affords them.

"This order, Sire, which holds the third rank in the assembly, an order composed of the people, both of town and country, these last are dependants on and under the jurisdiction of the two first orders, those of the towns, commoners, tradesmen, and some officers. These are they who, forgetting their situation and all sort of duty, without the consent of those whom they represent, wish to compare themselves to us.

"I blush, Sire, to tell you the terms which have now offended us. They compare your state to a family composed of three brothers. They say that the ecclesiastical order is the eldest, ours the second,

From the same want of unity and concert in the parts of the system, magnificent roads were built by the *corvées* or forced labour of the peasants, leading to where, and without a traveller upon them, to gratify the caprice and ostentation of the lords of the manor. Great and expensive works were undertaken by royal liberality, and laid aside by royal caprice or ministerial incapacity. The resources of the country, clogged by the remains of feudal tenures, by the ravages of the game-laws, and the sloth and depression resulting from partial laws, were found inadequate to keep pace with the expenses of the court, conducted on a scale of modern dissipation and extravagance. All this was known, and had been repeated a thousand times, till it became a kind of burning shame at the door. Such a state of things was ripe for change. After Pascal's *Provincial Letters*, the treatises of the Economists, and the clouds of *Mémoires* of the courts of Louis XIV and XV., after the wit of Voltaire and the eloquence of Rousseau had exhausted every topic, light or serious, connected with the prevailing order of things, the old French government became effete in all its branches, and fell to the ground as a useless incumbrance, almost without

and their own the year 1789. Into what a miserable condition are we all at this time! After that, what would be the use of so many titles and honours from time immemorial, so many honours and dignities transmitted hereditarily to the nobility, and deserved by their valour and fidelity, had they really, instead of raising it, abased it, so that it could be in the most intimate sort of society with the common people, that admits among men, namely brotherhood. A man who calls himself a brother, they attribute to him the restoration of the state in which, as France well knows, they had no share, so that every one knows that long time in the past of compare themselves to us, and a pretension, which is a fatal lesion, would be insupportable.

For instance, Sir, and by an equitable decree cause them to return to the state, and acknowledge who we are, and what a difference it makes between us. We humbly beseech this of your Majesty, as the wish of all the French nobility, since it is in their name that we now live, and preserving their pre-eminence, they may devote, and have always been doing, to our lives and honour to the service of your Majesty.



a struggle, and without one feeling of regret in one worthy and well-informed mind \*

Nor was this all. England had long set the example, and had long been looked up to for the opinions of her writers and the freedom of her institutions by those who wished to serve the cause of their country or of mankind. Nor had she been backward to encourage this disposition, but had been in the constant practice of "insulting the slavery of the rest of Europe by the loudness of her boasts of freedom." The spirit of the reigning government and laws was founded on one regicide, that of Charles I, on the glorious Revolution of 1688 under King William, and on the succession of the present family to the throne, in spite of two rebellions to restore the legitimate Pretender, and to re-establish popery and

\* The subjoined passage, taken from Arthur Young's Travels in France in the year 1787, will show how little the French Revolution could be characterised as a merely fortuitous or unexpected event

"Dined to day (Sept 17) with a party whose conversation was entirely political. One opinion pervaded the whole company, that they are on the eve of some great revolution in the government; that everything points to it, the confusion in the finances great, with a *deficit* impossible to provide for without the States-General of the kingdom, yet no ideas formed of what would be the consequence of their meeting, no minister existing, or to be looked to in or out of power, with such decisive talents as to promise any other remedy than palliative ones, a prince on the throne with excellent dispositions, but without the resources of a mind that could govern in such a moment without ministers, a court buried in pleasure and dissipation, and adding to the distress, instead of endeavouring to be placed in a more independent situation, a great ferment amongst all ranks of men, who are eager for some change, without knowing what to look or to hope for, and a strong leaven of liberty, increasing every hour since the American Revolution; altogether form a combination of circumstances that promise ere long to ferment into motion, if some master-hand, of very superior talents, and inflexible courage, is not found at the helm to guide events, instead of being driven by them. It is very remarkable that such conversation never occurs, but a bankruptcy is the topic. All agree that the States of the kingdom cannot assemble without more liberty being the consequence but I meet with so few men that have any just ideas of freedom, that I question much the species of this new liberty that is to arise. They know not how to value the privileges of THE PEOPLE. As to the nobility and clergy, if a revolution added anything to their scale, I think it would do more mischief than good"—Vol i p. 138

slavery. The Reformation was the great event in modern times (aided and prompted by the invention of printing) that, striking at the encroachments of the papal power (the nursing-mother of ignorance and blind submission) shook all arbitrary, self-constituted power to its centre, and destroyed the illusions both of spiritual and secular authority, by bringing them to the test of reason and conscience. The tiara and the crown lost their magnetic charm together. The domineering, supercilious pretensions of infallible orthodoxy and bloated power were inseparably linked together, and both gave way or recoiled under the shock and encounter of the common nature and the common understanding of man. The first step to emancipate the bodies of the enslaved people, was to enfranchise their minds, and the foundation of the political rights and independence of states was laid in the ruins of that monstrous superstition, that reared its head to the skies, and ground both princes and people to powder. The first blows that staggered this mighty fabric were given, and the first crash was heard abroad, but England echoed it back with "her island voice," and from that time the triumph of truth and reason over pride and hypocrisy was a sure, though remote and arduous. The principle of religious toleration became the counterpart and true ally of civil liberty in England: the habit of refusing to subscribe to bigoted dogmas for conscience sake, and in matters of faith, was the germ and root of that manly independence of spirit and resistance to the encroachments or exactions of arbitrary power, which is so marked a feature in English history. There is something in the plain, grave, straightforward, sturdy character of the English people that renders them ready to assert their rights and grapple with the iron hand of power; and from the rigid discipline and simple forms of the Puritanic faith, engendered on the Protestant, there was an obvious tendency to republicanism.

The Reformation had laid open the translation of

the Bible to the meanest peasant, the effects of which were distinctly visible, both in our government and literature. The model of the Jewish theocracy was thus placed perpetually before the eyes of the political and religious enthusiast, who longed to reduce it to practice in the *English Commonwealth*. This mixture of faith and zeal gave a degree of sanctity and elevation to their political tenets, and the parliament-soldiers marched to the field of battle with the same fervour of feeling and heroic self-devotion that they would to take possession of the crown of martyrdom. Meanwhile, the Stuarts, either from regretting the privileges of their Scottish ancestors, or from their intermarriages with foreign princesses, imbibed more and more a spirit of absolute authority and implicit faith, that, coming into contact with the stern and reckless impulse derived more or less remotely from the Reformation, caused their ruin, first in the beheading of Charles I., and afterwards (for kings are superior to warning and experience) in the expulsion of his son, James II from his throne and kingdom, for persisting in the attempt to bring back Popery and arbitrary sway. The Revolution of 1688 gave the death's-wound to the doctrine of hereditary right, and fixed the sovereign power on a popular basis in practice. Mr. Locke's *Treatise on Government* (written at the desire of King William) settled the same question in theory for ever, and has been the text-book of all lovers of liberty and friends of their country ever since. This example, set by the English people, and confirmed by English philosophers, was the glass in which France (if she knew her own dignity and interest) was to dress herself. There was an honest simplicity and severity in our style of civil architecture (whether we chose to add or to retrench) that acted as a foil to the Gothic redundancy and disproportioned frippery of our continental neighbours. The French wits and politicians laughed at Sir Robert Filmer and his patriarchal scheme, and held up the energy and firmness of the English nation as an

example to their own. It is true the French government levied troops and money, and instigated and aided two rebellions (in 1715 and 1745) against the reigning family, bestowing on them every epithet of abuse and obloquy, as rebels, heretics, usurpers, upstarts, which the legitimate vocabulary affords, at the same time that the English press teemed with libels on the *Grand Monarque*, and not a newspaper, not a print, not a ballad, but was filled with sarcastic allusions to the wooden shoes and *soup-maigre* of the French under a debasing *regime*, which they were urged by every species of taunt and argument to throw off, and show themselves men. In short, the chief quarrel which the English had with the French was supposed (up to the period of which we have been speaking) to be that which freemen must ever have with slaves. When his Majesty George III. came to the crown, the claim of the Stuarts was either completely set aside or in a state of *abeyance*; the phantom of Divine Right, which had, during two reigns, haunted the august monarchs of the House of Hanover, had, however, no sooner vanished than another apparition arose in its stead—the dread of popular government. Hitherto the principles which had seated his Majesty's family on the throne were the favourite theme alike of patriots and courtiers, now the alarm from an hereditary Pretender being over, it was high time to exchange them for the principles that were to keep them there, and to prevent the dangerous precedent which had been set from spreading farther, or from being turned against those who had thus far only profited by it. As there was an unlucky flaw in the original title-deed, it was natural to make this good by every extension of influence and prerogative. It was a delicate point, either to do without the choice of the people, looking back to past attitudes, or to admit them into a copartnery in the concern, looking forward to possible contingencies; and on this point the courtiers and the patriots, the crown and the people, from that time

forward split, and it remained the bone of contention between the two parties, the source of endless heart-burnings, rancour, and jealousies, that "spread like a thick scurf" over the state, during the greater part of that reign. Almost immediately after its commencement, the right of the people to choose their representatives in parliament was grossly tampered with, and this was enough to show the temper and spirit of the new cabinet. Then the American war broke out, and soon after its disastrous conclusion the French Revolution—dreadful blows, following hard upon each other, to the deliberate design (if any such had been formed) to retrograde upon the steps of the Stuarts, now that there was no farther apprehension from their persons, and which unhinged the reason, though they could not quell the resolution of the reigning monarch. The cause of American independence had succeeded; it became doubly urgent to stifle the flame of liberty which had spread from thence to France, and might consume every neighbouring government in its dazzling blaze. Great was the disappointment, and foul the stain, when England declared itself against France, thus seeking to extinguish the light it had kindled once more in the night of slavery, and heading the league of kings against the people, thenceforth never to turn back till it had finally accomplished its unrelenting purpose!

What had England to do with the quarrel? Was her religion Catholic? She had been stigmatised for above two centuries, and almost shut out of the pale of Christendom as a heretic. Was her crown despotic? Her king reigned in contempt of an exiled Pretender and of hereditary right, as the king of a free people. Did her nobles form a privileged class, above the law? God forbid. Were her clergy armed with a power to bind and to loose, in heaven and on earth? It was long since they had been stripped of any such power or pretension. What then was the crime which drew down on France the vengeance not only of the despots of the Continent, but the last

unity and implacable hatred of a free nation and of a constitutional king? She had dared to aspire to the blessings of the English Constitution. Was there treason, was there danger in this? Yes; for if they made a step in advance from slavery to freedom, it was thought that we might be tempted to keep the start which we had always maintained in the race of freedom, and become *too free*! To this illiberal, mean, and envious policy we were not merely to sacrifice the peace and happiness of the world, but were to abjure and reverse and load with opprobrium every sentiment and maxim on which our own freedom and pre-eminence rested. Those who have deprived us of the natural language of liberty, and changed it to the fretful whine of the hunting-tigers of Legitimacy, have much to answer for. The dilemma was not a common one. It was judged best to wait, to watch, and to improve opportunity, to regard, "with jealous leer malign" the first attempts of liberty, to irritate by coldness and mistrust, to goad a people at all times too prone to excitement, into frenzy, in order that they might be led back manacled to their prison-house, and to rouse the national prejudices of John Bull against the French, as if this were the old vulgar quarrel, instead of being the great cause of mankind. The two noblest impulses of our nature, the love of country and the love of kind, were to be set in hostile array, and armed with inextinguishable fury against each other. It was a prostitution of names and things worthy of the end which it was meant to serve, and of those who planned and executed it! As this was a nice point to manage, the blow was not struck on our part till the French king's head fell on the scaffold for being secretly in league and correspondence with the other coalesced monarchs; but the storm had been long gathering. This was a great and mortifying change for Old England—from the champion of liberty to its ungenerous foe, from the ruler and beholder of its own kings to the avenger of the one of others. Mr. Burke was employed gradu-

ally to prepare the public mind for such a change, by sounding the alarm to power and discrediting the popular cause. The loud assertor of American independence appeared first the cautious calumniator, and afterwards, inflamed by opposition and encouraged by patronage, the infuriated denouncer of the French Revolution. He who had talked familiarly of kings as "lovers of low company," now qualified the people as "a swinish multitude." He who had so bespattered the late King that poor Goldsmith was obliged to leave the room, now had occasion to speak of him with proud humility as "his kind and gracious benefactor." Literary jealousy came in aid of royal bounty. He had always entertained a pique against Rousseau, whom he had known formerly when in England, and could not bear to see a great kingdom overturned by his genius, when all that he himself had been able to effect was a reform in *the turnspit of the king's kitchen*. Without the help of his powerful pen, perhaps the necessary change in the tone of politics could not have been accomplished effectually or without violence. Liberty had hitherto been the watch-word of Englishmen, and all their stock of enthusiasm was called forth by the mention of resistance to oppression, real or supposed. Such had been our theory, such (when occasion offered) was our practice. Mr Burke strewed the flowers of his rhetoric over the rotten carcase of corruption, by his tropes and figures so dazzled both the ignorant and the learned, that they could not distinguish the shades between liberty and licentiousness, between anarchy and despotism, gave a romantic and novel air to the whole question, proved that slavery was a very chivalrous and liberal sentiment, that reason and prejudice were at the bottom very much akin, that the Queen of France was a very beautiful vision, and that there was nothing so vile and sordid as useful knowledge and practical improvement. A crazy, obsolete government was metamorphosed into an object of fancied awe and veneration, like a moulder-

ing Gothic ruin, which, however delightful to look at or read of, is not at all pleasant to live under. Thus the poetry and imagination of the thing were thrown into the scale of old-fashioned barbarism and rusty tradition, and turned the balance. A falseness of judging could not be found; for things strike the imagination from privation, contrast, and suffering, which are proportionably intolerable in reality.\* It excites a pleasing interest to witness the representation of a tragedy; but who would, for this reason, wish to be a real actor in it? The *good old times* are good only because they are gone, or because they afford a picturesque contrast to modern ones, and to wish to bring them back, is neither to appreciate the old or the new. This served, however, to produce a diversion, and to silence the clamour, that might otherwise have arisen. The mob of readers stared without knowing what to think, and the King presented the work to his friends (bound in morocco) as "a book that every gentleman ought to read." From that time the French Revolution was accounted vulgar; and for a man to appear at court, it was

\* If this is not a complete account of imagination, it is, at least, true that it either produces its effects in this way, or aims at aggrandising one object, person, or thing, at the expense of all others. It lies upon the first impression that offers, and endeavours, by every art of sophistry, prejudice, and passion, to make this as strong as possible, let the consequence be what it will. Reason, on the contrary, conquers by dividing, and, instead of exaggerating and exalting, aims at universality, connexion, and proportion in all its distributions. As we know a few things, the imagination seizes upon a few of them, and pampers and exalts it in preference to all the rest, which are made subservient to it, as we enlarge our knowledge, a variety of new objects dispel our first prejudices, and reason is applied to to adjust their precedence and reduce them to their relative value. The tendency of the human understanding is to attach itself to the abstract, in institutions, in religion, in literature, in philosophy, in all cases in which the experience and observation of the society can be supposed to receive a gradual enlargement, and this marked and unavoidable tendency points, for the most part, to the greatest quantity of truth, and, I should hope, to the greatest good that can be done by transcribing the best parts of its progress, and forcing upon any one who reads of them, the institutions, laws, or feelings which are not



necessary that he should be understood to set his face against modern reason and philosophy, and to have discarded Rousseau and Voltaire from his library. No one could have performed this feat but the celebrated author of "The Sublime and Beautiful," with his metaphysical subtlety and poetical flights. Mr. Pitt has been hailed by his flatterers as "the pilot that weathered the storm," but it was Mr. Burke who, at this giddy, maddening period, stood at the prow of the vessel of the state, and with his glittering, pointed spear *harpooned* the Leviathan of the French Revolution, which darted into its wild career, tinging its onward track with purple gore. The answers to this work were numerous and respectable; but they evaded the recondite meaning that lurked in it, and in the colours of style no one could pretend to vie with him. The "*Vindiciæ Gallicæ*," by Sir James Mackintosh, was stately and elaborate. Paine's "*Rights of Man*" was the only really powerful reply, and, indeed, so powerful and explicit, that the Government undertook to quash it by an *ex-officio* information, and by a declaration of war against France, to still the ferment and excite an odium against its admirers, as taking part with a foreign enemy against their prince and country. The contest now raged with all the fury and inveteracy of a civil war. It was, in fact, a civil war between France and Europe, or rather a *servile* war, of which France was the seat, and the sole object of which was to decide by a deadly strife, by the *bellum internecinum*, whether mankind should make good their presumptuous claims to be free, or should be dragged back to their ancient bondage with stripes and taunts. The latter event took place, and the strife ceased as a matter of course.

The French writers who have treated of the rise and progress of the Revolution have been prevented by various causes from doing full justice to the truth of the question. It does not appear from their accounts that such a person as George III ever existed. If we were to suppose a king, who con-

concentrated in himself all the instincts and prejudices of royalty, whose perceptions, naturally obtuse and limited, were rendered acute and uncontrollable by disease and passion, who held with a convulsive grasp the crown that had been just snatched from the head of a legitimate Pretender, and that he now fancied in danger of being torn from his own by a lawless rabble, whose reputation for private virtue and religious scruples softened every stretch of prerogative, and who, by dint of selfish fear and cunning, and by deafness to all remonstrance, turned the whole strength, moral and physical, of a great people, equally formidable from their courage, their obstinacy, their resources, and their insular situation, against the cause of popular freedom, the consequences must be as baneful as they were incalculable in preventing the good or in turning it to evil; but no such character is drawn, nor any such consequences traced in the pages of the French historian, which we might thence suppose to be purely chimerical. No more notice is taken of this part of the subject (except in casual allusions and momentary ebullitions of spleen) than if England had never laid out a single guinea in whetting the secret dagger, or in hiring foreign bayonets to restore the old government—had never mouthed out a single speech from the throne, declaring France to be incapable of maintaining the usual relations of peace and amity—or never, by trying her patience to the utmost by every species of contumacy and scorn, done all in its power to render her desperate and furious in her resistance to such unprincipled and continued aggression. Neither in the circumscribed pages are the Emigrants seen to hover on the frontiers, like harpies waiting for their prey, and ready to pollute what they could not enjoy, encouraging hostile bands to spread desolation, havoc, and dismay through their devoted country, defeated, driven back, returning to the charge, unable to regain or to relinquish their unnatural pretensions, and intent only on robbing the people of Liberty, “their

three hours' bride," and leading them back again at all hazards, like felons and renegades, to that galling and disgraceful bondage under which they had groaned for centuries, and from which there would in future be no hope of escape. A manifesto, signed by princes and generals-in-chief, gave Paris up to slaughter and pillage, and the palace of the Tuileries was beset and insulted. The news came that Verdun was taken, the last place that interposed between the allies and the execution of their threat, and the prisons floated with blood. A plan for dismembering France and signalling another Poland was divulged, and Louis XVI. was led to the scaffold. There was certainly something in this state of things to work up the feelings of manhood and independence to a pitch of frenzy—"to make mad the thinking and appal the free"—not merely in the immediate view of the physical calamities and evils held out as the punishment of their having broken their chains, but in that still more intolerable and irritating tone of authority, that barefaced assumption of right and superiority over a whole people as the property and sport of a few antiquated *petits-maitres*, in the bold and fixed determination to blot out the light of reason and to stop the breath of liberty, and to bring back (at the point of the sword) that night of darkness and slavery that should know no dawn. It was this insult, this outrage to the image of man's nature, that produced and called aloud for retaliation and defiance à l'outrance—that cried to "strike and spare not"—that made the eye start and the brain split—that filled every faculty with fear, with shame, and hate—that made the fountain of their tears run blood, and the glow of passion sear the heart. This is the true version of the horrors and excesses of that period. It was the pressure from without that caused the irregularities and conflicts within, and retorted the boasted schemes of vengeance and cruelty on the heads of the aggressors. It is in vain to mince the question, or to give a cool and critical account of it. Such an

account would be wide of the feelings of the moment, and would neither explain the excesses nor the provocation. All was wild and hurried, and in the extremes of right and wrong: there was no time for reflection or power of choice, and it was necessary either to inflict or to endure the last injury and degradation. The poet says "to do a great right do a little wrong." Here, to do the greatest right, much wrong was done. In contending for all that was great and excellent in human nature against all that was corrupt and profligate, some allowance was to be made for the goodness of the cause, the excitement of the moment, the extreme insolence of power, and the want of confidence and consequent rashness and violence in striving against it of the multitude, who have always been and seem destined always to be its prey, like the poor bird fluttering and agitated under the outstretched jaws and fascinating gaze of its mortal foe!

Nothing of all this, however glaring, appears in the most approved and candid French accounts, whether from the apprehended restrictions on the public press, or from the habitual propensity of the French to see every thing through a French medium. Their description of the Revolution resembles "a phantasma or a hideous dream," that has no flesh or blood in it. The scene is Paris—the whole (or nearly so) passes in the Palais Royal—the tree of liberty is planted—up gets an orator and makes a flaming speech, or another looks about a pamphlet or a new Constitution. Upon this a number of persons rush forward, make extravagant gesticulations, and the foremost are led off to the scaffold. Thus you see nothing but a succession of fire-branded leaders and sanguinary factions, chasing one another round the arena, tripping up one another's heels, cutting one another's throats, doing nothing for the people, and ready in every pause of mischief to deliver up the cause of Liberty to the Allies. The scene is once monstrous and farcical. The actors are like tragic puppets, without dignity of deport-

ment or any motives for their extravagance. The Italian poet, Monti, has given much the same description in his *Basservigia*, where he represents the chief characters of the Revolution as running up and down before the gates of the Tuileries, brandishing daggers, twining serpents round their necks, hurling fire-brands in the height of their delirium and distraction, to explain all which allegorical mummary, he paints the fury of Intestine Discord hovering in the air and goading them on with whips of scorpions to their mutual destruction, instead of which he ought to have painted the Allied Powers, with their flowing battery of artillery and proclamations in the background. The horrors then of the French Revolution did not arise out of the Revolution, but from the dread of the Coalition formed against it. To those who insist (either wilfully or from blind prejudice) that all revolutions are a scene of confusion and violence, and that this is their very end and essence, it may be proper to remark, that the American Revolution was accompanied with no such excesses; that the English Revolution of 1688 was accomplished without a reign of terror, though it entailed a civil war and two rebellions on the kingdom, that the Low Countries revolted against, and after a long and dreadful struggle shook off the tyranny of Spain, yet no third party interfering between the people and the old government, all the cruelties and atrocities were on the side of the Duke of Alva; and that of late the Spanish Constitution was twice established without blood, though it seemed to require that cement, and fell to the ground again, being at once assailed by external and internal foes. When a house is beset by robbers, you know pretty well what course to follow, and how to calculate on your means of resistance: but if you find those within the house in league with those without, the ordinary rules of prudence and safety must be dispensed with, for there is no defence against treachery—Another circumstance which is to be taken into the account, and which is not, of course,

brought forward in a very prominent light by their own writers, is, that the French were very hardly dealt with in this case, which was an *experimentum crucis* upon the national character. They are a people extremely susceptible of provocation. Like women, forced out of their natural character, they become furies. Naturally light and quick, good sense and good temper are their undeniable and enviable characteristics; but if events occur to stagger or supersede these habitual qualities, there then seems no end of the extravagances in opinion, or cruelties in practice, of which they are capable, as it were, from the mere impression of novelty and contrast. They are the creatures of impulse, whether good or bad. Their very thoughtlessness and indifference prevent them from being shocked at the irregularities which the passion of the moment leads them to commit; and from the nicest sense of the ridiculous and the justest *tact* in common things, there is no absurdity of speculation, no disgusting rodomontade or wildness of abstraction, into which they will not run when once thrown off their guard. They excel in the trifling and familiar, and have not strength of character or solidity of judgment to cope with great questions or trying occasions. When they attempt the grand and striking, they fail from too much presumption and from too much fickleness. In a word, from that eternal smile on the cheek to a massacre, there is but one step. for those who are delighted with every thing, will be shocked at nothing. Vanity strives in general to please and make itself amiable; but if it is the fashion to do mischief, it will take the lead in mischief, and is, therefore, a dangerous principle in times of crisis and convulsion. A revolution was the Ulysses' bow of the French philosophers and politicians. They might, *pari passu*, have left it to others; but having made the attempt, they demanded every kind of indulgence and encouragement in the prosecution of it, like children when they first begin to walk. Extremes in all cases meet. The abuses and corruptions of the

old political system were so numerous and intricate, that they led to the most visionary and air-drawn principles of government as the only alternative, and the overgrown absurdities and mummary of the Catholic church had risen to such a height, that they obscured religion itself, and both were overturned together. The scepticism and indifference which succeeded, did not afford the best medium of resistance to power or prejudice. Perhaps a reformation in religion ought always to precede a revolution in the government. Catholics may make good subjects, but they are bad rebels. They are so used to the trammels of authority, that they do not immediately know how to do without them; or, like manumitted slaves, only feel assured of their liberty in committing some Saturnalian licence. A revolution, to give it stability and soundness, should first be conducted down to a Protestant ground.

It has been the fashion to speak of the horrors of the French Revolution as if they were an anomaly in the history of man, and blotted out the memory of all other cruelties on record. Let us turn to another example in the annals of the same people, but at a different period, when monarchy and monkish sway were in their "high and palmy state," not shorn of their beams or curtailed of their influence by modern discoveries or degeneracy of manners. The *reign of terror*, while it lasted, cost the lives of between three and four thousand individuals in the course of less than two years in Paris alone. The massacre of St. Bartholomew cost the lives of seventy thousand Protestants in eight days throughout all France. The following is Sully's account of it, who was partly an eye-witness, and narrowly escaped falling a victim to it.

"If I sought to augment the horror which has been generally conceived against a transaction so barbarous as was that of the 24th of August, 1572, too well known by the name of St. Bartholomew, I should enlarge in this place on the number, the

quality, the virtues, and the talents of those who were inhumanly massacred on this dreadful day, as well in Paris as throughout the rest of the kingdom. I should recapitulate at least a part of the insults, the ignominious treatment, and the odious refinements in cruelty, which sought, while in the act of consigning to death, to inflict a thousand stabs as painful as death itself on its unhappy victims. I have still in my possession documents containing the proofs of the pressing instances which the court of France made to the neighbouring courts, to follow up its example against the Reformers, or at least to refuse an asylum to those unfortunate people. But I prefer the honour of the nation to the malicious pleasure which some persons might derive from a detail, in which they would find the names of those who forgot humanity so far as to imbrue their hands in the blood of their fellow-citizens and of their own kindred. I would willingly bury for ever, if it were possible, the memory of a day, for which the Divine vengeance has visited France with twenty-six years of disasters, carnage, and dismay; for one cannot help judging in this manner, when one reflects on all that has happened since that fatal moment to the peace of 1598. It is even with regret that I dwell on what regards the prince who is the subject of these memoirs, and on what touches myself in the transaction.

I had gone to bed betimes the evening before. I found myself awakened about three hours after midnight by the tolling of the bells, and the confused cries of the populace. St Julien, my tutor, rushed out hastily with my valet-de-chambre to learn the cause, and I have never since heard speak of these two persons, who were, without doubt, sacrificed among the first to the fury of the mob.\* I was left alone to

\* The spectators of that day made no complaints of the *fury* of the mob, which did their work for them. Mr Macculloch, in his *Principles of Political Economy*, recommends it to governments to educate the people, in order to put an end to the fear of mobs, as if they were a necessary evil. They are not so hard upon their old friends, as the reformers require other less exact and more expeditious means to work such than political economists.



dress myself in my bed-room, into which, a few moments after, I saw the master of the house enter, pale and terrified. He was of the reformed religion, and having heard what was in agitation, had come to the resolution of going to mass to save his life and to protect his property from pillage: he came to advise me to do the same, and to take me with him. I did not think fit to accompany him. I resolved to try to reach the College of Burgundy where I prosecuted my studies, notwithstanding the distance from the house where I lodged, which rendered my design sufficiently hazardous. I dressed myself in my scholar's gown, and taking a large prayer-book under my arm, I went down stairs\*. I was seized with horror as I entered the street, to see the infuriated populace, who thronged from all parts, and forced open the houses, crying out, "*Kill, kill, massacre the Huguenots!*" and the blood which I saw spilt before my eyes redoubled my fright. I fell into the hands of a *corps de garde*, who detained me. I was questioned: they were beginning to maltreat me, when the book which I carried was perceived luckily for me, and served me for a safe-conduct. I fell twice after into the same danger, from which I escaped by the same good fortune. At length I arrived at the College of Burgundy. Here I encountered a still greater risk. The porter having twice refused me entrance, I remained in the middle of the street at the mercy of an enraged multitude, whose number continually increased, and who sought eagerly for their prey, when I bethought me of asking for the principal of the college, whose name was La Faye, a man of worth, and who loved me tenderly. The porter, prevailed upon by some trifling piece of money which I had put into his hand, agreed to go in quest of him. This good man made me go with him to his room, where two inhuman priests, whom I heard speaking of the *Sicilian Vespers*, attempted to snatch me out of his hands, with

\* Young Sully was at that time not quite thirteen years of age.

a view to tear me in pieces, saying that the order was to kill even infants at the breast. All that he could do was to convey me with the greatest secrecy to a remote closet, where he locked me in. I remained there three whole days, uncertain of my fate, and receiving no assistance, except through a domestic of this charitable man, who came from time to time to bring me food. At the end of this period, the prohibition to kill and pillage having at length been published, I was brought out of my cell, and almost at the same moment I saw Ferriere and La Vieville, two archers of the Guard, dependants of my father, enter the college. They came to learn what was become of me, and were armed, no doubt, to take me away by force wherever they might find me. They informed my father of my adventure, from whom I received a letter eight days after. He there said how much he had been alarmed on my account, that his advice was nevertheless that I should remain in Paris, since it was not in the choice of the prince whom I served to leave it, but that in order not to run any imminent risk, I must resolve to do what this prince himself had done, that is to say, go to mass.

"The King of Navarre (Henry IV) had in fact found this the only way to save his life. He was awakened with the Prince of Condé, two hours before day, by a multitude of archers of the Guard, who abruptly entered the chamber in the Louvre where they slept, and in an insolent manner ordered them to dress themselves, and go with them to the King (Charles IX). They were forbidden to take their swords; and as they went out, they saw a party of their gentlemen massacred before their eyes, without any remorse. Charles was waiting for them, and received them with eyes and a visage inflamed with rage. He commanded them with oaths and blasphemies, which were familiar to him, to quit the religion which they had only taken up, he said, to serve as a pretext for their rebellion. The condition to which they had reduced these princes, not having hindered them from

expressing the reluctance they felt to obey this mandate, the anger of the King became excessive. He told them, in an altered tone, full of passion, that he would no longer suffer himself to be contradicted in his will by his subjects, that they ought to teach others by their example to revere him, as being the image of God, and to be no longer enemies to the image of his mother (the Virgin Mary.) He concluded, by declaring that if from this day they did not go to mass, he was determined to have them treated as guilty of high treason against the divine and human majesty."\*—*Memoirs of Sully*, book 1. p 49.

\* Pope Pius V pretended to be scandalised at this massacre, but Gregory XII, who succeeded him, had thanks publicly returned to God for it at Rome, and sent a legate to Paris to congratulate Charles IX on it, and to encourage him to go on. Let those who are enamoured of the good old times, and imagine all evil began with the French Revolution, read, Sully. The progress of the story is choked up with mangled carcasses the page is slippery with blood. The perusal is revolting to modern readers. Take the following as a specimen —

"The church (of Mas de Verdun, in Armagnac) into which the enemy fled, was large, strongly built, and well supplied with provisions, as it was the ordinary rendezvous of the peasants, and there was a great number of them there at this very time. The King of Navarre undertook to force open the church, and for this purpose sent for soldiers and workmen from Montauban, Lectoure, and other neighbouring towns, not doubting that Beaumont, Miranda, and the other Catholic towns, would speedily send powerful succours to the besieged, if he gave them time. In the meanwhile, we set to work to undermine the church, with the assistance of our servants. The side of the choir fell to my share, in twelve hours I had made an opening, though the wall was very thick, and built of an extremely hard kind of stone. Afterwards, by means of a scaffolding raised to the height of the breach, I succeeded in throwing a quantity of grenades into the church. The besieged were in want of water, and moistened their flour with wine, and what inconvenienced them still worse, was that they had neither surgeons nor bandages, nor remedies for the wounds caused by the grenades, which we began to throw in from all parts. They accordingly came to terms, seeing a powerful reinforcement coming up from Montauban to the King of Navarre. This prince contented himself with giving orders that they should hang seven or eight of the most mutinous, but he was obliged to abandon them all to the fury of the inhabitants of Montauban, who dragged them by force from us, and poured them without remorse. We learnt the motive which actuated them from the reproaches they heaped on these wretches, who had made six women, whom they had carried off, serve the purposes of the most infamous

We here see what kings were, and what they thought of themselves, little more than two centuries ago—the spirit that actuated them while they had the power, and the pretensions which, pampered by ignorance and the freedom from all control, made them fancy themselves idols set up for the worship and wonder of mankind, and which were never formally set aside till the period of the French Revolution. Such was their government, such their religion, and such their law, such they were, and such they would fain continue, if the world would have let them. It was to reduce this power, and to abrogate the forms in which it still resided like a public plague, constantly tainting and thwarting that influence of manners and opinion which sat as a suppliant on the lowest step of an absolute throne, and alone tamed its will and ‘checked its pride,” that the French Revolution was commenced, as it was to the infatuated determination to restore and revive those unjustifiable forms and pretensions, that its principal mischiefs were owing. Some of that baseness and fierceness and want of intelligence which they had for so many centuries fostered, had, no doubt, its share in the endeavour to overturn them. The struggle was a long and arduous one, but it was worth the price of blood and gold it cost, for it was a struggle whether half a dozen individuals should be more, and all the rest of the species (with the exception of a given number, to whom they granted letters-patent of gentility) less than men. Did the success depend on

the goodness of a cause, the result would have been different, but the selfish passions are the strongest, and in proportion as an object is pernicious, that is, advantageous to a few at the expense of the many, is the zeal, union, and perseverance manifested in its defence. The love of power is an instinct—humanity and justice are idle names. What tyrant or slave ever came over to the cause of the people? Among the latter, how many have been found faithful? One, or two, or three. But the wounds inflicted on either side were nearly fatal, nor is it to be expected that the scars should ever wear out!

## CHAPTER IV

## BREAKING OUT OF THE FRENCH REVOLUTION.

Accession and marriage of Louis XVI, assembling of the States-General in 1789, disputes as to the mode of voting, first formation of the National Assembly, their hall closed by the king, declare their sitting permanent, Louis commands them to separate, Mirabeau's reply, the deputies decree their inviolability, dismissal of Necker, and assembling of the noblesse, headed by the Duke of Orleans, conduct of the duke in the revolution, troops collected at Versailles, agitated state of Paris, the natural focus of the revolution, why a capital is always so, change of the ministry, and banishment of Necker, conflict between the people and Lambesc's dragoons, the latter attacked by the guards, the king rejects the prayer of the assembly to dismiss his troops; the assembly proclaim the responsibility of ministers, its sittings permanent, and La Fayette vice president, arming of the Parisians, storming and surrender of the Bastille, terrific triumphal procession, warlike preparations of the court, the king visits the Assembly, enters Paris, commotions in the provinces, recall of Necker, his character, promulgation of the declaration of rights, game laws and feudal rights abolished, effects of these acts in France; oppressiveness of the old system described, disorders at Paris, counter-revolutionary projects of the court, visit of the women mob to Versailles, engagement with the military, attack on the palace, the royal family return to Paris, financial difficulties of the nation, appropriation of the church property, laws of emigration, fête in celebration of the taking of the Bastille.

LOUIS XVI succeeded to the throne of France in 1774, and soon after married Marie Antoinette, a daughter of the house of Austria. She was young, beautiful, and thoughtless. In her the pride of birth was strengthened and rendered impatient of the least restraint by the pride of sex and beauty; and all three together were instrumental in hastening the downfall of the monarchy. Devoted to the licentious pleasures of a court, she looked both from education and habit on the homely comforts of the people with

disgust or indifference ; and regarded the distress and poverty which stood in the way of her dissipation with incredulity or loathing\* Louis XVI himself, though a man of good intentions, and free, in a remarkable degree, from the common vices of his situation, had not firmness of mind to resist the passions and importunity of others , and in addition to the extravagance, petulance, and extreme counsels of the Queen, fell a victim to the intrigues and officious interference of those about him, who had neither the wisdom nor spirit to avert those dangers and calamities which they had provoked by their rashness, presumption, and obstinacy.

The want of economy in the court, or a maladministration of the finances, first occasioned pecuniary difficulties to the Government, for which a remedy was in vain sought by a succession of ministers, Necker, Calonne, Maupeou, and by the parliament. Considerable embarrassment and uneasiness began to be felt throughout the kingdom, when in 1787 the King undertook to convoke the States-General, as alone competent to meet the emergency, and to confer on other topics of the highest consequence, which were at this time agitated with general anxiety and interest. The necessity of raising the supplies to defray the expenses of government was indeed only made the handle to introduce and enforce other more important and widely-extended plans of reform. For some time past the public mind had been growing critical and

\* Mr Burke has passed a splendid and well-known eulogium on the beauty and accomplishments of the Queen of France, and it was in part the impression which her youthful charms had left in his mind, that threw the casting-weight of his talents and eloquence into the scale of opposition to the French Revolution. I have heard another very competent judge (Mr Northcote) describe her entering a small ante-room (where he stood) with her large hoop sideways, and gliding by from one end to the other with a grace and lightness, as if borne on a cloud. It was possibly to "this air with which she trod or rather disdained the earth," as if descended from some higher sphere, that she owed the indignity of being conducted to a scaffold. Personal grace and beauty cannot save their possessors from the fury of the multitude, more than from the raging elements, though they may inspire that pride and self opinion which expose them to it.

fatidious with the progress of civilisation and letters : the monarchy, as it existed at the period "with all its imperfections on its head" had been weighed in the balance of reason and opinion, and found wanting, and a favourable opportunity was only required, and the first that presented itself was eagerly seized to put in practice what had been already resolved upon in theory by the wits, philosophers, and philanthropists of the eighteenth century. From the first calling together the general council of the nation to deliberate and determine for the public good, in the then prevailing ferment of the popular feeling and with the predisposing causes, not merely a measure of finance was to be looked for, but a revolution became inevitable. All the *cahiers*, or instructions given to the deputies by the great mass of their constituents, show that the kingdom at large was ripe for a material change in its civil and political institutions, and for the most part point out the individual grievances which were afterwards done away.

The States-General met at Versailles on the 5th of May, 1789. They consisted of the representatives of the nobility, of the clergy, and of the *Tiers Etat* or people in general, the number of the last having been doubled in order to equal that of the other two. They heard mass the evening before at the church of St Louis, in the same costumes, and with the same forms



tage of two to one. In order to keep this advantage, and prevent that reform of abuses which the third estate was supposed to have principally at heart, the court did all it could to separate the different orders, first by adhering to etiquette, afterwards by means of intrigue, and in the end by force. On the day following the meeting, the deputies of the three estates were called upon to verify their powers, which the nobles and clergy wished to do apart, but the commons refused to take any steps towards this object, except conjointly, or as a general legislative body. This led to various overtures and discussions, which lasted for several weeks. The court offered its mediation; but the nobles giving a peremptory refusal to come to any compromise, at the motion of the Abbé Siéyes, the third estate, after in vain inviting the two others to join them, constituted themselves into a National Assembly. This was the first act of the Revolution, or the first occasion on which a part of a given body of individuals took upon them to decide for the rest, from the urgency and magnitude of the case, without the consent of their coadjutors, and contrary to established rules. It was a stroke of state-necessity to be defended not by the forms but by the essence of justice, and by the great ends of human society. The usurpation of a discretionary and illegal power was clear, but nothing could be done without it, everything with it. Yet so strong and natural is the prejudice against every appearance of what is violent and arbitrary, that serious attempts were made to reconcile the letter with the spirit of justice in this instance, and to prove that the *Tiers Etat* being the representatives of the nation, and the nation being everything, the nobility and clergy were included in it, and had nothing to complain of. It is not worth while to answer this sophistry at the present day. The truth is, that the *third estate* erected themselves from parties concerned into framers

\* See the Abbé Siéyes's pamphlet entitled *Qu'est ce que le Tiers Etat?*

of the law and judges of the reason of the case, and must themselves be judged not by precedent and tradition but by posterity, whom, from the scale on which they acted, the benefit or the injury of their departure from common and worn-out forms will reach. Acts that supersede old-established rules and create a new era in human affairs, are to be approved or condemned by what comes after, not by what has gone before them.

This first independent and spirited step on the part of the commons produced a reaction on the part of the court. They shut up the place of sitting. The King had been prevailed on to consent to hostile measures against the popular side, during an excursion to Marly with the Queen and princes of the blood. Bailly (afterwards mayor of Paris) had been chosen president of the new National Assembly; and arriving with other members, and finding the doors of the hall shut against them, they repaired to the *Jeu de Paumes* (the tennis-court) at Versailles, followed by the people and soldiers in crowds, and there, enclosed by bare walls, with heads uncovered, and a strong and spontaneous burst of enthusiasm, made a solemn vow, with the exception of only one person present, never to separate till they had given France a Constitution. This memorable and decisive event took place on the 20th of June. On the 23rd the King came to the church of St. Louis, whither they had been compelled to remove, and where they were joined by a considerable number of the clergy—addressed them in a tone of authority and reprimand, treated them as simply the *Tiers Etat*, pointed out certain partial reforms which he approved, and which he enjoined them to effect in conjunction with the other orders, or threatened to dissolve them and take the whole management of the government upon himself, and ended with a command that they should separate. The nobles and the clergy obeyed: the deputies of the people remained firm, immovable, silent. Mirabeau then started from his seat and appealed to the As-

sembly in that mixed style of the academician and the demagogue which characterised his eloquence. The words are worth repeating here, both as a sample of the unqualified tone of the period, and on account of the fierce and personal attack on the King, whom he stigmatises by a sort of nickname. "Gentlemen, I acknowledge that what you have just heard might be a pledge of the welfare of the country, if the offers of despotism were not always dangerous. What is the meaning of this un-olent dictation, the array of arms, the violation of the national temple, merely to command you to be happy? Who gives you this command? your *Mandatory* (deputy). Who imposes his imperious laws? your *Mandatory*, he who ought to receive them from you. from us, Gentlemen, who are invested with an inviolable political priesthood, from us, in short to whom (and to whom alone) twenty-five millions of men look up for a happiness ensured by its being agreed upon, given, and received by all. But the freedom of your deliberations is suspended. a military force surrounds the Assembly. Where are the enemies of the nation, that this outrage should be attempted? Is Catiline at our gates? I demand, that in asserting the claims of your insulted dignity, of your legislative power, you arm yourselves with the sanctity of your oath. it does not permit us to separate till we have achieved the Constitution." From this unbridled effusion of bombast, affectation, and real passion, two things are evident; first, that the designs of the court were already looked upon as altogether hostile and alien to the patriotic side, secondly, that the Assembly, from the beginning, felt in themselves the strong and undoubted conviction of their being called to the task of removing the abuses of power, and regenerating the hopes of a mighty people. The die was cast, the lists were marked out in the opinions and sentiments of the two parties towards each other. The grand-master of the ceremonies on this occasion, seeing that the Assembly did not break up, reminded them of the command of the King "Go

all your master," cried Mirabeau, "that we are here by order of the people; and that we shall not retreat but at the point of the bayonet." This was at once an invitation to violence, and a defiance of authority. Siéyès added, with his customary coolness, "You are to-day in the same situation that you were yesterday; let us deliberate." The Assembly immediately confirmed its former resolutions, and at the instance of Mirabeau, decreed the inviolability of its members. Such was at one time the brilliant, daring, and forward zeal of a man, who not long after sold himself to the court. So little has flashy eloquence or bold pretension to do with steadiness of principle! Indeed, the Revolution, of which he was one of the most prominent leaders, presented too many characters of this kind—dazzling, ardent, wavering, corrupt—a succession of momentary fires, made of light and worthless materials, soon kindled and soon exhausted, and requiring some new fuel to repair them—nothing deep, internal, relying on its own resources—"outliving fortunes outward with a mind that doth renew swifter than blood decays"—but a flame rash and violent, fanned by circumstances, kept alive by vanity, smothered by sordid interest, and wandering from object to object in search of the most contemptible and contradictory excitement! We may also remark, in the debates and proceedings of this early period, the fevered and anxious state of the public mind, while galling and intolerable abuses, called in question for the first time and defended with blind confidence, were exposed in the most naked and flagrant point of view, and the display of forms and circumstances was torn from rank and power with sarcastic petulance, or a ruthless logic.

The resistance of the Assembly alarmed the court, who did not, however, as yet dare to proceed against it. Necker, who had disapproved of the royal interference, and whose dismissal had been determined on in the morning, was the same night entreated both by the King and Queen to stay. On the next meet-

ing of the Assembly, a large proportion of the clergy again repaired to their place of sitting, and four days after, forty members of the *noblesse* joined them, with the Duke of Orleans at their head. The conduct of this nobleman, all through the Revolution, was in my opinion uncalled for, indecent, and profligate, and his fate not unmerited. Persons situated as he was cannot take a decided part one way or the other, without doing violence either to the dictates of reason and justice, or to all their natural sentiments, unless they are characters of that heroic stamp as to be raised above suspicion or temptation. The only way for all others is to stand aloof from a struggle in which they have no alternative but to commit a parricide on their country or their friends, and to await the issue in silence and at a distance. The people should not ask the aid of their lordly task-masters to shake off their chains, nor can they ever expect to have it cordial and entire. No confidence can be placed in those excesses of public principle, which are founded on the sacrifice of every private affection, and of habitual self-esteem. The court, soon after this reinforcement to the popular party, came forward of its own accord to request the attendance of the dissentient orders, which took place on the 27th of June; and after some petty ebullitions of jealousy and contests for precedence, the Assembly became general, and all distinctions were lost. The King's secret advisers were, however, by no means reconciled to this new triumph over ancient privilege and existing authority, and meditated a reprisal by removing the Assembly farther from Paris, and there dissolving, if it could not overawe them. For this purpose the troops were collected from all parts, Versailles (where the Assembly sat) was like a camp, Paris looked as if it were in a state of siege. These extensive military preparations, the trains of artillery arriving every hour from the frontier, with the presence of the foreign regiments, occasioned great suspicion and alarm, and on the motion of M<sup>r</sup>abeau,

the Assembly sent an address to the King, respectfully urging him to remove the troops from the neighbourhood of the capital, but this he declined doing, hinting at the same time that they might retire, if they chose, to Noyon or Soissons, thus placing themselves at the disposal of the crown, and depriving themselves of the aid of the people.

Paris was in a state of extreme agitation. This immense city was unanimous in its devotedness to the Assembly. A capital is at all times, and Paris was then more particularly, the natural *focus* of a revolution. To this many causes contribute. The actual presence of the monarch dissipates the illusions of loyalty; and he is no longer (as in the distant province or petty village) an abstraction of power and majesty, another name for all that is great and exalted, but a common mortal, one man among a million of men, perhaps one of the meanest of his race. Pageants and spectacles may impose on the crowd, but a weak or haughty look undoes the effect, and leads to disadvantageous reflections on the title to, or the good resulting from all this display of pomp and magnificence. From being the seat of the court, its vices are better known, its manners are more talked of.\* In the number and distraction of passing objects and interests, the present occupies the mind alone—the chain of antiquity is broken, and custom loses its force. Men become ‘flies of a summer.’ Opinion has here many ears, many tongues, and many hands to work with. The slightest whisper is rumoured abroad, and the roar of the multitude breaks down the prison or the palace gates. They are seldom brought to act together but in extreme cases, nor is it extraordinary that, in such cases, the conduct of the people is violent, from the consciousness of transient power, its im-

\* It was observed that almost all the greatest cruelties of the reign of Louis were committed by committees of persons who had been in the most intimate employment of the great, and had suffered by their caprice and ambition.

table, and cried out, that "there was not a moment to lose, that the dismissal of Necker was the signal for the St. Bartholomew of liberty; that the Swiss and German regiments would presently issue from the Champ de Mars to massacre the citizens, and that they had but one resource left, which was to resort to arms." And the crowd, tearing each a green leaf, the colour of hope, from the chestnut-trees in the garden, which were nearly laid bare, and wearing it as a badge, traversed the streets of Paris, with busts of Necker and of the Duke of Orleans (who was also said to be arrested) covered with crape and borne in solemn pomp. They had proceeded in this manner as far as the Place Vendôme, when they were met by a party of the Royal Allemands, whom they put to flight by pelting them with stones, but at the Place Louis XV. they were assailed by the dragoons of the Prince of Lambese, the bearer of one of the busts and a private of the French Guards were killed, the mob fled into the garden of the Tuileries, whither the Prince followed them at the head of his dragoons, and attacked a number of persons who knew nothing of what was passing, and were walking quietly in the gardens. In the scuffle an old man was wounded: the confusion as well as the resentment of the people became general, and there was but one cry, *To arms*, to be heard throughout the Tuileries, the Palais Royal, in the city, and in the suburbs.

The French Guards had been ordered to their quarters in the Chaussée-d'Antin, where sixty of Lambese's dragoons were posted opposite to watch them. A dispute arose, and it was with much difficulty they were prevented from coming to blows. But when the former learned that one of their comrades had been slain, their indignation could no longer be restrained; they rushed out, killed two of the foreign soldiers, wounded three others, and the rest were forced to fly. They then proceeded to the Place Louis XV, where they stationed themselves between the people and the troops, and guarded this position the whole of the

night. The soldiers in the Champ de Mars were then ordered to attack them, but refused to fire, and were remanded back to their quarters. The defection of the French Guards, with the repugnance of the other troops to march against the capital, put a stop for the present to the projects of the court. In the mean time the populace had assembled at the Hôtel de Ville, and loudly demanded the sounding of the tocsin and the arming of the citizens. Several highly respectable individuals also met here, and did much good in repressing a spirit of violence and mischief. They could not, however, effect everything. A number of disorderly people and of workmen out of employ, without food or place of abode, set fire to the barriers, infested the streets, and pillaged several houses in the night between the 12th and 13th.

The departure of Necker, which had excited such a sensation in the capital, produced as deep an impression at Versailles and on the Assembly, who manifested surprise and indignation, but not dejection. Lally-Tollendal pronounced a formal eulogium on the exiled minister. After one or two displays of theatrical vehemence, which is inseparable from French enthusiasm and eloquence\* (would that the whole were not so soon forgotten like a play!) they dispatched a deputation to the King, informing him of the situation and troubles of Paris, and praying him to dismiss the troops, and entrust the defence of the capital to the city militia. The deputation received an answer which amounted to a repulse. The Assembly now perceived that the designs of the court party were irrevocably fixed, and that it had only itself to rely upon. It instantly voted the responsibility of the ministers and of all the advisers of the crown, *of whatsoever rank or degree*. This last clause was pointed at the Queen, whose influence was greatly

\* Such as appealing to their own "*illustrious decrees*," swearing by "*the celebrated day of the 20th of June*," &c. This forestalling and regrating of fame and immortality seems almost peculiar to the French.



dreaded. They then, from an apprehension that the doors might be closed during the night in order to dissolve the Assembly, declared their sittings permanent. A vice-president was chosen, to lessen the fatigue of the Archbishop of Vienne. The choice fell upon La Fayette. In this manner a part of the Assembly sat up all night. It passed without deliberation the deputies remaining on their seats, silent, but calm and serene. What thoughts must have revolved through the minds of those present on this occasion? Patriotism and philosophy had here taken up their sanctuary. If we consider their situation; the hopes that filled their breasts, the trials they had to encounter, the future destiny of their country, of the world, which hung on their decision as in a balance; the bitter wrongs they were about to sweep away, the good they had it in their power to accomplish—the countenances of the Assembly must have been majestic, and radiant with the light that through them was about to dawn on ages yet unborn. They might foresee a struggle, the last convulsive efforts of pride and power to keep the world in its wonted subjection—but that was nothing—their final triumph over all opposition was assured in the eternal principles of justice, and in their own unshaken devotedness to the great cause of mankind. If the result did not altogether correspond to the intentions of those firm and enlightened patriots who so nobly planned it, the fault was not in them but in others.

At Paris the insurrection had taken a more decided turn. Early in the morning the people assembled in large bodies at the Hôtel de Ville, the tocsin sounded from all the churches, the drums beat to summon the citizens together, who formed themselves into different bands of volunteers. All that they wanted was arms. These, except a few at the gunsmiths' shops, were not to be had. They then applied to M. de Fleury, a provost of the city, who amused them with fine words. "My children," he said, "I am your father!" This paternal style seems to have been the

order of the day. A committee sat at the Hôtel de Ville to take measures for the public safety. Meanwhile a granary had been broken open; the *Garde-Meuble* had been ransacked for old arms, the armourers' shops were plundered, all was a scene of confusion, and the utmost dismay everywhere prevailed. But no private mischief was done. It was a moment of popular frenzy, but one in which the public danger and the public good overruled every other consideration. The grain which had been seized, the carts loaded with provisions, with plate or furniture, and stopped at the barriers, were all taken to the Grève as a public dépôt. The crowd incessantly repeated the cry for arms, and were pacified by an assurance that thirty thousand muskets would speedily arrive from Charleville. The Duke d'Aumont was invited to take the command of the popular troops; and on his hesitating, the Marquis de la Salle was nominated in his stead. The green cockade was exchanged for one of red and blue, the colours of the city. A quantity of powder was discovered, as it was about to be conveyed beyond the barriers, and the cases of fire-arms promised from Charleville turned out, on inspection, to be filled with old rags and logs of wood. The rage and impatience of the multitude now became extreme. Such perverse trifling and barefaced duplicity would be unaccountable anywhere else, but in France they pay with promises, and the provost, availing himself of the credulity of his audience, promised them still more arms at the Chartreux. To prevent a repetition of the excesses of the mob, Paris was illuminated at night, and a patrol paraded the streets.

The following day, the people being deceived as to the convoy of arms that was to arrive from Charleville, and having been equally disappointed in those at the Chartreux, broke into the Hospital of Invalids, in spite of the troops stationed in the neighbourhood, and carried off a prodigious number of stands of arms concealed in the cellars. An alarm had been spread

in the night that the regiment quartered at St Denis was on its way to Paris and that the cannon of the Bastille had been pointed in the direction of the Rue St. Antoine. This information, the dread which this fortress inspired, the recollection of the horrors which had been perpetrated there, its very name, which appalled all hearts and made the blood run cold, the necessity of wresting it from the hands of its old and feeble possessors, drew the attention of the multitude to this hated spot. From nine in the morning of the memorable 14th of July till two, Paris from one end to the other rang with the same watchword: "*To the Bastille! To the Bastille!*" The inhabitants poured there in throngs from all quarters, armed with different weapons; the crowd that already surrounded it was considerable, the sentinels were at their posts, and the drawbridges raised as in war time.

A deputy from the district of St Louis de la Culture Thuriot de la Rosiere, then asked to speak with the Governor, M. Delaunay. Being admitted into his presence, he required that the direction of the cannon should be changed. Three guns were pointed against the entrance, though the Governor pretended that everything remained in the state in which it had always been. About forty Swiss and eighty Invalids garrisoned the place, from whom he obtained a promise not to fire on the people, unless they were themselves attacked. His companions began to be uneasy, and called loudly for him. To satisfy them, he showed himself on the ramparts, from whence he could see an immense multitude flocking from all parts, and the Fauxbourg St Antoine advancing, as it were in a mass. He then returned to his friends, and gave them what tidings he had collected.

But the crowd, not satisfied, demanded the surrender of the fortress. From time to time the angry cry was repeated. "*Down with the Bastille!*" Two men more determined than the rest, pressed forward, entered a guard-house, and attempted to break down the chains of the bridge with the blows of an axe

The soldiers called out to them to fall back, threatening to fire if they did not. But they repeated their blows, shattered the chains, and lowered the drawbridge over which they rushed with the crowd. They threw themselves upon the second bridge, in the hopes of making themselves masters of it in the same manner, when the garrison fired and dispersed them for a few minutes. They soon, however, returned to the charge; and for several hours, during a murderous discharge of musketry, and amidst heaps of the wounded and dying, renewed the attack with unabated courage and obstinacy, led on by two brave men, Elie and Huhn, their rage and desperation being inflamed to a pitch of madness by the scene of havoc around them. Several deputations arrived from the Hôtel de Ville to offer terms of accommodation: but in the noise and fury of the moment they could not make themselves heard, and the storming continued as before.\*

The assault had been carried on in this manner with unextinguishable rage and great loss of blood to the besiegers, though with little progress made for above four hours, when the arrival of the French Guards with cannon altered the face of things. The garrison urged the Governor to surrender. The wretched Delaunay, dreading the fate which awaited him, wanted to blow up the place and bury himself in the ruins, and was advancing for this purpose with a lighted match in his hand towards the powder magazine, but was prevented by the soldiers, who planted the white flag on the platform, and reversed their arms in token of submission. This was not enough for those without. They demanded with loud and reiterated cries to have the drawbridges let down, and on an assurance being given that no harm was intended, the bridges were lowered and the assailants tumultuously rushed in. The endeavours of their

\* It has been said (I know not how truly) that Thomas Clarkson the author of the Abolition of the Slave Trade, was one of those most actively employed on this occasion.

leaders could not save the Governor or a number of the soldiers who were seized by the infuriated multitude, and put to death for having fired on their fellow-citizens. Thus fell the Bastille; and the shout that accompanied its downfall was echoed through Europe and men rejoiced that "the grass grew where the Bastille stood." Earth was lightened of a load that oppressed it, nor did this ghastly object any longer startle the sight, like an ugly spider lying in wait for its accustomed prey, and brooding in sullen silence over the wrongs which it had the will, though not the power to inflict.\*

\* The Bastille was taken about a quarter before six in the evening (Friday, the 14th of July), after a four hours' attack. Only one cannon was fired from the fortress, and only one person was killed among the besieged. The garrison consisted of 82 Invalids, 2 cannoneers, and 32 Swiss. Of the assailants, 83 were killed on the spot, 60 were wounded, of whom 15 died of their wounds, and 13 were disabled. A great many barrels of gunpowder had been conveyed here from the arsenal in the night between the 12th and 13th. Delaunay, the Governor, was killed on the steps of the Hotel de Ville, as also Delosme, the Mayor. Only seven prisoners were found in the Bastille, four of these, Pujade, Bechade, La Roche, and La Courbe were for forgers. M de Soulages was put in in 1782, at the desire of his father, since which time every communication from without was carefully withheld from him. He did not know the whole extent that had taken place in all that time, and was told by the turnkey, when he heard the firing of the cannon, that it was owing to a riot about the price of bread. M Tavernier, a bastard son of Paris Duvetney had been confined ever since the 4th of August, 1759. The last prisoner was a Mr White, who went mad, and it could never be discovered who or what he was by the name he must have been English. When Lord Albemarle was ambassador at Paris, in the year 1759, he by mere accident caught a sight of the list of persons confined in the Bastille, lying on the table of the French minister, with the name of Gordon at their head. Being struck with the circumstance, he inquired into the meaning of it, but the French minister could give no account of it, and on the promise of being released and sent for, he could only state that he had been confined there thirty years, but had not the slightest knowledge or suspicion of the cause for which he had been arrested. Nor is it very wonderful, when we consider that *lettres de cachet* were sold, and that the list for names to be filled up at the pleasure or caprice of the monarch. Is this a system of government to defend a country which to the utmost Englishmen arm, bleed, and spend blood and treasure? If it was only to prevent the recurrence of one such crisis (which the falling in society at once shrinking from and shunning as a plague in it), the Revolution was well purchased. When

The stormers of the Bastille arrived at the Place de Grève, rending the air with shouts of victory. They marched on to the great hall of the Hôtel de Ville, in all the terrific and unusual pomp of a popular triumph. Such of them as had displayed most courage and ardour were borne on the shoulders of the rest, crowned with laurel. They were escorted up the hall by near two thousand of the populace, their eyes flaming, their hair in wild disorder, variously accoutred, pressing tumultuously on each other, and making the heavy floors almost crack beneath their footsteps. One bore the keys and flag of the Bastille, another the regulations of the prison brandished on the point of a bayonet; a third (a thing horrible to relate) held in his bloody fingers the buckle of the Governor's stock. In this order it was that they entered the Hôtel de Ville to announce their victory to the Committee, and to decide on the fate of the remaining prisoners, who, in spite of the impatient cries to give no quarter, were rescued by the exertions of the commandant La Salle, Moreau de St Mery, and the intrepid Elie. Then came the turn of the

the crowd gained possession of this loathsome spot, they eagerly poured into every corner and turning of it, went down into the lowest dungeons with a breathless curiosity and horror, knocking with sledge-hammers at their triple portals, and breaking down and destroying everything in their way. The stones and devices on the battlements were torn off and thrown into the ditch, and the papers and documents were at the same time unfortunately destroyed. A low range of dungeons was discovered under ground, close to the moat, and so contrived, that if those within had forced a passage through, they would have let in the water of the ditch and been suffocated. In one of these a skeleton was found hanging to an iron trap in the wall. In reading the accounts of the demolition of this building, one feels that indignation should have melted the stone-walls like wax, and that the dungeons should have given up their dead to assist the living! Surely it must be allowed that John Bull's former horror of these doings was more in character with his late patronage and admiration of them as indispensable to the existence of social order. The Bastille was begun in 1370, in Charles V's time, by one Hugh Abriot, provost of the city, who was afterwards shut up in it in 1381. It at first consisted only of two towers: two more were added by Charles VI and four more in 1383. Two days after it was taken, it was ordered by the National Assembly to be razed to the ground, and in May, 1790, not a trace of it was left.

despicable Flesselles that caricature of vapid, frothy impertinence who thought he could baffle the roaring tiger with grimace and shallow excuses "To the Palais Royal with him" was the word, and he answered with callous indifference, "Well, to the Palais Royal if you will." He was hemmed in by the crowd, and borne along, without any violence being offered him to the place of destination, but at the corner of the Quai Le Pelletier, an unknown hand approached him, and stretched him lifeless on the spot with a pistol-shot. During the night succeeding this eventful day, Paris was in the greatest agitation, hourly expecting (in consequence of the statements from intercepted letters) an attack from the troops. Every precaution was made to defend the city. Barricades were formed, the streets unpaved, pikes forged, the women piled stones on the tops of houses to hurl them down on the heads of the soldiers, and the National Guards occupied the outposts.

While this was passing, and before it became known at Versailles, the Court was preparing to carry into effect its designs against the Assembly and the capital. The night between the 14th and 15th was fixed upon for their execution. The new minister, Biéteau, had promised to re-establish the royal authority within three days. Marshal Broghe, who commanded the army round Paris, was invested with unlimited powers. The Assembly, it was agreed upon, were to be dissolved, and forty thousand copies of a proclamation to this effect were ready to be circulated throughout the kingdom. The rising of the populace was supposed to be a temporary evil, and it was thought to the last moment an impossibility that a mob of citizens should resist an army. The Assembly was duly apprised of all these projects. It sat for two days in a state of constant inquietude and alarm. The news from Paris was dreadful. A firing of cannon was supposed to be heard, and persons anxiously placed their ears to the ground to listen. The escape of the King was expected, as a carriage had been kept in readi-

ness, and the Body-Guard had not pulled off their boots for several days. In the Orangery belonging to the Palace, meat and wine had been distributed among the foreign troops, to encourage and spirit them up. The Viscount de Noailles and another deputy, Wimpfen, brought word of the latest events in the capital, and of the increasing violence of the people. Couriers were dispatched every half-hour to gather intelligence. Deputations waited on the King to lay before him the progress of the insurrection, but he still gave evasive and unsatisfactory answers. In the night of the 14th the Duke de Liancourt had informed Louis XVI of the taking of the Bastille and the massacre of the garrison on the preceding day. "It is a revolt!" exclaimed the monarch, taken by surprise. "No, Sire, it is a revolution," was the answer. This turn of affairs, of which his ministers had kept him ignorant, determined the King to present himself to the Assembly, and assure them of his friendly intentions, for there is no meanness or duplicity of which persons in his station are not capable, because they think they cannot be degraded by the one, and are not responsible for the other. He entered the Assembly just as Mirabeau had finished his invective against the presents, the encouragements, and caresses lavished by the Queen, the Princes, and courtiers on the troops the day before. He was received at first in a mournful silence, but no sooner had he declared that "*he was only one of the people,*" than they loaded him with acclamations, rose with one accord, and conducted him back to the palace. The credulity of subjects is in proportion to the insincerity of sovereigns, for, as professions are all they ever get from them, they are obliged to be doubly grateful for the mere demonstrations of good-will or casual overtures to an amicable understanding. Louis, two days afterwards, entered Paris, preceded by a deputation of the Assembly, with Bailly and La Fayette at its head. He was welcomed with shouts by the people, who had changed in a moment from



fear and suspicion to the most unbounded confidence. The taste of princes for popularity must be small indeed since they can so easily command it by a word or look, and since they in general prefer reigning over the fears instead of courting the affections of their subjects. Perhaps they despise what is so cheaply and unworthily earned, or shrink with a natural disgust from offers of service and attachment where there can be no real sympathy, where the most abject homage is due to Majesty on the one side, and where all emanating from it, even insult and oppression, is to be regarded as grace and favour on the other. The voluntary love of the people is insipid. There was manifestly no disposition on the part of the nation or its representatives, to come to an open rupture with the monarch. On the contrary, they hailed with the most lively gratitude and a kind of doating fondness, every mark of condescension on the part of the Court, or appearance of making common cause with them; as the child is pleased with the gay colours and forked crest of the serpent that is going to strike its fangs into it.

The commotions in the metropolis were followed by disturbances in the provincial towns and in the country places, where many of the ancient *chateaux* were set on fire, and other unjustifiable excesses committed. Thus, however, was almost inevitable. The ill-usage of the peasantry had been of so long standing, so barefaced and galling, that it could not but engender a burning and deep-seated resentment, which with the first opportunity would break out into acts of violence and revenge. The Grand Seigneurs had so long treated them with every aggravation of contempt, cruelty, and hardship, presuming on their rank and power, that the instant their hands were untied, they fell upon them with all the maddening sense of accumulated shame and wrong. The restraint of fear being removed, they had no jot of love to hold them back. They looked upon their superiors as their natural and declared enemies (whom they had got in

their power,) not as their natural protectors and benefactors. They submitted to their old trammels from compulsion and necessity alone, and were ready to shake them off with every sign of impatience and abhorrence. These first excesses were the consequence (wherever they occurred) of a spontaneous local feeling, and were neither authorised by the Assembly nor the result of any concert between the different places, for such was the want of communication, and the stagnation of activity and intelligence in France previous to the Revolution, that the most important events were often not known for some days at the distance of only a few leagues from Paris.\* Necker was at the same time recalled, and traversed France in a kind of triumph. He was now at the height of his popularity, from which he soon after declined, from the half-measures he pursued, and from his taking part with some of those against whom the indignation of the people was excited, as having encouraged the firing of the troops on the patriots on the 14th. Necker was one of those timid spirits, who adhere to the nicest forms of justice in the midst of the most violent commotions—(a sort of *petits-maitres*, who are as afraid of spoiling a certain ideal standard of perfection in their own minds, as a courtier is of soiling a birthday suit)—and soon after retired from the scene of the Revolution (for which he was unfit) in effeminate disgust, but without ever going over to the other side. Buonaparte met him at Geneva in 1800, when he was as full of himself and his financial schemes as ever. He was a man of principle, and of a certain literal understanding, but wanted strength of character to conform to circumstances or to govern them, and from an over-chariness of reputation, was afraid to approve what under any supposition, or by

\* See Arthur Young's Travels. The circumstance of the setting fire to the old castles, and expelling their proprietors, is slurred over by some late French writers, but it is clearly made out by this ingenious and authentic observer. In fact, the country was too hot to hold these persons, who had been from time immemorial the terror and scourge of their immediate neighbourhood.

any party. could be condemned as wrong While the world was tumbling about his ears, he was weighing the gains and scruples of morality Such self-satisfied casuists neutralize every cause, and are the outcasts of every party

The DECLARATION OF RIGHTS was shortly after promulgated by the Assembly (on the model of that of America) and in the night of the 4th of August the important and decisive decrees were passed, abolishing the remains of feudal jurisdiction, seignorial rights, tithes, the game laws the *gabelle*, the inequality of imposts, and the total exemption from them claimed by certain classes These Acts and this Declaration produced an entire and beneficial change in France—if liberty and justice are benefits—and made all the divisions of the kingdom and all classes of society politically equal, subject to the same laws, capable of arriving at the highest honours in the state, entitled to choose their own representatives, and masters of their own labour The vastness of this change, from a servile, arbitrary, and abject state to one of freedom and manly independence, was an enormity not to be paralleled in the eyes of those “who prefer custom before all excellence,” and the King, with the advice of those most nearly allied to him in blood and situation, prepared to evade giving his assent to it by flight He professed himself ready to correct certain positive and temporary abuses in the government and finances, on any change in the others, which were of a permanent and therefore infinitely more pernicious nature, he put an absolute *veto*, by treating them as coming under the head of property and the essential privileges of the higher classes In reality the people had so far been the property, the sport, and the victims of the higher classes, that the relation in which they had hitherto stood to each other in all their dealings by the laws and usages of society, could hardly be changed without a violent revulsion, or an entire remoulding of all the elements of the state In the debates on the new constitution also, the King’s own title and place in it had been canvassed and com-

mented upon. This was adding gall to bitterness. From this time a rupture became inevitable, a cordial reconciliation impossible for from this time two claims were brought to issue, the *right of prescription* and the *right of public good*, both clear and consistent in themselves, but absolutely incompatible with each other, between which no common judge or measure could be found, and in the collision of which one or other of the parties must be crushed to atoms; because every approximation between such hostile elements only increased the violence of their antipathy, and every concession, by making them more tenacious of what was left, only widened the breach between them. The Revolution was hurried on to its accomplishment by principles or prejudices, over which the will of individuals had a very slight control; for each person's private character or pretensions became merged in great masses of feeling and opinion. Those who think that a little more candour, a little more firmness, a little less rashness might have hit upon a middle course and reconciled all differences, seem not to read human nature or history right. Grant that Louis XVI was a man of upright and excellent intentions, still he was a king. Was he weak? He was descended from a long line of powerful ancestors. Had he the good of his people sincerely at heart? He had also to leave an inheritance, an untarnished crown, to his posterity! Had he possessed strength of mind to look down on all these prejudices, that would hardly have rendered him less formidable to his opponents. It must have sounded a little strange to him, at his time of day, to have his place and power made a subject of debate, a question to settle, as if he were a king of yesterday, or a constable newly appointed to office. It was not unaccountable that an arbitrary monarch, claiming by right of twenty descents, should feel some qualms, some tremors, some backwardness and hesitation to have his prerogative called in question, its abuses restrained, its objects defined, its origin sifted and cavilled at, any more than it is strange that a whole

people, having the opportunity, should wish to curtail the right to seize upon their persons, to dictate laws to their assemblies, to confiscate their property Both were natural and in order, and it might easily be foreseen that the repugnance of either party to come to terms would increase till it could only be satisfied by the absolute and final submission of the other It is in vain to regret the catastrophe, the struggle was from the commencement and in its nature a fatal one

The changes in the principles and forms of the government which had been adopted by the National Assembly, and to which the King at length gave an ungracious and imperfect assent, must have completely alienated the mind of the monarch, since they implied that he was only the steward, not the proprietor of the commonweal The princes of the blood had already fled with their retainers to the frontier, where they were busy in exciting the hostility of foreign powers against a Revolution which admitted all Frenchmen to the rank of men and citizens, subject to the law, but no longer subject to the caprice and tyranny of the privileged classes; and the King was secretly contriving how to join them, after making one more trial of the dispositions of the military As this is a new crisis in the Revolution, it will be as well, before we proceed farther, to take a glance at that state of things which called forth such tender regrets in the partisans of the old system, and sooner than abandon which they were resolved to plunge their country and Europe in seas of blood—Justice was openly bought and sold like any other commodity in the market. The law was only a convenient instrument in the hands of the rich against the poor He who went into a court of justice without friends or without money to seek for redress, however gross his provocation, was sure to come out of it with insult, added to the original injury, and with a sickening and humiliating sense of his own helpless and degraded situation If he had a handsome wife or daughter, or was entrusted with any great man's

secrets, he had less need to despair. The peasants were over-worked, half-starved, treated with hard words and hard blows, subjected to unceasing exactions and every species of petty tyranny, both from their haughty lords and their underlings while in the cities a number of unwholesome and useless professions and a crowd of lazy menials pampered the vices, or administered to the pride and luxury of the great. The roads and villages were infested with beggars and various objects of disease, neglect, and wretchedness. The modes of education, and the notions respecting the treatment of the children of the poor and of the sick were full of superstition and barbarism, which no pains were taken to eradicate, and led to the most distressing consequences. The hopes and labours of the husbandman were constantly ruined by the inroads of wild boars and other animals of chase; and if any of these were destroyed in a fit of impatience or from the pressure of want, the offence was never forgiven, as directed less against the property than the exclusive pleasures of the proprietors of the soil. The tithes were an additional and heavy burden. In the imposition of taxes no favour was shown to the comforts or necessities of the poor, while the privileged classes were wholly exempted from them. If a rich man struck a poor one, the latter must submit in silence, if he was robbed of a house or orchard, and he complained, he was sent to prison. Instances have even been known of the common people passing along the streets, or workmen on the tops of houses, being shot at as marks and killed in sport, and no notice taken. There was no such thing as liberty of the press or trial by jury, nor any public trial or confronting of witnesses. The great mass of the people were regarded by their superiors as of a lower species, as merely tolerated in existence for their use and convenience, the object was to reduce them to the lowest possible state of dependence and wretchedness, and to make them sensible of it at every step. The human form only (and scarcely that) was left

them. in other respects the dogs and horses of the rich were better off, and used with less cruelty and contempt. The arbitrary arrests of the court were not so frequent as formerly, but there was no security against them, so that the people felt thankful for the forbearance of power, instead of being indignant at its exercise, like the poor bird that cowers and trembles after having just escaped the talons of the hawk. To speak truth, to plead the cause of humanity, was sure to draw down the vengeance of government, and was to sign the warrant of your own condemnation. Loyalty was a sordid calculation of interest or a panic-fear. No erectness of spirit, no confidence, no manly boldness of character, but in their stead, trick, cunning, smiling deceit, tame servility, a total want of public principle; and hence, in a great measure, arose the excesses of the Revolution, when power got into the hands of a people wholly unused to it, and impatient of every obstacle to their wishes, from want of respect for themselves or reliance on one another. Hence the treachery and vacillation of leaders, the fury of parties. Marat, before the Revolution, addicted himself wholly to the study of abstruse science, and avoided meddling with politics from the avowed dread of the Bastille, it is not surprising that in a mind like his thus painful and pusillanimous feeling should seek to revenge itself, when its turn came, by inspiring the same terror in others. The manners of the court were also carried to the extremes of frivolity and depravity, so as to take alike from virtue its dignity, from vice its blush. The clergy, shut out from the charities of domestic life, strove to tarnish what they could not enjoy, and to turn the general profligacy to the profit of their own peculiar calling. Then sanguinary bigotry was changed to a covert scepticism not less odious, and into a sleek and dangerous complacency to the vices of individuals and the abuses of power. In the court, corruption; in the church, hypocrisy; levity and licentiousness in the people. The influence of the *haut ton* (as it was called) had

spread far and wide—had tainted literature, and given a false and mischievous bias to philosophy, by transforming court vices into incontrovertible principles of human nature. Society was in a false position. All that was really left of loyalty was the admiration of the last new court dress, of religious zeal, a desire to witness some imposing church ceremony, or to slide into a vacant preferment. What little there was of household faith or homely honesty in common life was trampled under the feet or dissipated by the example of the higher classes. The ancient government and institutions had lost their hold on the prejudices and feelings of the community, and remained chiefly as a stumbling-block in the way of improvement, or as a Gothic ruin, ready to fall upon and crush those who attempted it, and it was high time that they should be swept away to make room for a more rational, and in the present circumstances of the world, a more natural order of things. A system, originating in the feudal times and in the dark ages, and bent on maintaining its ground in an age of reason and inquiry, is as great a solecism in the moral world, as an apparition at noon-day would be in the physical one. Ridicule and disgust in that case inevitably succeed to awe and wonder. Everything is forced and spurious in such an incongruous and disjointed state of the public mind. Old prejudices and institutions remain only to prevent the growth or warp the direction of the new ones, which, while this is the case, cannot take effect to any good or consistent purpose. One of two things must, therefore, occur, it is necessary either that society should retrograde, which is hardly possible, or that it should “take progression forward,” which it will do in spite of every obstacle opposed to it.

It has been pretended that the National Assembly proceeded upon merely abstract and gratuitous principles to level what has lately been termed “the beautiful fabric of the French Monarchy” with the ground, and to get rid of the solid benefits of their ancient



laws and constitution, from being suddenly enamoured of a vague fanciful, and impracticable theory. Alas ! if they were reduced to recur to extreme and speculative principles, it was because "from the sole of the foot to the crown of the head" there was no soundness to be met with in the old system. So far is this charge from being true, that there is hardly one of those reforms which they effected that was not called for over and over again in the *cahiers* or instructions to the deputies, and that was not a subject of notorious and bitter complaint throughout the country. This is matter of fact and record. I shall go a little into the details, with the assistance of an author whose information and candour are acknowledged on all hands.

"The enrolments for the militia, which the *cahiers* call an *injustice without example*, were another dreadful scourge on the peasantry; and as married men were exempted from it, occasioned in some degree that mischievous population, which brought beings into the world, in order for little else than to be starved. The *corvées*, or police of the roads, were annually the ruin of many hundreds of farmers, more than three hundred were reduced to beggary in filling up one vale in Lorraine. all these oppressions fell on the *tiers état* only, the nobility and clergy having been equally exempted from *tailles*, militia, and *corvées*. The penal code of finance makes one shudder at the horrors of punishment inadequate to the crimes. It is calculated that, upon an average, there were annually taken and sent to prison or the galleys 2340 men, 896 women, 201 children (total, 3437) for smuggling salt. All families and persons liable to the *taille* in the provinces of the *grandes gabelles*, were enrolled, and their consumption of salt for the *pot* and *salière* (that is, the daily consumption, exclusive of salting meat, &c) estimated at seven pounds a head *per annum*, which quantity they were forced to buy, whether they wanted it or not, under the pain of various fines, according to the case.

“The *capitaineries* were a dreadful scourge on all the occupiers of land. By this term was to be understood the paramountship of certain districts, granted by the King to princes of the blood, by which they were put in possession of the property of all game, even on lands not belonging to them, and what is very singular, on manoirs granted long before to individuals, so that the erecting of a district into a *capitainerie* was an annihilation of all manorial rights to game within it. This was a trifling business in comparison of other circumstances for in speaking of the preservation of the game in these *capitaineries*, it must be observed that by game must be understood whole droves of wild boars and herds of deer not confined by any wall or pale, but wandering at pleasure over the whole country to the destruction of the crops, and to the peopling of the galleys by the wretched peasants who presumed to kill them in order to save that food which was to support their helpless children. The game in the *capitainerie* of Montceau in four parishes only did mischief to the amount of 184,263 livres per annum, no wonder, then, that we should find the people asking, ‘*Nous demandons à grands cris la destruction des capitaineries et celle de toute sorte de gibier*’\* And what are we to think of demanding as a favour the permission—‘*De nettoyer ses grains, de faucher les prés artificiels et d’enlever ses chaumes sans égard pour la perdrix ou toute autre gibier*’† Now an English reader will scarcely understand it without being told, that there were numerous edicts for preserving the game which prohibited weeding and hoeing, lest the young partridges should be disturbed, steeping seed, lest it should injure the game; manuring with night-soil, lest the flavour of the partridges should be injured by feeding on the corn so produced, mowing hay, &c, before a certain time, so late as to spoil many crops, and taking away the stubble which would deprive the

\* *Cahiers de Tiers Etat de Mantes et Meulan.*

† *Ibid.*

birds of shelter. The tyranny exercised in these *capitaineries*, which extended over four hundred leagues of country, was so great, that many *cahiers* demanded the utter suppression of them. Such were the exertions of arbitrary power which the lower orders felt directly from the Royal authority but, heavy as they were, it is a question whether the others, suffered circuitously through the nobility and clergy, were not yet more oppressive. Nothing can exceed the complaints made in the *cahiers* under this head. They speak of the dispensation of justice in the mineral courts, as comprising every species of despotism. the districts interminate—appeals endless—irreconcilable to liberty and prosperity, and irrevocably proscribed in the opinion of the public,\* augmenting litigations, favouring every species of chicanery, ruining the parties, not only by enormous expenses on the most petty objects, but by a dreadful loss of time, the judges commonly ignorant pretenders, who hold their courts in *cabarets* (public-houses), and are absolutely dependent on the seigneurs, in consequence of their feudal powers. They are described as vexations '*qui font le plus grand fleau des peuples*†—*Esclavage affligeant*‡—*Ce régime désolateur*"§ That the *féodalité* be for ever abolished. The countryman is tyrannically enslaved by it. Fixed and heavy rents, vexatious processes to secure them; appreciated unjustly to augment them; rents *solidaires* and *reventables*; rents *chéantes* and *levantes*, *fourages*. Fines at every change of the property, in the direct as well as collateral line; feudal redemption (*retraite*), fines on sale to the eighth, and even the sixth penny, redemptions (*rachats*) injurious in their origin, and still more so in their extension, *bannalité* of the mill, of the oven, and of the wine and cyder-press,|| *corvées* by custom;

\* *Peuples*

† *Nantes* ‡ *Tiers Etat de Vannes* § *Clermont Ferrand*

By this terrible law the people were bound to grind their corn at the mill of the seigneur only, to press their grapes at his press

*corvées* by uses of the fief, *corvées* established by unjust decrees, *corvées* arbitrary, and even fantastical. *servitudes*, *prestations*, extravagant and burthensome; collections by assessments incollectable; *aucun ménus*, *impunissements*; litigations ruinous and without end, the rod of seignorial finance for ever shaken over their heads; vexation, ruin, outrage, violence, and destructive servitude, under which the peasants, almost on a level with Polish slaves, can never but be miserable, vile, and oppressed. They demand, also, that the use of hand-mills be free, and hope that posterity may be ignorant, if possible, that feudal tyranny in Bretagne, armed with the judicial power, has not blushed, even in these times, at breaking hand-mills, and at selling annually to the indigent the faculty of bruising between two stones a measure of buck-wheat or barley. The very terms of these complaints are unknown in England, and consequently untranslatable. What are those tortures of the peasantry in Bretagne, which they call *chevanches*, *quintaines*, *soule*, *saut de poison*, *barser de mariées*, *chansons*; *transporte d'œuf sur un charrette*, *silence de grenouilles*,\* *corvée à miséricorde*, *milods*; *leide*; *couponage*; *cartelage*, *barage*; *fouage*, *marechaussé*; *ban vin*, *ban d'about*, *trousses*; *gelinage*; *civerage*; *taillabilité*, *vingtain*, *sterlage*, *bordelage*, *minage*;

only, and to bake their bread in his oven, by which means the bread was often spoiled, and more especially wine, since in Champagne those grapes which pressed immediately made white wine, would, by waiting for the press, which often happened, make red wine only.

\* This is a curious article when the lady of the seigneur lay in, the people were obliged to *beat the waters* in marshy districts, to keep the frogs silent, that she might not be disturbed. This duty, a very oppressive one, was commuted into a pecuniary fine—*Resumé des Coutumes*, tom iii pp 316, 317.

The *colombiers* were another instrument of oppression and injustice. These were groves of wild pigeons, kept up for the amusement of the great, and if the peasants entered or approached within a given distance of them, the punishment was the galleys, or even death. On every feature of the old government, on every object it touched, on every measure of contrivance it adopted, might be written—*Sacred to Injustice!*

*bin de vendanges; droit d'accaple* In passing through many of the French provinces, I was struck with the various and heavy complaints of the farmers and little proprietors of the feudal grievances, with the weight of which their industry was burthened; but I could not then conceive the multiplicity of the shackles which kept them poor and depressed. I understood it better afterwards from the conversation and acknowledgments of some *Grand Seigneurs*, as the Revolution advanced, and I then learnt that the principal rental of many estates consisted in services and feudal tenures, by the baneful influence of which the industry of the people was almost exterminated. In regard to the oppressions of the clergy as to tithes, though the ecclesiastical tenth was levied in France more severely than usual in Italy, yet was it never exacted with such horrid greediness as is at present the disgrace of England. Notwithstanding the mildness in the levy of this odious tax, the burthen to people groaning under so many other oppressions united to render their situation so bad that no change could be for the worse. But these were not all the evils with which the people struggled. The administration of justice was partial, venal, infamous. I have, in conversation with many very sensible men, met with something of content with their government in all other respects than this, but upon the question of expecting justice to be really and fairly administered, every one confessed there was no such thing to be looked for. The conduct of the parliaments was profligate and atrocious. Upon almost every cause that came before them, interest was openly made with the judges; and woe betide the man who with a cause to support had no means of conciliating favour, either by the beauty of a handsome wife, or other methods. There was also a circumstance in the constitution of these parliaments but little known in England, and which, under such a government as that of France, must be considered as very singular. They had the power and were in the constant practice of

issuing decrees without the consent of the Crown, and which had the force of laws through the whole of their jurisdiction; and of all other laws these were sure to be the best obeyed; for as all infringements of them were brought before sovereign courts, composed of the same persons who had enacted these laws (a horrible system of tyranny!) they were certain of being punished with the last severity. Their constitution in respect to the administration of justice, was so truly rotten, that the members sat as judges even in causes of private property, in which they were themselves the parties, and have in this capacity been guilty of oppressions and cruelties, which the Crown has rarely dared to attempt"—*Young's Travels*, vol. II. p. 515

So far, then, is it from the historic fact, that the French Revolution was a monstrous chimaera, the offspring of Utopian dreams and romantic imaginations, pampered by too much ease and liberty in the former state of things, that the ancient *régime* was an absolute nuisance, and was felt to be so in all its branches; and by all classes except those who were directly interested in its abuses. It was hardly a system of governing men, but of torturing and insulting them, proceeding on an avowed contempt of the rights and welfare of the people, setting at nought their comforts and happiness as not to be taken into the account, sacrificing every principle of law or equity to the least of its caprices, taking a pride and pleasure, and considering it as its peculiar privilege and most dignified employment to interfere in all their concerns, to harass them at every turn, and to keep them in a state of constant alarm and annoyance and helpless dependence, and to make them feel at every moment, and by every possible means, that they were made not to set up any fantastical, preposterous, and presumptuous claims to freedom or happiness, but solely for the great to exercise their spleen, caprice, vanity, greediness, insolence, and cruelty upon. How to get rid of this complicated mass of folly, absurdity,

impertinence, violence, and injustice, pointing only to the advantages and aggrandisement of the few, and to substitute in its stead a system of real government, law, and liberty, founded on the good of the many, was the question. It could hardly be done without violence for the higher orders set their faces against it; but the voice of reason and humanity prevailed, and this great benefit was effected for mankind.\* "The people," concludes the writer whom I have here quoted, "suffer much and long before they are effectually roused, nothing, therefore, can kindle the flame, but such oppressions of some classes or orders in the society, as give able men the opportunity of seconding the general mass, discontent will soon diffuse itself around, and if the government take not warning in time, it is alone answerable for all the burnings, and plunderings, and devastation, and blood that follow. The true judgment to be formed of the French Revolution must surely be gained from an attentive consideration of the evils of the old government: when these are well understood, with the extent and universality of the oppression under which the people groaned (oppression which bore upon them from every quarter), it will scarcely be attempted to be urged, that a Revolution was not absolutely neces-

\* The *calvins* of the deputies of the *tiers état* almost uniformly demanded and called for the abolition of the abuses above enumerated, the *calvins* of the nobility on the contrary, demanded as steadily that all their feudal rights should be confirmed, that the carrying of arms should be strictly prohibited to everybody but noblemen, that the infantry arrangements of the militia should remain on the old footing, that breaking up wastes and inclosing commons should be prohibited, that the nobility should alone be eligible to enter into the army, church, &c., that *lettres de cachet* should continue, that the press should not be free, and lastly, that there should be no free corn trade. There was the same ill spirit manifested in the instructions given to the clergy by their own body. They maintain, for example, that the liberty of the press ought rather to be restrained than extended, that the laws against it should be renewed and executed; that admission into religious orders should be, as formerly, at sixteen years of age, that *lettres de cachet* are useful and even necessary. They solicit to prohibit all division of commons, to revoke the edict allowing inclosures, that the export of corn be not allowed, and that granaries be established.

sary to the welfare of the kingdom." But in proportion as this change was great and desuab<sup>o</sup>le, so was the opposition to it violent, determined, and lasting. The princes of the blood were among the first to sound the alarm, and to fly from an object abhorrent to their pretensions and prejudices, the sight of their country's freedom; and they lived to reap the benefit of their early opposition and antipathy to it!

The scarcity which prevailed in Paris occasioned a tendency to riot and disorder. Under a pretence of repressing it, the court summoned a number of troops to Versailles; doubled the body guard on duty, and sent for the dragoons and the Flanders regiment. All this, in the irritable and agitated state of the public mind, excited hourly apprehensions of a counter-revolutionary movement, of the flight of the King, and the dissolution of the Assembly. In the different places of public resort, it was observed that black or yellow cockades and unusual badges were worn; the enemies of the Revolution manifested an approaching triumph, and the Court, by its imprudence, confirmed these alarming symptoms. The officers of the Flanders regiment were entertained by those of the King's Guard, in a sumptuous manner. The dragoons, the Swiss Guards, and several others were also present at this banquet, which was given in the great hall of the palace, never appropriated but to solemn occasions. All of a sudden the King entered in a hunting dress, followed by the Queen, holding the Dauphin in her arms; thus (as they always do) by a meretricious and theatrical artifice, appealing to the common affections of our nature, to overturn the common interest and rights. The acclamations were loud and incessant. The health of the royal family was drunk by the troops with drawn swords in their hands; and when after some time Louis XVI withdrew, the band struck up the air—*"O Richard! O my King! the universe abandons thee!"* The scene then took a more disorderly and extravagant character, the wine and music having



banished all reserve from the guests. They sounded the charge, scaled the lodges, as if they were mounting to an assault, and spreading themselves through the galleries of the palace, were received by the ladies of the court with a profusion of congratulation, and decked out with ribbons and white cockades.

The same ceremony was repeated on the 3rd of October, which had taken place on the 1st, and the Queen declared herself enchanted with the day. All was now gloom and suspicion. The refusal of the King unconditionally to sanction the *Declaration of Rights* (after having agreed to the decrees of the 4th of August), his deliberate temporising and increasing distrust combined with the dread of famine to produce this effect. While things were in this state, a girl entered a guard-house, seized a drum, and paraded the streets of Paris, calling out "Bread, bread!" and in a short time she was surrounded with an immense concourse of women, who repeated the same cry, and, with Maillard at their head (one of those who had distinguished themselves at the taking of the Bastille) set off for Versailles. The French and National Guards resolved upon following in their train. La Fayette, who for a long time strove all he could, but in vain, to dissuade them from their purpose, at length accompanied them. The appearance of this female troop at Versailles caused considerable dismay, as it ought; for as the interference of the multitude implies an extraordinary agitation of men's minds, and some grief which has penetrated to the bottom of society and turned it *upside down*, so the interference of a female mob shows a more extreme case still. They must be pressing dangers, acute diseases indeed, which provoke such rude and unwarranted practitioners to volunteer their services. If their remoteness from power and grossness of apprehension make them bad judges of the remedy, at least it is not a trifling cause that takes them out of their ordinary routine of action, and urges them into the presence of their betters to demand one. There are no sort of people

who have less impertinence, or who are less disposed to meddle with what does not concern them than the mob Maillard and his women appeared before the King and the Assembly in the character of suppliants, and went away satisfied with the assurances they received But it was next to impossible that some cause of dissension should not arise between this disorderly troop and the Body Guard, who were the object of so much dislike and apprehension A quarrel presently ensued, and an officer of the guard struck a Parisian soldier with his sword, and received in return a musket-shot in his arm The engagement became general, and must have ended fatally, but for the darkness of the night coming on, and the order which was issued for the guard to retire But as they were accused of being the aggressors, the multitude were not to be pacified, broke into their quarters, and wounded two of them The rain, which fell in torrents, fatigue, and the forbearance of the soldiers put a stop to the affray, and the arrival of La Fayette with the National Guard, promised to restore tranquillity

At the palace all was still, and after a harassing night, at two o'clock the Royal Family retired to sleep But towards six in the morning, some of the rioters of the preceding day, more unsettled than the rest, or waked up sooner by accident, strolling round the palace, spied a grating open, apprised their companions of it, and got in These persons saw a *Garde-du-corps* at a window, and accosted him with a volley of abuse, he fired and hit one of them They then rushed furiously on the soldiers, who defended the passages foot by foot, and with the greatest obstinacy. One of the latter had just time to inform the Queen of her danger, who fled, half-naked, to the apartment of her husband La Fayette no sooner heard of this unexpected attack on the royal residence, than he mounted on horseback, and repaired without loss of time to the spot He found the French Guards already there, who had, with much difficulty, protected the

King's Body Guard from the fury of the mob But the palace was still a scene of the most excessive disorder. The people assembled in the court-yard with loud cries demanded the appearance of the King. He came forward and showed himself They then insisted on his setting out for Paris, which he agreed to do The Queen was to accompany him thither ; but so strong were the prejudices against her, that it was first necessary to make her peace with the people. La Fayette led her forward to the front of the balcony, and bowing, kissed her hand with the greatest respect The people assented with shouts of applause He then advanced with one of the Body Guard, placed his own tri-coloured cockade in his hat, and embracing him, the people cried, "Long live the *Gardes-du-corps* !" The people bear no malice, and hence, from a consciousness of their infirmity, their impatience and rashness in revenging injuries at the moment and on the first object that presents itself, before the fit is overblown. The *Odia in longum jaciens quæ conderet auctaque promeret* is reserved for other breasts La Fayette by his address and well-timed gallantry on this occasion, probably prevented much mischief, and succeeded in escorting the Royal Family in safety to Paris He was eminently fitted to shine in scenes like this, which required a certain calm benignity of manner and a thorough consciousness of the most perfect uprightness of intention

The division of the kingdom into Departments, with the abolition of the provincial jurisdictions, occasioned some opposition in Languedoc and Bretagne, and in the parliaments of Metz, Rouen, Bordeaux, and Toulouse, who appear to have been more tenacious of their local privileges than zealous for the rights and equal happiness of the people at large. A more serious difficulty arose out of the abolition of the tithes and the sale of the church lands as national property The Revolution had commenced with internal difficulties, and Necker, with unlimited powers and credit, and his great opinion of himself,

had not been able to relieve the general embarrassment. The court had run the nation into debt, and the nation, to clear itself at a crisis not merely of present exigency, but of inconceivable future importance, reclaimed the property in the hands of the church, guaranteeing the objects of a pious or charitable nature, for which it had been originally bequeathed. The clergy cried out *Sacrilege*, and from this time became inveterate enemies of the Revolution. They began everywhere to stir up the people against it, and denounced those who purchased any part of the ecclesiastical domains as excommunicated. The abolition of monastic vows soon after (in the beginning of 1790) was another blow to their privileges, and an affront to their supposed sanctity of pretension. Then subsequent appointment by the state, instead of by divine ordination, was an additional aggravation of their quarrel with the Assembly. The sale of the church lands and the various difficulties thrown in the way of its execution led to the famous system of *assignats*, which was at one time the occasion of so much distress and ridicule, and was appealed to, on the one hand, as the sure forerunner of the ruin, on the other, as the only means of the salvation of France, by those who look no deeper for the ruin or salvation of states than the symbols and nominal signs of wealth. The sale of church property and of forfeited noblemen's estates, in which this paper currency originated, whatever might be the immediate embarrassments or absurdities attending its issue, has had the ultimate effect of giving and securing to hundreds of thousands of peasants a field, a cottage, and leisure to read. Benefit unspeakable of the Revolution, its sheet-anchor, its pride and strength!

As the anniversary of the 14th of July was set apart for a grand civic display, it was thought proper to signalise its approach by a new patriotic sacrifice. The Assembly abolished titles of nobility, armorial bearings, liveries, and orders of chivalry. This step, though of less vital importance than the rest, was

perhaps called for in the heat of the moment, and as a counteraction to the disproportioned and mischievous value which had been set on these distinctions. It may be thought, possibly, that the great ends of liberty and justice having been recognised and secured names and things of ornament might be left to take their chance with time and common sense, and that the triumph of equality, which had cancelled the legal claims and shattered the castle-walls of the old *noblesse*, might have spared their silver crests and motley coats as something to amuse their leisure, and exercise their heraldic ingenuity upon. But passion converts things that are trifling and frivolous into importance, and names are more closely allied to things than we at first imagine. A *Grand Seigneur* will perhaps stand up for a title of courtesy, or a device in his escutcheon, as sturdily as his ancestor would for the power of life and death over his vassals, but he would not do so but that the empty sign is connected by tradition and memory with the real power, and fosters the same spirit. It is therefore necessary, in making clear work, to get rid of both, the sign with the thing signified, as long as it is made a point of, since it is always sound policy to dispose of an adversary of any 'vantage ground which he is obstinate in defending. With this reservation, the rule for establishing revolutions, no doubt, is to make sure of essential and universally acknowledged benefits, or to consolidate the triumph we have gained over grievous wrongs instead of extending our conquests to matters of vague and fanciful import. Otherwise, we run a risk of bringing the whole once more into question. But reformers in general are not satisfied unless they can proceed from the solid and practical to the doubtful and insignificant, and it is well if they stop here, and do not press on with redoubled ardour, and in the spirit of wanton defiance and contradiction to the violent, the extravagant, and the obnoxious parts of their system.

The grand confederation of the Champ de Mars took

place on the 14th of July, 1790, the anniversary of the taking of the Bastille. All Paris had been busy for several weeks in making preparations for this magnificent festival. At seven in the morning, the corporations of the city, the members of the National Assembly, the Parisian Guards, the deputies from the Departments and from the army, set out from the former site of the Bastille, traversed the length of the Rue St. Honoré, and crossed the Seine on a bridge of boats, amidst discharges of artillery, the sounds of music, and the joy of the people. The procession entered the Champ de Mars, under a triumphal arch decorated with patriotic inscriptions, when each division of the assembled multitude repaired to the place assigned it with banners floating, and amidst loud shouts of applause. Four hundred thousand spectators were seated on benches of turf, ranged round this wide space. In the middle was placed an altar after the antique fashion, near it, raised upon an eminence conspicuous from afar, were the King, the Royal Family, the National Assembly, and the members of the municipality, the other bodies, civil and military, were placed not far off, each under its particular banner. The Bishop of Autun, assisted by four hundred priests, with white surplices and tri-coloured scarfs, celebrated mass to the sound of martial music, and afterwards consecrated the royal standard and the banners of the eighty-three Departments. A profound silence ensued throughout the vast assembly, and La Fayette advanced the first to take the civic oath. Borne in the arms of the soldiers to the altar of the country, amidst the acclamations of all present he repeated in an elevated voice, in his own name, and in that of the army and the people, "We swear to be faithful to the nation, to the law, and to the King, and to maintain the Constitution decreed by the National Assembly, and accepted by him." In an instant the discharges of artillery, the eager cries of the multitude, the clash of arms, the sounds of music again blended together,

and rent the air with deafening thunder. The Assembly took the same oath, and then Louis XVI, standing up, swore, "to employ all the power delegated to him to maintain the Constitution decreed by the Assembly, and which he had accepted." The Queen too, played her part in the ceremony, perhaps hurried away by the contagion of the moment, and the imposing effect of the surrounding scene, and held up the Dauphin in her arms as a pledge of universal confidence and satisfaction. For the time, distrust, jealousy, reserve, dissimulation seemed to be forgotten; and the majesty of an anointed King did not disdain to stoop and mingle with the assembled pomp and plenitude of power in a free people. The wish on the one side, that the monarch should long continue the King of a free people, was answered by a ready assent on the other, that the people should be free. Vain and short-lived illusion! The rain fell in torrents nearly the whole day (the sun only once breaking out to cast a transient gleam upon the pageant), but this circumstance took little from the effect of the ceremony or the heartfelt enthusiasm of the spectators. The rejoicings of the day were prolonged into the night, games, illuminations, dancing succeeded. A ball was given on the spot where, a year before, the Bastille stood. A medal was afterwards struck in commemoration of this, which has been well called "a mighty people's coronation-day."

## CHAPTER V

## COALITION AGAINST FRANCE

Coalition against France, state of parties renewed, the clergy, attacks on kingly power, apology for kings, death of Mirabeau, organisation of the emigrants, declaration of Mantua, foreign contingents for invading France, attempted flight of the royal family, they are brought back to Paris, appearance of the republican party, Monsieur arrives at Brussels, assumes the title of regent, declaration of Pilnitz in favour of Louis, threats of the allied sovereigns, troops levied by the assembly, it is dissolved by the king, opening of the new assembly, its popular character; clubs and parties, war declared against the allies, attainer of the king's brothers, confiscation of emigrant property, change of ministry, characters of Dumouriez and Roland, national vanity of the French, disposition of their forces, failure of the invasion of the Netherlands, vigorous measures of the assembly, dismissal of the ministry, Mallet du Pan deputed to the allies, La Fayette demands the suppression of the Jacobins, threatening visit of the populace to the assembly, attack on the palace of Versailles, last efforts of the constitutionalists in favour of the king, party of the Gironde, advance of the allies, manifesto of the Duke of Brunswick, Girondists resolve to dethrone the king, attack on the Tuileries by the people, the king repairs to the legislative assembly, furious conflict between the populace and the Swiss, change of government, the king imprisoned in the Temple, La Fayette attempts a counter-movement, his political character estimated; advance of the invading army, La Fayette attempts to fly to America, is taken by the Austrians, and imprisoned, acts of the popular party at Paris, the frontier passed by the Prussians; Longwy and Verdun taken, massacre of the royalists at Paris; commencement of the reign of terror, Dumouriez appointed to command the army of the Moselle, rapidity of his operations, is joined by Kellermann and Bourmonville, battle of Valmy, the allies repass the Rhine, universal success of the French armies

THUS far the Revolution had gone on well, with the ordinary success of revolutions, where the force of reason and public opinion triumphs over arbitrary power and notorious abuses—with little violence, with little bloodshed (and that casual and unauthorised), and with an apparent disposition to abate its eager,



whirling motion, and settle down into a constitutional monarchy more popular than that of England, but less so than the government of the United States of America. The vessel of the state, having made its desired haven slackened its course, and was inclined to repose in quiet under the shadow of the laws, and on the seeming union between prince and people. From the summer of 1790 to August 1792, no restlessness of temper was manifested, no exorbitant, uneasy craving after innovation. Few additional changes had been made or even suggested, little was done in the way of pulling down, much to build up and perfect what had already been chalked out. The starts, the flaws, and angry impatience of the existing order of things were during this period on the side of the Court, not of the people. The latter had thrown off their yoke, and were pleased with the terms of freedom they had obtained. Their subsequent convulsive movements and wild extravagance, both in theory and practice, took their rise not in the necessary, irregular impetus implied (as is pretended) in the very nature of all political reform, but in the insidious or barefaced attempt to arrest its progress by secret machinations or by open force, and to crush it altogether. The favourable and lofty aspect which it at first assumed and maintained, while left to itself, was soon changed to one of gloom and distraction, when beset with enemies without and within—a change which its friends had to regret, at which its antagonists rejoiced, and endeavoured by every means within their reach to make worse. It has been usual (as men remember their prejudices better than the truth) to hold up the Coalition of the Allied Powers as having for its end and justification the repressing the horrors of the French Revolution, whereas, on the contrary, those horrors arose out of the Coalition, which had for its object to root out not the evil, but the good of the Revolution in France. History will confirm this sentence, and will set its mark of reprobation on those who did all in their power to impede

the march of truth and freedom (with impudence and hypocrisy at their side), and sooner than relinquish a tittle of their own pride and monstrous pretensions, to convert the fairest prospects into a scene of devastation and blood, bringing about the very calamities they predicted, by driving a whole people to despair and madness, no less by the threats and vengeance denounced, than from the hopes and possession of liberty snatched from them. To understand what followed, we may pause here for a moment to take a view of the state of feeling of both parties.

We have in the last chapter seen what was the condition of the mass of the French people previous to the Revolution. The change from such a state of things, at once exciting odium and contempt, to that which had been established on its ruins, was so new, so great and beneficial, it carried such relief and conviction to every breast, to the meanest peasant or lowest mechanic (for every human being feels that he has a heart with a capacity for enjoyment or suffering, which ought not to be wilfully and wantonly sported with by his fellow-man, a truth which all the sophistry in the world cannot overturn, and which was now erected into a principle, and promulgated as the foundation of all law and government), this change was so satisfactory and so welcome, as at first to occasion some surprise that it did not meet with universal approbation; and this surprise soon turned into hatred of those who doubted or opposed the common good. The difference, not between the new and the old philosophy, but between the natural dictates of the heart and the artificial and oppressive distinctions of society, was so vast and obvious that the people in general could not conceive it possible for any one to be sincere or merely mistaken in withholding their claims. From Nature's bastards, they had become her sons, children of one common parent, in all their towns and villages you were met with songs of triumph, with the festive dance and garlands of flowers as in a time of jubilee and rejoicing, and those who did not join in hailing

their emancipation from thralldom, as the dawn of a new and golden era after the long night of slavery, could only be actuated by perversity or malice, were juggling fiends or mischievous apes, making mock and mows at humanity, and who wished to blot out the light of reason, and to stifle once more the breath of liberty. Hence originated an impatience, a disgust, an intolerant spirit and a mutual antipathy, like that between different sects in religion. The one party seeing only the common rights they had regained, the other only the exclusive advantages they had lost. The nobles were accordingly looked upon as an abstraction of pride and selfishness, the priests, of hypocrisy. An aristocrat was a being of another species, cut off from common sympathy or pity, he was like a bloated snake or spotted leper, whose touch was infection, whose sight was painful. The pretension of the few to lord it over the many was regarded as a monstrous assumption of superiority, which, the longer it had been usurped, and the more recently the disguise had been stripped from it, was entitled to less mercy. They were therefore hunted down like wild beasts shortly after, and having themselves denied the privileges of humanity to others, on system and in cold blood, were in their turn denied its benefits on the spur of the occasion and in the frenzy of the moment. They had hard measure dealt them, but they had not much right to complain, having themselves determined to give no quarter.

There was at the same time, it must be allowed, an extreme *bonhomme* and an unpardonable want of thought in the people in not expecting this result and being shocked at it. They seemed to suppose, that because a new light had struck them, the rest of the world were to be convinced as easily as they were; and that because they had been willing converts to the public good, others, who existed only on abuses and privileges, would be as forward to make the same disinterested and heroic sacrifice. That they did not, was accounted by the patriotic side a contradiction in

to rise & fly in the face of nature. But this is neither a wise nor a politic view of the subject, and should be corrected to prevent mistakes in future. It is impossible ever to effect any good for mankind till we are aware of the obstacles offered to it, and of the assistance we have to encounter from prejudice, pride, and interest. It seems an easy thing in theory for priests and nobles to make a virtue of necessity, and act the part of good citizens and pious Christians, or for an arbitrary monarch to subside with grace and dignity into the patriot king, but the more nearly we examine the subject, the more difficulties we shall find at every step. Looking at the oppression and injustice practised in France under the old government, it might be thought strange, in one point of view, for any human being to be found to advocate so gross and mischievous a system. But to those personally concerned, and with the aid of flattery and self-love, the very oppressions, vexations, and cruelties exercised seemed to carry their own justification with them, by representing those who were the objects of them in the most degrading and contemptible light, and as incapable of any better treatment than they received. Extreme inequality sharpens the edge of pride and disdain, and these, when at their height, deaden all sense of natural right and wrong. While the vassal submitted without repining to his fate, he deserved to suffer: if he resisted, it was flying in the face of all authority and duty. The lower classes had been so often made use of as beasts of burden, that they had in the estimation of their superiors forfeited all claim to humanity; and when they at length resumed their native shape, it was resented as an unheard-of and daring piece of presumption by their former masters, who could by no means stomach the change or tell what to make of it. They concluded that what had always been, must always be, that the distinctions of rank, and their great superiority in personal accomplishments, were the obvious consequence of an original difference in blood, just as the

butterfly is superior to the caterpillar ; and that clowns and artificers were the natural duddges of lords and fine gentlemen. Modern effeminacy and fastidious refinement dazzled the vanity of some, and blinded them to the plain and manly principles of independence ; while others bent their gaze on the dim twilight of antiquity—and not finding the ancestors of the great mass of mankind in books of heraldry, regarded them as of no account whatever. Even the tardy sense of justice would make them reject every other supposition with a kind of abhorrence, for they could no otherwise defend their having so long abused the human form , and they must either acknowledge the odiousness and absurdity of their own pretensions, or look down upon the bulk of the species with scorn and loathing. We see indeed in persons of this class,\* who were exceptions to the general rule, and superior to selfish and sordid motives, the unconquerable force of habit—how difficult they found it to reconcile themselves in reality to what they had ardently desired in theory, and how soon they withdrew, one by one, from the race of popularity on which they had entered, not able to breathe out of the thick and unwholesome atmosphere of tradition and prejudice to which they had been accustomed ! If this was the case even with men of reflection and of enlarged and liberal views, what must have been the scorn, the fear, and hatred of those who were eaten up by their own pride and passions only, and who had never so much as dreamt that the universe was not a plaything made for their amusement ?

As for the clergy, the Revolution, if it did not make them humble, made them zealous. There were many *Tartuffes* among them who thought Heaven was concerned in the defence of their wealth, and who were ready to call down its vengeance on the enemies of the church. Numbers of them, who before were hypocrites or lukewarm, became bigots. Their self-

\* Such as the Duc de Liancourt and others.

interest alarmed and strengthened their piety, their piety lent a seeming, and often a real, sanctity, to their worldly passions. In the best of them, the cause and defence of religion was the prevailing motive: it was not without its effect, from sympathy and opposition, in the worst. They could not fail to perceive that their *all* was at stake; and when this is the case, the understanding is apt to put itself to school to the will. By their *all*, we are not to imply merely their external possessions, but their spiritual rank and character, the whole ground-work of their opinions, studies, acquisitions, the influence they had exercised in the world, and the authority they still claimed over the bodies and souls of men. From reverend men they became, by the new light, cheats and impostors; from giving laws to the world, and leading it blindfold, their pretensions were turned into a laughing-stock; they were alike scoffed at by the philosophers, and "baited with the rabble's curse." If they were men, they could never tamely brook this change, nor be cordially, or under any circumstances, reconciled to a Revolution that had produced it. At best, their spiritual domination was gone from them; they were become mere ciphers in the state. The more rudely the mask had been torn from their failings, the closer would they try to keep it on, the more absurd and fantastical their articles of faith or forms of worship, the more sophistry would they employ both to themselves and others in palliating their grossness, the more base and unremitting had been their subservience to power, the more would they strain every nerve and undergo every privation to restore that power, that it might be a shield to them, and a triumphant answer to their enemies. It was not that they themselves were attacked, but it was a question whether all that they ever held, or professed to hold sacred and venerable, should be made into a jest and by-word. The *esprit de corps* was too deeply wounded for them to remain neuter, their part was decidedly and finally allotted them by

the circumstances in which they stood, and by the necessity to prop up the throne on which the altar leant for mutual support. To have acted otherwise than they did, would have been a professional and mental *jelo-de-se*. It was an error to suppose that any arguments or concessions could soften them, or divert them from the settled purpose of recovering this self-consequence. Such characters are not unnatural, but incorrigible.

To proceed to the last point, the temper and patience with which the King was likely to submit to the various experiments for paring down his crown to a philosophic and constitutional standard. A lioness robbed of her young is not more furious than an absolute monarch deprived of the smallest tittle of his power. The convulsive start, the quivering of the flesh, the scalding tear, the querulous tone, the swelling rage, and the faint smile would be a subject for a great actor or poet to express. To question his right is a deadly offence which calls for instant and signal punishment. From the moment that he knows or suspects that you do not look upon his person as sacred, that you think him a mere mortal, or that a single hair of his head is not of more worth than the lives of millions of men, he conceives a surprise, fear, and loathing in his breast which nothing can alter or appease. For him to be taken to task, to have his designs thwarted, his power circumscribed by the people, is an usurpation of the brute over the God against all reason and nature. He stands up for his superiority with the instinct of self-preservation, and will sooner part with life than forfeit his just right, for the notion of Majesty is so bound up with his being that he cannot breathe, it is torture to him to exist without it. To trench upon this is to tread upon the forked adder. He may be the mildest and best-natured of men; this makes no difference whatever—the slightest mark of disrespect curdles his blood to poison—fevers his brain to madness. And what wonder? Do

we not see the pride and self-will of human nature going to all lengths in the most ordinary cases, and maintaining its ground with everything to mortify and humble it? Is not a man's idea of his own merit and importance proof against every disadvantage of birth, fortune, opinion, conscience, folly, and shame? And what will not this idea be in the mind of a king, pampered as it has been from his cradle by flattery, confirmed by prejudice, consecrated by religion, seated on a throne, blazing from the altar, woven into the language and history of the country, and handed down from age to age without the formal consent or intervention of his subjects, from whom he claims obedience as God's vicegerent upon earth? And is it to be supposed that he will give up this rank tamely, or not rather die in the attempt to recover the last iota of that right, the doubting of which he considers as sacrilege, treason, rebellion, or worse, against every law, divine or human? To tell him of the right of the people to be free is a cruel irony, as if he hindered them. To have it hinted, however gently, that he reigns by and for the people, millions of whom he has been taught to regard as ciphers who were nothing without him at their head, or as worms that he might crush at his pleasure or spare at his mercy, is a thing as odious as it is incredible to his imagination, and the stain of which is to be washed out with rivers of blood. To suppose that a man so qualified and brought up will voluntarily relinquish his exclusive pretensions, will forego or divide his sovereignty with the people in the way of friendship and good-will, is to expect milk from tigers, honey from the scorpion. It cannot be. It is not that I blame him for being what he is, a king, but I blame those who think that he can ever forget that he is one. He is what they have made him, for the tyrant is the work of slaves, but let them beware how they proceed, gravely and by piecemeal, to undo their own handiwork. It is no child's play, the *uncrowning* of a monarch! Thenceforth there can be no com-



promise, nor cordiality, no reliance on his good-nature or promises or imbecility, for the weakest monarch knows that he is a king, and his fancied wrongs give him the right and spirit to resort to every means of violence or artifice to remain so. There was nothing to prevent Louis XVI from becoming a popular and constitutional monarch but his having been born an absolute one, and this circumstance alone made it quite as impossible for the old monarchy ever to be firmly and quietly settled in his person on the new basis, as for his head to be restored to his body after it was severed from it. In these reflections we may trace the real principles of the rise, fate, and progress of the French Revolution.

Mirabeau (on whom the court had just then fixed their eyes as a person likely to stop what he had so great a share in accelerating) died in April 1791, and his death, which was sudden, and by some attributed to poison, was lamented by all France. He was the alarm-bell of the Revolution, the mouth-piece of the Assembly, the very model of a French orator. if he had been less of a mountebank or actor, he could not have produced the effect he did. He caught with singular felicity and animation the feeling of the moment, and giving it a tenfold impulse by his gesture, voice, and eye, sent it back with electrical force into the breasts of his audience. He seized the salient point of every question, saw the giddy fluctuation of opinion, and rushed in and turned it to his own advantage. By his boldness and promptitude he exercised a dictatorial power over the Assembly, and held them in subjection by a brilliant and startling succession of pointed appeals, as Robespierre afterwards did by the reiterated and gloomy monotony of his denunciations. Mirabeau bore a resemblance to the late Lord Chatham in his commanding tone and personal apostrophes, but with more theatrical display and rhetorical common-place. He died just in time to save his popularity, or to prevent his becoming, in all probability, an abject and formidable

deserter from the cause of the people, for after his death a clandestine correspondence with the Queen's party was discovered by the minister Roland, and on this occasion his bust, which stood in the hall of the Legislature, was veiled with a graceful mixture of reproach and regret.

The Princes, and particularly the Count d'Artois, had for some time been busily employed, in concert with the emigrant nobles and clergy, or what, in the language of the period, was called *exterior France*, in organizing the insurrection of the provinces and the invasion of the kingdom by the foreign powers. The declaration of Mantua, signed by that prince, in conjunction with the Emperor of Austria and the King of Sardinia, and settling the amount of the contingent of troops to be furnished by each of the contracting parties, bears date the 20th of May, 1791. Austria was to send 35,000 men into Flanders; the Circles of the Empire, 15,000 into Alsace, the Swiss Cantons, 15,000 upon Lyons, Sardinia, the same number into Dauphiny, Spain was to augment the army of Catalonia to 20,000 men; Prussia was favourably disposed to the Coalition, and the King of England was to take an active part in it as Elector of Hanover. But as it was indispensable to act in unison and prevent any partial insurrection, the treaty was to be kept secret till the latter end of July. Calonne was employed as minister at this juncture by the Count d'Artois, Count Alexander Dürfort was the confidential messenger between Leopold and Louis XVI.

But the latter, either from an apprehension of trusting himself in the hands of the Emigrants and foreigners, or from a natural vacillation of purpose, determined, in the interim, to confide his cause and person to General Boullé, a devoted and skilful partisan, who had taken the oath of fidelity to the Constitution solely that he might be able to place the army at the disposal of the King. For some time a close correspondence had been kept up between them, everything was prepared for the reception of

the royal fugitive Under pretence of some hostile movement on the frontier, a camp was established at Montmedy, and detachments of soldiers lined the road to Paris. in order, it was said, to protect a convoy of gold and silver to pay the troops The Royal Family, on their side, had made every necessary arrangement, and taken every precaution to lull suspicion On the night of the 20th of June, at the moment fixed for their departure, they quitted the Tuileries separately and in disguise, passed the sentinels, repaired to the Place de Carrousel, where a carriage awaited them, and set off in the direction of Chalons and Montmedy\*

The next day, when the news was known, Paris was seized with a stupor, which soon gave place to

\* Several accidents threatened to defeat this project in the very commencement The King was challenged as he was going out of the gate of the Tuileries, and only escaped detection by answering to the name of Sullivan Crauford, to whom he bore a strong resemblance A deputation of some of the ministers passed him as he was stooping down to buckle his shoe in one of the galleries He, however, reached the place of rendezvous, and with Madame Elizabeth, the young Princess, the Dauphin disguised as a little girl, and Madame de Tourzel, the governess of the children, got into a hackney coach, which was driven by Count Ferzen, a Swedish nobleman, and a favourite lover of the Queen, who, the more completely to avoid suspicion, whistled as he sat on the coach-box, which is considered as a mark of the lowest vulgarity in France They had to wait in this situation, and in a state of the greatest anxiety, for the Queen, who had left the palace in company of one of the guards, and neither she nor her guide knowing anything of the streets of Paris, she had lost her way, and did not arrive for above an hour after her time At the barrier the lights of a wedding had nearly discovered them Having passed the Porte St Martin, the hackney-coach was overturned into a ditch, and the party got into a berlin with six horses, which was waiting for them Madame de Tourzel, under the name of the Baroness Korff, passed for a mother travelling with her children, the King was supposed to be her valet-de-chambre To favour the deception, the Baroness had twice made the same journey to Montmedy Count Ferzen took leave of them on the outside of the barriers, returned to Paris to see whether the King's flight was discovered, and set out himself the next day for Brussels It was the same nobleman who was afterwards sent to the congress of Rastadt, as plenipotentiary from the Swedish monarch, and who was assassinated at Stockholm in 1810, in a popular tumult Montmedy with his wife, fled at the same time to Flanders by a separate route

indignation Groups of the most violent description were collected, and suspicion did not spare even Bailly or La Fayette as accomplices in the event. People foresaw in the King's flight the invasion of France, the triumph of the Emigrants, the return of the ancient régime with aggravated evils, or a long civil war. The conduct of the National Assembly, however, soon restored tranquillity and confidence. They summoned the ministers and authorities to their bar, took the executive power upon themselves for the time, charged the minister Montmorin to inform the cabinets of Europe of their pacific intentions, dispatched commissioners to the army to receive the oath of fidelity, not in the name of the King, but in their own, and transmitted orders into all the Departments to prevent every person from leaving the kingdom. Meanwhile, the King and his family proceeded undiscovered for some stages; as he retired farther from Paris he grew more confident, and suffered himself to be seen, and at St Menehould he was recognised by Diouct, the postmaster's son (from the likeness to the head on an assignat), who followed him to Varennes to give the information, where he was questioned and stopped on the evening of the 21st. The next morning Romeuf, aide-de-camp to La Fayette, arrived with the decree of the Assembly, commanding his detention, which the Queen snatched and tore in pieces. Bouillé, on learning the arrest of the King, hastened to his rescue with a regiment of cavalry, but came too late, when he reached Varennes, the King had been gone some hours. After the failure of his plan, the General had no other alternative but to quit the army and the kingdom. The Assembly no sooner heard of the return of the Royal Family, than it sent three of its members, Petion, Latour-Maubourg, and Barnave, to reconduct them to Paris. It was during this journey (which took up eight days, under a burning sun, and amidst clouds of dust, raised by incessant gaping crowds) that Barnave, touched by the unaffected conversation of the King

and the fascinating address of Marie Antoinette, become a convert to the royal cause. So much more influence has the smile of princes than the welfare of nations'. Petion gave offence by his rough manners; so that no attempts were made to gain him over to the court. On arriving at Paris (by the Champs Elysées) they passed through an immense multitude, who expressed neither disapprobation nor applause, but observed a long and deep silence—the King smiling and saying, "Here I am, good people!" and the Queen bridling, and ready to burst with rage and shame.

From this period the republican party began to show itself, who wished the downfall of the King and of the monarchy, and subsequent events did not tend to weaken this party or feeling. Louis XVI was now pretty generally thought to harbour sentiments and designs of which neither his countenance nor his words were a sufficient index, and against which it was necessary to have some better security than his own protestations. He was for a while suspended from his functions, and had a guard placed over him; his footsteps were narrowly watched, and he was only suffered to walk out at certain hours in the gardens of the Tuileries: but in consequence of an eloquent and artful appeal by Barnave to the moderation and magnanimity of the representatives of a great nation, the Assembly agreed to overlook what was past, at the same time making a decree, that if in future the monarch should violate the oath of fidelity to the Constitution, or league with foreign enemies, or put himself at the head of an army to wage civil war, he would thereby have forfeited the throne, and would from that time be liable to be proceeded against like any other citizen. A vast concourse of persons of all classes assembled in the Champ de Mars to petition against this sentence of amnesty and oblivion, and to propose an appeal to the people as to the continuance of Louis XVI in office. The petition was drawn up by Brissot, who afterwards fell a victim

to the fury of the Robespierre party, for not voting the death of the King, and it required the interference of an armed force, headed by La Fayette, to disperse the mob. Some lives were lost. La Fayette, by his forwardness on this occasion, forfeited some of his popularity, which he never entirely regained.

While Paris and the Assembly remained in this state of agitation and suspense, the Allies, thrown into consternation by the arrest of the King, proceeded to take a decisive part in affairs, which allowed no alternative to the French people, as long as they aspired to the rank of men or freemen. Monsieur, the King's brother, who had fled at the same time with him, arrived at Brussels with the assumed title and powers of regent. The Emigrants, having no other hope left, called loudly for the intervention of Europe, more than two hundred members of the Assembly, who had at different times withdrawn from it, protested against the validity of its decrees, Boullé published a threatening bombastic letter, in the hope of intimidating it. Finally, the Emperor, the King of Prussia, and the Count d'Artois met together at Pilnitz, where they signed the famous treaty of the 27th of July 1791, which gave its sanction to the invasion of France, and commenced the war of the Revolution, which was not a war of government against government, or of one country against another, but of power against liberty, of kings against the people, and which neither did nor could end till one or the other was completely overthrown. When the Bourbons were restored in 1814 and 1815, the contest came to a natural termination. England did not openly join the Coalition (though it gave it every secret encouragement) till after the death of Louis XVI which event it might easily have prevented; not by making his acquittal the price of its neutrality, but by putting a stop by a firm and manly declaration to the invasion of France by the Allies, and to the French monarch's consequent tampering with them for assistance, which led to his destruction, and to the disasters that followed. From

the moment that war was found to be inevitable, the Revolution, which had hitherto been suspended on the edge of a precipice, was like a loose fragment of rock thrown down a declivity, that bounds from projection to projection, makes strange havoc, and overturns all obstacles in its progress, and increases every instant in fury and impetuosity. Let us try in a hasty sketch to follow its headlong and irregular course, as far as is necessary to our present purpose.

In the Declaration signed at Pilnitz the sovereigns avowedly considered the cause of Louis XVI as their own. They insisted that he should be allowed full liberty to go where he pleased, that is, to join their standard; that he should be restored to his throne, with all his former privileges; that the Assembly should forthwith be dissolved, and the Princes of the Empire having possessions in Alsace and Lorraine be re-instated in their feudal rights. In case of refusal to comply with these terms, France was threatened with a war and with the utmost displeasure of the High Allied Powers. This lordly menace incensed instead of discouraging the nation and the Assembly. It was asked, by what right the sovereigns of Europe exercised a despotic sway in the internal government of France? But since a band of haughty princes, with their hordes of satellites, were determined to degrade and wage war on a great and free people, the challenge was accepted,—the frontiers were ordered to be put in an immediate state of defence, a hundred thousand national troops were levied, and France awaited the momentous struggle to which it was called with alacrity and confidence.

Shortly afterwards, the National Assembly having achieved its noble task, and appointed meetings for the election of its successors, drew to a close, and was dissolved by the King in a speech of excessive cordiality and friendly condescension, occasioned, perhaps, by satisfaction at the event, and the prospect of undoing all that it had done in the interval before it met again. Part of it is worth citing, as an instructive

specimen of regal adulation. "I trust you will be the interpreters of my sentiments," he said to the deputies, "when you return among your fellow-citizens Tell them all that the King will always be their first and their most faithful friend, that he has need of their love, and that he can only be happy with and through them" This was declared to be a discourse after the manner of Henry IV ; and the monarch withdrew, in the midst of the most unbounded expressions of attachment and esteem, to contrive new plots against the Constitution, and to form new leagues with its enemies Then Thouret, the president, declared with a loud voice, and turning towards the people, that "the Constituent Assembly had accomplished its object, and that its sittings ended there" The Assembly, in dissolving itself, had precluded its members from being re-elected to the following one, with a refinement in disinterestedness, after the example (as it was said) of the legislators of antiquity This tendency to imitate antiquity has often led the French astray In the present case, it endangered the stability of the work, to throw an air of purity and magnanimity over the character of those who had been instrumental in effecting it But even virtue and honour may have too high a standard. In the race of patriotism, the first thing to be attended to is to see that the Commonwealth suffers no detriment; the second is to place our own motives above suspicion. We may, however, pardon the impolicy of the measure for the rareness of the example, and as a weakness incident only to the best and loftiest minds. The humane and benevolent are refined, and refinement leads to fastidiousness The selfish and brutal, on the contrary, never stand on ceremony, or "mince the matter," and for this reason, so often triumph over their more scrupulous and well-meaning adversaries. Robespierre was the author of the proposal in question ; and it has been attributed to his envy of the talents and eloquence displayed by some of his coadjutors in the preceding Assembly, and his wish to ex-



clude them from the following one But as he would also exclude himself by the same resolution, this seems hardly possible His conduct was, more probably, owing to a sort of political pedantry, a barrenness of resources, and a literal tenaciousness of purpose, which was the original sin of his understanding, and of which he was apparently no less the dupe, than others were the victims\*

The King opened the sittings of the Legislative Assembly (which met on the 1st of October 1791) with an ill grace, thus compromising the character of candour and good-nature, which he affected, through a puerile inconsistency Averse to the bad, repenting of what was good in his intentions, he provoked enemies without commanding respect He sent a cold answer to the deputation that waited on him, and then appeared in person, with a countenance by no means calculated to do away the first unfavourable impression The cause of this distance and haughty reserve was the composition of the new Assembly, which was much more popular than had been expected by the Court Power still trusts to Fortune, as its natural ally, till undeceived by the event, and even then still trusts on. Another ground of distaste was, that some over-zealous members had proposed to withhold from the King the title of *Sire*, or *Majesty*, but this idle project was soon overruled The Legislative Assembly consisted then chiefly of a few undecided stragglers, who trimmed between the Court and the Revolution, the *Gironde*, who inherited the mild wisdom and eloquent enthusiasm of the first National Assembly, but with a stronger infusion of the spirit of the period, such as Brissot, Vergniaud, Condorcet, Sicys, and others, and the *Mountain*, or men of

\* Ro'se pierre, instead of being a *sansculotte*, or sloven, was a *dandy* in his dress, and when he came to cut off heads, still continued to use powder His refinements in theory, his cruelties in practice, in the height of the fashion, the opinion of the day carried to excess and carried out, because he had no feelings of his own to oppose to a canting or party-Slubboleth, or to qualify a verbal dogma

nerve and action, of whom Danton was at the head. Most of the latter were men who had grown out of the Revolution, and partook of its impulse, some more, others less violently, according to their previous dispositions. The studious or philosophical character of the first Assembly appeared much less in this, which had to contend with pressing emergencies, instead of laying down general principles: the one was occupied in forming a Constitution out of scattered and unknown elements, which the other was called upon to defend to the uttermost against the shock of hostile states and parties. The clubs of the Cordeliers and Jacobins, in which Robespierre and Camille Desmoulins figured, and the *Commune*, or municipality of Paris, led by such men as Santerre and Legendre, also began to have considerable influence and even authority. These bodies were a kind of rollers to the Revolution, when its motion was otherwise impeded, suggested, nay, dictated measures of violence or safety to the Assembly on any sudden exigency or burst of popular feeling; could act with more promptitude and effect from being shackled by no forms or dignified responsibility, and by means of this formidable adaptation to the unforeseen and rapid changes of the time, from being the auxiliaries, in the end became the masters. They were, in fact, a self-appointed executive power, with the energy and determination of a single chief and the wild irregularity of a lawless multitude, borne along indeed by the tumultuous agitation of public events, but often precipitating them to remediless destruction.

The Assembly, from its commencement, was placed in trying circumstances. Its first object was to demand an explanation of their hostile demonstrations from the foreign powers, and in case of not receiving a satisfactory answer, to declare war immediately. Nothing could be obtained but ambiguous excuses, a repetition of the same unwarrantable claim to interfere with the internal regulations and political independence of France on the part of the Emperor, and

the continued preparations and insolent threats of the Emigrants. The answer to all this was an indignant and unavoidable one, namely, that the French people were not the subjects of the Emperor of Germany, and war was accordingly declared without one dissentient voice. By thus striking the first manly blow, France did not assuredly become the aggressor, though it has been hypocritically pretended so. Three armies were appointed under the command of Luckner, La Fayette, and Rochambeau, and a decree was at the same time passed, containing an act of attainder against the King's brothers, as in conspiracy and correspondence with the enemies of the country, provided they did not return within three months to France; confiscating the property of the emigrant nobles, and banishing a number of refractory priests, who refused to take the oath required by the Constitution, and did all they could to stir up the people against the government.

The King's ministers, however, did nothing there was inertness and evident want of sincerity. Not to take active and vigorous measures of defence was to deliver the country, bound hand and foot, into the power of the Allies. There was an indecision and double-dealing in the conduct of the King himself, an overt disapprobation, a covert encouragement of the proceedings of the princes and the sovereigns. The effect of this benumbing influence was soon felt by the people, and produced, as its natural consequence, impatience and disgust. It was necessary to strike a terror into the enemy, to inspire the nation with enthusiasm. A change of ministers was loudly called for and agreed to by the King, who yielded with apparent indifference to every suggestion and every demand. Dumouriez and Roland were the two principal members of the new cabinet, the one being appointed minister of the interior, the other of foreign relations. Roland was a plain honest man, without much pretension, but thoroughly attached to the cause of the people, and more fit to have been born in a

republic than to bring about a revolution, or to contend with the violence and intrigues of party, to which, urged on by his wife's enthusiasm and masculine intellect, they both fell victims. He was remarkably simple and unaffected in his manners; and on one occasion going to court with strings instead of buckles in his shoes, the master of the ceremonies at first refused him admittance, but not daring to persist, he turned round in despair to Dumouriez, who humoured him by exclaiming—"Ah! Monsieur, all is lost!" Dumouriez was a man of an entirely opposite character, brilliant, enterprising, full of expedients, without principle, and so ambitious of effect, that sooner than not produce it every instant, he was willing to sink (the martyr of egotism) into insignificance and infamy all the rest of his life. This infirmity was not peculiar to the individual, but is characteristic of a community. To note it, therefore, belongs to history, it should be pointed out, defined as distinctly as possible, and men be warned against it, that in future it may not produce the same sinister effects, not only on the fate of a country, but of the world. The national vanity of the French unfortunately has no relief, no selection in it, it is voracious of every kind of food and impatient of the least delay. Place a Frenchman in any situation, no matter what, provided he is an object of attention, he is satisfied, his self-complacency supplies the rest. Have we not seen, not one, but a succession of generals betraying their standards, and marching at the head of the enemy in triumph? Have we not seen crowds of patriots making first the ruins of the throne, and then the carcase of their country a pedestal for their pride to stand upon, and so that they were gazed at with wonder and incredulity, fancying themselves objects of admiration to the universe? Their inverted ambition does not climb the steep and rugged path of duty and of honour, but runs like water, wherever it can find a declivity. The rest of mankind, if defeated, submit to their fate with what grace

they may, the French alone make a boast of being beaten and even of having contributed to it by their treachery and want of principle. They are never on the losing side. Their buoyancy of spirit soon rises from defeat unhurt—

“ And in its liquid texture mortal wound  
Receives no more than does the ambient air ”

But they should remember, that though vanity may have a hundred lives, honour has but one !

The French, on the first signal for hostilities, showed great enthusiasm and ardour for the combat; yet all the good-will in the world could not, in the commencement, supply the deficiency of numbers, means and skill. While the new levies were raising, the actual force of the country was disposed of in the following manner. The whole of the vast frontier from Dunkirk to Huninguen was entrusted to the command of the three generals above mentioned. On the left, from Dunkirk to Philippeville, the army of the north, about 50,000 strong, was under the orders of Marshal Rochambeau. La Fayette had the command of the army of the centre, composed of 45,000 men and 7000 horse, and stationed between Philippeville and the lines of Weissenburg. Lastly, the army of the Rhine, consisting of 35,000 men, and 8000 horse, was under the direction of Marshal Luckner, from Weissenburg to Basle. The frontier of the Alps and of the Pyrenees was entrusted to General Montesquieu, whose army was very inconsiderable, but that side of France was not at this time in danger.

Marshal Rochambeau's advice was to remain on the defensive and merely guard the frontier. Dumouriez, on the contrary, proposed to begin the attack, and thus have the advantage of the first blow. His plan, which was approved of, consisted in a sudden incursion into the Netherlands, which, as they had lately attempted to throw off the Austrian yoke, it was thought, would be favourable to the French arms. This invasion was to have been conducted by a com-

bined movement from three different points of attack, viz, by the troops under Theobald Dillon, who was to march with 4000 men from Lille on Tournay, by those under Biron, amounting to 10,000 men, who were to proceed from Valenciennes to Mons, and by a part of La Fayette's army, who were to set out from Metz, and fall on Namur by forced marches, through Stenay, Sedan, Mezieres, and Givet. The plan, which was too difficult of execution for raw troops, however able in the conception, totally failed. No sooner had Theobald Dillon's corps passed the frontier, and got within sight of the enemy, than they were panic-struck, took to flight, and hurrying their general along with them, assassinated him on the spot. Almost the same thing took place with those under Biron. La Fayette, hearing of these disasters, immediately retreated, and Rochambeau, unwilling to be the mere instrument of schemes undertaken without his approbation, threw up his command. This disgraceful check added fresh fuel to the discontent that prevailed at Paris. The Court was more than ever suspected of keeping up an understanding with the enemy, and the cry of *sauve qui peut*, which had thrown the French ranks into confusion, was attributed to its emissaries. The Assembly ordered a camp of 20,000 men to be formed round Paris, and the enrolment of several companies of pikemen in the National Guards. Both these measures, the one as providing the Assembly with a military force, the other as introducing the populace into the army, were sharply criticised by the Constitutional party—a set of men existing at all times, who never can arrive at a conception beyond the *still-life* of politics, and in the most critical circumstances and in the convulsion and agony of states, see only the violation of forms and etiquette. This class of persons began from its outset to cripple the Revolution by petty trammels and trifling objections, as the Lilliputians attempted to bind Gulliver with pins fastened in the ground: nor is it surprising, that with the instinct of self-

preservation and the rage of power, men of greater energy of character, but with less principle, found it necessary to get rid of their importunity by acts of violence and proscription. The King grew daily more reserved with his ministers. It was then that Roland addressed to him that famous letter (said to have been written by Madame Roland) which occasioned their dismissal and the resentment and tumultuary rising of the people of Paris in consequence. Mallet du Pan was sent with secret instruction to the Allied Powers; while Dumouriez, having helped by his officiousness to dissolve a ministry of which he composed a part, repaired to the army; and La Fayette, from his camp at Maubeuge, wrote to the Assembly demanding the suppression of the Jacobins, and the putting a stop to the farther tendency of the Revolution to vulgarity and democracy. In the meantime, the Revolution kept on its course, the hostile pressure from without produced a correspondent reaction from within, and all intermediate parties and subordinate distinctions were crushed or set aside in the mortal struggle between those who were resolved to destroy the Revolution altogether, and those who were prepared to defend it to the last extremity, and to sacrifice every other object to that paramount consideration.

On the anniversary of the 20th of June, 1792, under pretence of celebrating that memorable day, and planting a tree in honour of liberty, a collection of about 8000 men set out from the Faubourg St. Antoine, and directed their steps towards the place where the Legislature sat. Their leaders asked for leave to present a petition and to defile before the Assembly. After a violent debate, the deputation was admitted. Their orator expressed himself in the strongest language, talked of a resort to the original right of the people—"resistance to oppression"—as contained in the *Declaration of Rights*; demanded the expulsion of the discontented members, who (he said) could do well to join their friends at Coblenz

(where the princes were), and insisted that the King should either second the exertions of the armies in defence of the country, or resign a situation of which he made so ill a use. The Assembly agreed to take their petition into consideration, recommended respect for the laws, and permitted them to defile in its presence. The procession, which by this time amounted to 30,000 persons, men, women, and children, National Guards, recruits armed with pikes, and bearing flags and trophies with the most inflammatory inscriptions, traversed the hall, singing the well-known tune of *Caira'* and crying out "*The nation for ever! The sansculottes for ever! Down with the veto!*" The mob was headed by Santerre and the Marquis St Hurugues. On quitting the Assembly, they proceeded towards the Tuileries with the petitioners in front.

The outer gates of the palace were opened to them by order of the King; the multitude then rushed into the interior. They ascended the stairs to the royal apartments, and while they were breaking down the doors with the blows of an axe, Louis XVI desired them to be thrown open, and presented himself to the assailants, attended only by a few persons. The popular tide was arrested for a moment by this unlooked-for circumstance, but the crowd without, not being restrained by the presence of the King, continued to press forward. Those about him had the precaution to place Louis XVI in the recess of one of the windows. On no occasion did he display greater firmness or presence of mind than on this highly distressing one. Hemmed in by the National Guards, who helped to keep off the crowd, seated in a chair which had been raised on a table, in order that he might be able to breathe more freely and be seen by the people, he preserved a countenance calm and unruffled. To those who rudely demanded the sanction of the decrees against the Emigrants and the refractory priests (which the King had hitherto declined signing) he replied steadily, "This is neither the mode nor the time to obtain it from me." Having



had the courage to refuse what was the essential object of this sudden commotion, he did not think it worth while to quarrel with an outward symbol which to him signified nothing, and which in the eyes of the spectators was the badge of liberty. He put a red cap on his head, which was held up to him on the point of a pike. The crowd were exceedingly delighted with this mark of condescension, and presently after they overwhelmed him with applause, when, nearly choking with heat and thirst, he drank without any hesitation out of a wine-glass offered him by a workman, who was half-drunk. Meanwhile, Vergniaud, Isnard, and some other deputies of the Gironde, hastened to protect the King, to speak to the people, and put an end to this extraordinary scene. The Assembly, which had just before broken up its sittings, met on the instant, alarmed at this outrage, and sent several successive deputations to Louis XVI. to serve him as a safeguard. At length the Mayor, Petion, arrived. he mounted on a chair, harangued the crowd, intreated them to retire without committing any disorders, and they obeyed. These singular disturbances, which had for their object to enforce the sanction of the late decrees and the recall of the popular ministers, ended without having broken out into any actual violence, but without having attained their original purpose.

The proceedings of the 20th of June were followed by a strong remonstrance on the side of the Constitutionalists. Both La Fayette and the Duke de la Rochefoucauld-Liancourt proposed to take the King and place him at the head of their troops at Rouen and Compiègne, but the monarch declined their offers, choosing rather to owe his deliverance to the Allied Powers, who were at hand. La Fayette, considerably disappointed, made a last effort in favour of the royal cause. He repaired to Paris, presented himself unexpectedly at the bar of the Assembly, and demanded the punishment of the outrages of the 20th of June, the closing of the clubs, and the sup-

pression of the revolutionary meetings. He was coldly received by the Assembly, who, however, were inclined to overlook the well-meant eccentricity of his conduct, and invited him to the honours of the sitting. He still had hopes from the assistance of the National Guards, but the Court itself contrived to defeat his projects in that quarter. So little sympathy do such romantic mediators find with either party, who would do even more mischief to their own side of the question, but that their enemies, who perfectly know their own minds, will have nothing to say to their offers of conditional service and qualified approbation, but are determined to push matters to extremities and assert their real designs, stripped of all equivocation or disguise. This was the last attempt of the Constitutional party towards an adjustment between the King and the people. La Fayette returned to the army, which both he and Dumouriez (who had taken the command under Luckner at the camp of Maulde) endeavoured to bring into some state of discipline and order, previously to the approach of the Allied troops.

At this crisis the Gironde no longer doubted of the overthrow of the Constitutional party, and foresaw plainly that Louis would not rest contented, till he had either re-established the ancient monarchy with all its privileges and safeguards, or hurled himself from the throne by his obstinacy, feebleness, and insincerity. Vergniaud, one of their most powerful orators, did not scruple to affirm that "*it was in the name of the King that the Emigrants were assembled, that the sovereigns were leagued together, that the foreign armies hovered on the frontier, that the troubles in the interior took place*" He broadly accused the monarch of paralyzing the energy of the nation by his repeated refusals to comply with its wishes, and of thus delivering up France to the Coalition. Then, founding himself on an article in the Constitution, which declared that *if the King put himself at the head of an army, and directed its*

force against the nation, or if he did not, by a formal and timely disavowal, oppose any such enterprise which might be executed in his name, he should be judged to have abdicated the throne; and putting the supposition that Louis XVI had designedly crippled the means of defence and resources of the country, he asked if it would not be right to address him in these terms.—“O King, who without doubt have believed with the tyrant Lysander, that the truth was of no more avail than falsehood, and that it was necessary to amuse men with oaths as we amuse children with cockle-shells, who have feigned the appearance of attachment to the laws only to retain the power which might enable you to brave them of attachment to the Constitution only to remain on a throne where you might the better destroy it think you to abuse our confidence with hypocritical pretences? Think you to mock our misfortunes with the cunning of your excuses? Was it, then, to defend us to oppose the foreign troops with a force that did not leave a doubt of its defeat? Was it to defend us to reject every plan tending to fortify the frontier? Was it to defend us to encourage a general who spurned the Constitution, and to damp the courage of those who enforced it? Did that Constitution leave you the choice of the ministers for our welfare or for our ruin? Did it make you the chief of our army for our glory or our shame? Did it, in fine, allow you the right of the veto, a civil list, and so many privileges, only that you might be at liberty constitutionally to destroy the Constitution and the Empire! No, no! Man whom the generosity of Frenchmen has not been able to render grateful, whom the sole love of power has touched, you are henceforth nothing for the Constitution which you have so unworthily broken through, for that people whom you have so unworthily betrayed!”

Soon after followed the famous Manifesto of the Duke of Brunswick, and on the heels of that (as might be expected) the well-known 10th of August,

which was fatal to the monarchy. The Duke of Brunswick was advancing at the head of 70,000 Prussians, and as many Austrians, Hessians, and Emigrants. He himself, with the Prussians, was to pass the Rhine at Coblenz, and march on Paris by Longwy, Verdun, and Chalons. The Prince of Hohenlohe was to operate on the left in the direction of Metz and Thionville, with a body of Hessians and Emigrants, while General Clairfait was to lead the main body of the Austrians against La Fayette, who was stationed before Sedan and Mezieres, and to reach the capital by way of Reims and Soissons. Thus the royal fowlers spread their nets around France, but this time caught only chaff. Sweden had been detached from the Coalition by the death of Gustavus, Spain by a change of ministry, the Count d'Aranda having succeeded the Marquis Blanca-Florida, neither England nor Russia had yet openly acceded to it. On the 25th July, just as the army quitted Coblenz, the Duke of Brunswick published his ever-memorable proclamation in the name of the Emperor and of the King of Prussia. In this proclamation he reproached those who had usurped the reins of administration in France, with having troubled social order and overturned the legitimate Government, with having directed both against the King and his family attacks and violences renewed daily, with having arbitrarily quashed the rights and possessions of the German princes in Alsace and Lorraine, finally, with having completed the measure of their guilt by declaring an unjust war on his Majesty the Emperor, and invading his provinces in the Low Countries. He declared that the Allied sovereigns marched into France to put an end to the frightful anarchy that reigned there, to repel the attacks made on the altar and the throne, to restore the King to the security and liberty of which he was deprived, and to place him in a situation to exercise his lawful authority. In consequence, he made the National Guards and civil authorities answerable for all disorders till the arrival

of the troops of the Coalition He summoned them to return to their ancient allegiance He added, that the inhabitants of cities who should dare to defend themselves would be punished on the spot as rebels, with all the rigour of martial law, and their houses demolished or burnt, that if the city of Paris did not set the King at entire liberty, and pay him the respect which was his due, the Coalesced Princes would render all the members of the Legislative Assembly, of the Department and Municipality, and of the National Guard, personally responsible, and liable to military execution without hope of pardon; and farther, that if the Palace of the Tuileries was forced or insulted, the princes would take a terrible and exemplary revenge by giving up Paris to military execution, and by not leaving one stone of it upon another On the other hand, he promised the inhabitants of Paris the interposition of the good offices of the Allied Powers with Louis XVI, with a view to obtain forgiveness of their manifold offences and errors, provided they showed a prompt obedience to the orders of the Coalition

This impolitic and vaunting proclamation, which laid open the designs and pretensions of the Allies, the Emigrants, and the Court in all their arrogance and cruelty, which menaced a whole people with the return of their ancient slavery, and with immediate vengeance and summary punishment for having dared to shake it off, excited but one cry of indignation, but one vow of resistance from one end of France to the other, and whoever had not joined heart and hand in it, would have been justly regarded as guilty of impiety towards his country and the still more sacred cause of mankind From hence we may fairly date the excesses and horrors of the French Revolution This proclamation, the deliberate and haughty tone in which it pronounced its dictatorial mandates, the assumption of an undisputed right over the French people and the human species at large as a herd of slaves who were taunted with their pretensions to be

anything else as rebellion and insolence, the lordly claim set up over them, which showed in burning daylight the degradation from which they had escaped, the crying injustice with which they were threatened, and which was not even attempted to be glossed over, exasperated their passions and exhausted their patience, as well it might ; and the contrast between what they had hoped and what they were apprehensive of almost turning their brain, they struck at the spectre of power which haunted them like a filthy nightmare, wherever they could encounter it in a tangible shape, with fear and hatred, without mercy and without remorse I must stop here to express my admiration, which has often amounted to stupefaction, at two things ; first, that these very Prussians, who put forth this Manifesto of their designs, sentiments, and principles, should for twenty years afterwards have yelled out dolorous complaints of the ill-usage and unprovoked aggressions of France, and that there should have been found hypocrisy enough in the world to believe and pity them, secondly, that at the end of that twenty years and a little more, these very Prussians should have twice carried their threats, so gallantly resisted at first, into execution to the very letter (turning a bravado into a prophecy) without a blow struck, with scarce a word of remonstrance or a blush of shame from a people that had once dared to call itself free, great, and the mistress of the world. Neither does it lessen my regret or indignation on this occasion, that England had a principal share in so ignominious a triumph, which "called every drop of blood in her veins bastard," and which was proclaimed by the words, "Your King is at hand"—the same who had been for more than twenty years digesting the principles of the Duke of Brunswick's Manifesto into a Charter !

The Gironde wished for the dethronement of Louis XVI by a decree of the Assembly ; the popular leaders, Danton, Robespierre, Camille Desmoulins, Marat, &c., by means of an insurrection. The latter

party were the most determined, and they carried their purpose into effect first. On the 26th of July an explosion was to have taken place, but was prevented by the interference of the Mayor, Petion. On the 8th of August the accusation of La Fayette was proposed in the Assembly, it was negatived after a long and stormy discussion, but those members who voted against it were hissed and maltreated by the mob on coming out. On the following day, the effervescence was extreme. The section of *Quinze Vingts* declared that if the sentence of abdication were not pronounced the same day, at midnight the tocsin would sound, and there would be a general rising of the people. This resolution was transmitted to the forty-eight sections, who all approved of it, except one\*. The Mayor, who was applied to by the Assembly, replied that he could do nothing if the people were determined to take the power into their own hands. The attack on the Tuileries was fixed for the 10th of August.

The Court had been for some time apprised of its danger and had put itself in a posture of defence. The inside of the palace was lined with Swiss troops to the number of eight or nine hundred, with officers of the Guard, and a body of gentlemen and royalists, who had come armed with pistols and sabres. Mandat, the commander of the National Guard, had also repaired to the Tuileries with his staff, and Petion was summoned to give an account of the state of Paris, and to authorise the repelling force by force. At midnight, the report of a cannon was heard, the tocsin rang, and the insurgents assembled and established a provisional council of the Commune at the Hôtel de Ville. Meantime, the National Guard took the direction towards the Tuileries, the cannoneers were planted with their guns at the entrance of the avenues, and the Swiss and volunteers defended the apartments within. The Assembly, alarmed by the ringing of the tocsin, met under the presidency of

\* The *Illes St Thomas*, or *Lepelletier*

turbed, and the Queen said, "All is lost! this unlucky review has done more harm than good!"

While this scene was passing at the Tuileries the insurgents advanced from different quarters, having taken advantage of the night to force the arsenal, and to distribute arms. The column of the Faubourg St. Antoine, about 15,000 strong, and that of the Faubourg St. Marceau, consisting of 5000 men, had commenced their march about six in the morning. The crowd increased every moment. The Marseillais and Breton troops proceeded as their advanced guard along the Rue St. Honoré, and drew up in order of battle on the Carrousel, with their guns pointed against the palace. The Syndic Rœdeker addressed them and urged them to disperse, but was answered by a discharge of cannon. He then, finding that the populace were everywhere masters, returned hastily and in great trepidation to the palace. The King was holding a council with the Queen and the ministers. A municipal officer had a few minutes before given the alarm of the approach of the insurgents. "What is it they want?" asked the Keeper of the Seals, Joly. "The abdication," replied the officer. "Let the Assembly then pronounce it," rejoined the minister. "But after the abdication, what is to follow?" said the Queen. The messenger bowed his head and was silent. At the same moment Rœdeker entered, and completed the consternation of the Court by stating that the danger was extreme—that the multitude had become totally unmanageable, and that the King and Royal Family had no other chance of safety than by taking refuge in the bosom of the Legislative Assembly. The Queen at first rejected this advice with the most lively indignation. "I will sooner," she exclaimed, "see myself nailed to the walls of the palace than leave it." and turning to the King with a pistol in her hand, added, "Now is the time to show yourself, sir." The King made no reply to this extravagant appeal, and Rœdeker interposing, persuaded him to repair to the hall of the Assembly. He dis-



missed his ministers and attendants, saying, "Gentlemen, there is nothing more to do here," and followed by his family and a few individuals of his household, crossed the garden\* through a line of Swiss, and the battalion of the Petits-Pères and the Filles-St Thomas. But at the gate of the Feuillants, the mob, which was immense, obstructed his passage, and it was with difficulty he reached the Assembly, exposed to the insults, the threats, and the revilings of the people. This was a result very different from that held out in the Manifesto of the Duke of Brunswick, and the glaring contrast between the pretensions there set up and the indignities now offered him by the meanest of the rabble must have drained the cup of bitterness to the very dregs. Having entered the hall of the Assembly, he said, "Gentlemen, I am come here to prevent a great crime. I must always think I am in safety with my family when I am in the midst of you."—"Sire," replied Vergniaud, who occupied the chair, "you may depend on the Assembly, who have sworn to die in defence of the laws." The King then took his place by the side of the President, but Chabot having observed that it was impossible for them to deliberate in presence of the King, he withdrew into a small recess behind the President, from whence he could see and hear all that passed. After the departure of the monarch, there was no longer any motive for assaulting the Tuileries, but the combatants were drawn up face to face, and a furious conflict ensued. The Bretons and Marseillois had forced their way into the courts of the palace, under the guidance of an officer of the name of Westermann, a friend of Danton's, where they were joined by the cannoneers who had been placed there to repulse them. The Swiss soldiers at first threw their cartridges out of the

\* The Assembly at this time, and from the period of its leaving Versailles, held its sittings at a large riding-house which then stood between the Place Vendôme and the gate of the garden of the Tuileries, facing (what is now) the Rue Castiglione. The garden was not surrounded by railing, but by a wall.

windows in token of amity, but as the insurgents pressed into the interior of the palace, a quarrel arose, when the Swiss directed a fatal fire amongst their ranks and dispersed them for a minute. But the Marseillais soon returning in force, attacked the Swiss with their cannon, repulsed, surrounded, and cut them in pieces. It was no longer a combat but a massacre; and the assailants gave themselves up to every kind of disorder. The Assembly were kept for some time in a state of anxiety and apprehension. The cannon continued firing, and the event seemed doubtful. At length the cry of "*Victory*" was heard from the people, and the fate of the monarchy was then decided. A deputation from the new Municipality soon after entered, followed by innumerable others, to demand the abdication. The Assembly did not dare to take this step upon itself, but Vergnaud mounted the tribune in the name of the Commission of Twelve, and proposed the calling a new National Convention, the dismissal of the ministers, and the suspension of the King from the exercise of his authority. These propositions were unanimously agreed to. The popular ministers were recalled, the long-pending decrees were passed, commissioners were dispatched to tranquillize the armies, and Louis XVI was ordered first to the Luxembourg, from whence he was transferred as a prisoner to the Temple, by the formidable and implacable Commune. The 23rd of September was fixed for the opening of the new extraordinary Assembly, and the deciding the fate of the monarchy.

The Departments and in general the army gave in their assent to the change of government. La Fayette alone made an attempt at a countermovement. Enamoured of that first step in the Revolution, of which he had been a principal instigator, and to which he had pledged himself as a friend at once to liberty and the laws, he was determined, with a strange mixture of prejudice and romance, that it should advance no farther under pain of his displeasure, and was always for bringing it back to this technical point of per-

fection with Quixotic perseverance and in spite of circumstances. He seemed to consider a Revolution as too much an affair of taste and decorum. He worshipped the constitution of 1789 in the shrine of his imagination, to which no one else paid the smallest regard, and was in danger of sacrificing to this chronological chimera the future prospects of freedom. He had been a knight-errant in the American Revolution, and thought himself bound to maintain the character of that of his own country equally pure and immaculate, though as affairs stood the thing was impossible. Its course was too irregular and Pindaric for his taste, and yet he persisted in fond attempts (the offspring, doubtless, of the goodness of his heart and the rectitude of his own intentions), to "lure this gentle tassel back" by smiles and threats, and tie it by a silken thread to the foot of the throne. No man is wiser from experience or suffering, or can cast his thoughts or actions in any other mould than that which nature has assigned them, or so true a patriot (than whom a better or honester man breathes not) would not, after his own and his country's 'hair-breadth 'scapes' and bleeding wrongs, have tried to *hamper* the Revolution in its last struggles with the same cobweb, flimsy refinements that he did in its first outset. To politicians of this visionary stamp, the slightest motives have always the greatest weight; for they only see how much their own side falls short of imaginary perfection, and have no conception of the *damning* alternative opposed to it, or of the abyss that yawns to receive them!

On the present occasion La Fayette wished to employ the services of the 30,000 men who were under his command in restoring the King to his throne. For this purpose he concerted measures with the municipality of Sedan, where he had his headquarters, as well as with the Directory of the department of Ardennes. He seized the three commissioners sent to his army, Kersant, Antonelle, and Peraldy, and shut them up in the tower of Sedan. While he

was pursuing these ill-judged projects, as if it were in a time of perfect peace and leisure, the invading army which had set out from Coblentz ascended the Moselle and advanced towards the frontiers. The French troops, in consideration of the extreme danger, were disposed to repel an actual enemy rather than to patch up an imaginary Constitution. Luckner, who had at first sided with La Fayette, deserted him, and the latter perceived it was necessary to yield to circumstances. He quitted the army, accompanied by Bureau de Pusy, Latour-Maubourg, and Alexander Lameth, and directed his steps along the advanced posts of the enemy to Holland, intending to proceed to America, his adopted country. But he was discovered by the Austrians, and taken prisoner, together with his companions. Contrary to all the laws of nations, he was treated as a prisoner of war, and confined first in the dungeons of Magdeburg and afterwards of Olmutz. For four years of the most severe captivity, suffering under all sorts of privations, ignorant of the fate of liberty and his country, he displayed the most unshaken courage, and refused to purchase his release from the frightful lot that awaited him at the expense of a few submissions compromising the sacred cause he had espoused. 'Tempers like his, mild, amiable, upright, sincere, are better qualified to endure the inflictions of arbitrary power than to enter into that arduous and deadly strife with it which can alone ensure a triumph over it. It is theirs to do and to feel what is manly and becoming in their own persons, but, thinking to shame the opponents out of their unjust pretensions by the example of what is right, they fall victims to their own candour and moderation, and bad men are left to finish the work which good ones have begun.

The popular party who had brought about the 10th of August, did not relax in their daring designs. After having procured the removal of Louis XVI. to the Temple, they next proceeded to demolish all the

statues of the kings, and to efface the emblems of royalty . they annulled the law which required certain conditions of property as essential to the enjoyment of civil rights, and insisted on the appointment of an extraordinary tribunal *to try the conspirators of the 10th of August*, that is, those who had resisted the popular conspiracy of that day . Thus does power always use names as it pleases ! This tribunal sat, and condemned a few persons to death , but it proceeded too leisurely and formally to give satisfaction to the Commune, who were impatient of justice in the most wholesale way, and contented with no half-measures . The leading members of the Commune were Marat, Paris, Sargent, Duplain, Lenfant, Lefort, Jourdeuil, Collot d'Herbois, Billaud Varennes, Tallien, and others , but Danton was undoubtedly its head, who has been called the Muabeau of the mob , a man of gigantic courage, stature, and voice, whose words rolled in thunder above the noise of the most tumultuous assemblies, and whose energy rising with the occasion, and unchecked by fear or remorse, launched the thunderbolt of popular vengeance at the enemies of the Revolution, and so far saved his country by dire measures in a due necessity , but who being equally without malice\* or principle, relapsed into indolence and thoughtlessness again, when the blow had been struck ; and himself fell a martyr to those who, from a more untuned cruelty or a bigoted faith in crime, aimed at converting the resort to terror and violence which he had recommended as a measure of expediency into a system of government . He had been the great mover of the insurrection of the 10th of August, had been present everywhere to superintend its execution , had gone from the Sections to

\* He spared all those who personally applied to him , and of his own accord saved the lives of Dupont, Barnave, and Charles Lameth, who were in some sort his personal antagonists, by letting them out of prison in time . It would have been an additional reason with Robespierre to proceed to extremities, and would have given additional zest to his cruelty, to show that he was proof against every such plea of weakness or magnanimity

the barracks of the Bretons and Marseillois to spirit them up, and from these had hastened to the Faubourgs; and by a zeal and foresight that steadily contemplates its end and is prodigal of its means, set aside a throne which had become a stumbling-block in the way of the Revolution, and the rallying-point of its enemies

The Prussians advanced to their avowed and nefarious object, and passed the frontier, after a march of twenty days. The army of Sedan was without a leader, and incapable of resisting such superior and well disciplined forces. Longwy was invested on the 20th of August; bombarded and taken on the 24th. On the 30th the Allies were before Verdun, commenced the bombardment, and this place once taken, the road to Paris lay open. The inhabitants were in the utmost consternation. The Executive Council, composed of the ministers, came to the Committee of Public Safety to know what was to be done. It was on this trying occasion that Danton, rejecting every common-place means of defence which had been proposed, cut the knot of the question and severed the bands of slavery which were prepared to be thrown over them, by saying, "*Il faut faire peur aux royalistes!*" And, as the Committee seemed to shrink and stand aghast at the terrible suggestion, the import of which they too well understood, he repeated, "Yes, I say, we must put the royalists in fear!" Out the words came, and they never went back till they had effected and more than effected their purpose. He concerted along with the Commune the means of carrying them into execution. Domiciliary visits were paid in the most mournful silence, a great number of refractory priests, nobles, and other disaffected persons were inclosed in the prisons of the Abbaye, Conciergerie, and La Force. In the night between the 1st and 2nd of September, the news came of the capture of Verdun, and the Commune, taking advantage of the breathless pause of fear and expectation, executed their plan, the tocsin sounded, the drums beat, the

barriers were closed, and for three days the prisons ran with blood. Few, indeed, of those devoted to destruction escaped: three hundred of the most depraved and desperate characters that the metropolis afforded did the work of death, while the members of the Commune looked on, and judged with calm, unrelenting severity. The threat of vengeance and summary punishment, which had been so loudly promulgated, 'like a devilish engine back recoiled' upon its advisers and accomplices, and the intended victims of an exterminating proscription were transformed into its frantic executioners. Fear, pride, revenge, had changed sides. The people were goaded from tame into wild beasts. Not they, but their boastful oppressors turned pale, and crouched to the earth. Liberty, like the bruised adder, turned and struck its mortal fangs, inflamed with rage and hate, into those who wished to crush it. The vilest and meanest of mankind were brought into contact with the paupered and high-born—rag-sellers, dog-clippers, thieves, mendicants, with the haughty noble, the dignified prelate, the elegant courtier; and for one short hour misery showed to grandeur no more mercy than it had always received from it! The Assembly attempted in vain to stop the effusion of blood, the ministers also tried to interfere, but their hands were tied; a nod from the terrible Commune decided every thing; the mob either took a share in the scene, or stood gazing on, the soldiers who had to guard the prisons, durst not hinder the murderers, while others were afraid to express any opinion, lest they too should be singled out as objects. One universal feeling of terror, distrust, and vengeance had taken possession of the public mind, and the Commune had found out the only vent for it in violence and blood. Everything else seemed idle and out of tune.

This was properly the commencement of the *reign of terror*, and we have seen pretty plainly what was the occasion of it. However great an evil in every point of view, it was, perhaps, necessary to France to

enable her to weather the storm This is not meant as a compliment either to France or to the reign of terror. The truth must be spoken here To no other country in the world would it have been necessary, but such as her old government had made her, such she must show herself, in order to shake off that government. What France needed was courage to face external danger, steadiness to adhere to certain fixed principles She had neither the one nor the other in a noble or manly way, they must, therefore, be forced and purchased at any rate To a great people the danger is sufficient to awaken the courage, to a free people the love of liberty is sufficient title to be free. In England (dull as we are) a thousand enemies would only call up a thousand champions to answer them But in France the extremity of the danger only produces a correspondent degree of fear, unless they can inspire others with a greater fear, and to meet their adversaries, they must already have triumphed over them by proxy Having cut the throats of the royalists in prison, they looked upon them as poor wretches and themselves as heroes, and thus recovered spirit to face them in the field A massacre was therefore a necessary prelude to a victory, and they could only "screw their courage to the sticking-place" against a host of enemies, by glutting their resentment and cruelty with an easier prey Neither is this justly to be attributed to a natural ferocity, but rather effeminacy of character The sterner virtues are not natural to them, and they can only be produced in them in extreme cases, and by the most violent means Again, an abstract principle with them goes for nothing *Liberty, equality, patriotism*, are fine words to talk about, but so are many others—*loyalty, religion, honour* To rouse or keep alive any strong enthusiasm, there must be a dramatic effect added to the conviction of truth and justice. Liberty must have its festivals, its garlands, its altars; and when these fail or are soiled, its tragic stage, its scaffolds, its daggers, and the slider of the guillotine.



Otherwise the interest soon flags—they would be sick of it in a month. But give them excitement, and there is nothing they will stop at under its impulse; nothing is too lofty, nothing too vile for them, and a prison-floor turned into a shambles, a bleeding head stuck upon a pole in honour of liberty, would do more to attach them to it than all the good it could do to millions of men for ages to come. One of their own orators (Louvet) said on this occasion, "A great people know how to defend their capital without massacring prisoners" If so, the French are not a great people, for they massacre prisoners, and they do not defend their capital—without it

The Revolutionists had now thrown away the scabbard, and had no hope of pardon but in victory, despatch, if nothing else did, must now give them energy and firmness. All the citizens capable of bearing arms had been enlisted in the Champ de Mars, and sent forward on the 1st of September to join the armies. The great difficulty was in the choice of a general. Kellermann, who had succeeded Luckner, Custine, Biron, Labourdonnaire, though well qualified to fill the rank of second in command, had not the requisites to direct an extensive line of operations, on which the fate of France hung. Dumouriez alone had sufficient talent, but he wanted the confidence of the patriotic party, yet as there was no one else adequate to the crisis, he was appointed by the Executive Council to the command of the Army of the Moselle.

Dumouriez instantly repaired from the camp of Maulde to that of Sedan. He called a council of war on the spot, and in opposition to the general advice, which was to retire on Chalons or Reims behind the Marne, carried the project of posting himself on the forest of Argonne, through which the enemy must pass to reach Paris. By a bold and rapid march he succeeded in occupying the four outlets of the forest with upwards of 20,000 men under his command and that of General Dillon. It was here he wrote to the minister of war, Servan " *Verdun is taken. I am wait-*

*ing for the Prussians* The camp of Grandpré and that of Islettes are the Thermopylæ of France, but I shall be more fortunate than Leonidas." This is concise and spirited, and at the same time an example of that love of running parallels between themselves and the ancients which is the weak side of French imagination. The Greeks and Romans were great naturally, or because they made the most of the circumstances in which they were placed, and not from an idle affectation of resembling any other people. French heroism is always expressed by an historical metaphor.

In this position Dumouriez was at liberty to await the enemy and the arrival of his own succours. Beurnonville had orders to march to his assistance with 9000 men, Duval with 7000, and Kellermann was to come from Metz with 22,000. These were to join him by the middle of September, it was only necessary therefore to gain time. He had, however, left the passes of Chêne-Populeux and Croix-au-Bois not sufficiently guarded. The Prussians accordingly seized upon these two posts, and had well-nigh turned him in his camp at Grandpré and forced him to lay down his arms. He decamped in the night of the 14th, passed the Aisne, and took up a position at St Menehould. He had already delayed the march of the Prussians through the forest of Argonne, the season, as it advanced, grew worse, his own troops were every day more injured to the hardships of war; and on the junction of Beurnonville and Kellermann, which took place on the 17th of September, the French army amounted to nearly 70,000 men. The Prussian army had regularly followed the movements of Dumouriez. On the 20th they attacked Kellermann at Valmy, in the hope of cutting off the retreat of the French on Chalons. A brisk cannonade commenced on both sides. The Prussians then pushed forward in columns to the heights of Valmy, intending to carry them. But Kellermann also formed his infantry in



The campaign had been everywhere successful. In Flanders, the Duke of Saxe-Teschen had been compelled to raise the siege of Lille, after a fruitless and cruel bombardment of seven days ; Custine had taken Treves, Spire, and Mayence ; on the side of the Alps, General Montesquiou had penetrated into Savoy, and General Anselm into the county of Nice. The French armies, everywhere fortunate, had taken the offensive, and the Revolution was for this time saved

## CHAPTER VI

## THE NATIONAL CONVENTION

Meeting of the national convention, Robespierre accused by Louvet; Marat's defence of his party, sketch of his character, preparations for the king's trial, discovery of the secret cabinet, discussions on the attainder of the king, Robespierre's reasoning considered, doctrine of royal inviolability, Buonaparte's opinion of the treatment of Louis XVI, behaviour on his trial, his condemnation, sitting of the convention described, execution of Louis, its effects on Europe, and on French parties, Marat's proscriptions; Dumouriez rejoins the army of Belgium, defeats the Austrians at Mons, the French masters of the Low Countries at the close of the campaign, commencement of dissensions between Dumouriez and the Jacobins, England joins the coalition against France, Spain, the Pope, and Naples, join the league, formidable array against the republic, it levies 300,000 men, arbitrary proposal of the Mountain party, advance of the allies, defeat of Mirandà at Liege by the Austrians, Dumouriez placed at the head of the Belgian troops, Jacobin plot against the convention frustrated, insurrection in La Vendee, Dumouriez defeated by the Austrians at Nerwinde, and resolves to betray his country, is summoned by the convention, joins the Austrians, is condemned as a traitor, committee of public safety formed, and the Duke of Orleans and the Bourbons banished, furious conflict of parties at Paris, fall of the Girondists, Marat assassinated by Charlotte Corday, formidable revolts against the convention, success of the Vendéans, and disasters of the French armies, dangerous situation of the convention, Danton's orth, energetic proposition of Barrère, its prodigious effects, suppression of the insurrections; success of the republicans against the allies, ferocious proceedings of the convention, Marie Antoinette beheaded, execution of twenty-one Girondins, continuance of the reign of terror under Robespierre, his character, his interview with Danton the latter executed, St Just and Couthon, attempt on the life of Robespierre, celebration of the new religious worship of the convention, another law of blood enacted, approaching fall of Robespierre, he is denounced, his arrest, his rescue by the commune of Paris, Henriot outlawed by the convention, preparations for a conflict, capture of Robespierre and the conspirators, their execution, end of the reign of terror, cause of the excesses of the revolution investigated

THE Convention met on the 20th of September, 1792, and opened its deliberations on the 21st. In its first

sitting it abolished royalty and proclaimed the Republic, dating the Revolution from this period. Not having enemies enough to contend with abroad, it was divided from the first into two parties, the Gironde and the Mountain, that attacked one another with unceasing virulence. Robespierre was the principal object of the denunciations of the more moderate party, who saw from afar his tyrannical sway, and attributed to him in a great measure the massacres of the 2nd and 3rd of September. Robespierre having pretended that no one durst accuse him to his face, a tall, thin, pale figure of a man advanced slowly from the other end of the hall, and mounting the tribune, said in a deep sonorous voice, "*C'est moi qui t'accuse, Robespierre!*" (It is I who accuse you, Robespierre). He then proceeded to inveigh bitterly against the secret designs of Robespierre, his base flatteries of the people, his supposed share in the massacres of the prisons, and to vindicate the friends of the Revolution from having any hand in this odious transaction, as well as the people of Paris in general, who, he said, knew how to repel their foes, but not to assassinate those whom they had in their power. "All Paris was before the Tuileries on the 10th of August, and participated in the events and sentiments of that day. Not 400 persons, led by a stupid horror, were to be seen before the prisons on the 2nd and 3rd of September, while half that number executed their bloody task within." And then returning to Robespierre, he charged him with being accessory to the blood that had been shed, and with an insatiable thirst and craving after more, at each pause repeating the emphatic words, "*C'est moi qui t'accuse, Robespierre!*" This was the famous Louvet, afterwards one of the proscribed members, and who has given so interesting an account of his own, and the sufferings of his party, in his "Memours" of that period.

Robespierre was screened by the Convention, and by his own followers, but the weight of the accusation fell on Marat, who appeared at the bar of the Con-

vention to exculpate himself. He had in his journal, entitled "The Friend of the People," recommended a dictatorship, and preached up assassination as a civic virtue, and now, amidst loud cries of reprobation, and the stupefaction of his hearers at his audacity, he frankly declared what he thought on each of these topics. He was less a hypocrite than Robespierre, had no ulterior designs, and used no artifice in concealing his principles, but rather made a merit of exposing them in their worst light. There was no atrocity which from a gloomy temper and a spirit of dogmatism, he could not persuade himself was right, and which he would not proceed unblushingly to obtrude upon others, being equally devoid of modesty or discretion. Others had more delight in the actual spilling of blood: no one else had the same disinterested and dauntless confidence in the theory Marat might be placed almost at the head of a class that exist at all times, but only break out in times of violence and revolution, who, without natural sensibility or even strong animal passions, are the dupes of every perverse paradox that gratifies their desire of intellectual power, who form crime into a code, and who proclaim conclusions that make the hair of others stand on end, not only with the most perfect calmness and composure, but with the redundant zeal and spirit of proselytism belonging to saints and martyrs. There can be little doubt that Marat regarded himself as an apostle of liberty, and the more undeniably wrong he was, the more infallible he thought himself, the very violence and harshness of his opinions riveting them the more on his conviction, and the circumstance of every one else being against him only proving his infinite superiority to the rest of mankind, and irritating his habitual petulance into the frenzy of fanaticism. Disappointed vanity would step in to confirm this original morbid bias. Outrageous paradoxes are the resources of mediocrity of understanding, as bombastic metaphors are a sign of a frigid imagination. Perhaps this sort of theoretical and gratuitous

barbarity, by which Marat sought to be distinguished, makes more enemies, and shocks the general feeling more than any excesses of passion or cruelty; for these last, however we may lament or shudder at them, are confined to the individual act, do not stagger our faith in virtue, or make us, by reflection, abhor ourselves. In the other case, the mind conceives a disgust and impatience at what appears to cast a blot and an imputation on the order of the moral world. Urged by the enthusiasm of insulted virtue, and her hatred of the doctrines of Marat, the handsome and high-spirited Charlotte Corday wore a dagger in her bosom as a charm against the contagion of such revolting sophistry, and at length seized an opportunity to rid the world of an intellectual monster. The same attempt was made twice on Robespierre, and failed, probably from its being the result of a less determined plan and less rooted antipathy.\*

The bickerings and animosity between the Mountain and the Gironde, that broke out with the first opening of the Convention and continually increased in acrimony and personality, did not come to a decisive issue till after the death of the King, and the moderation and scruples of the Brissotins on this occasion proved fatal to them. The Mountain went along with the popular tide, and indeed did all they could to excite the rage and fermentation of the passions, and this impulse, as it was the most violent, so it was the most powerful at the moment, and naturally prevailed.

For some time men's minds were prepared for the King's trial. The Jacobin Club resounded with invectives against him, reports the most injurious were circulated against his character, his condemnation was loudly called for as necessary to the establishment of liberty on a sure basis. The popular societies in the departments poured in addresses to the Convention to the same effect, the Sections also presented them-

\* See Appendix 2



selves at its bar, and even went so far as to parade before them on litters those who had been wounded on the 10th of August, and who came to demand vengeance on this account against Louis Capet, for so they affected to call Louis XVI. This is in the worst style of revolutionary mock-heroics. I do not object to striking an enemy hard, if he is an enemy, and if you strike him at all; but surely to expect that he is not to defend himself, or to show the wounds received in civil strife, where all is supposed to be voluntarily risked as well as braved for one's country or for conscience's sake, as beggarly claims to pity or incentives to revenge, is dastardly and pusillanimous to the last degree. It is a wretched assumption of a question which has only been decided by the event, and a cowardly advantage taken of a fallen foe. But here again we have the everlasting craving after effect, produced by any means whatever, and under the most paltry pretence. The Parisians insulted Louis, and strove to degrade him by bald and opprobrious epithets in his low estate, which entitled him doubly to every consolation of courtesy and humanity (the great political question being reserved entire)—but there was a contrast, there was a change of scene, a melo-dramatic opportunity not to be missed, though had he been restored to that full regal power which alone could make him an object of fear or enmity, they would have crouched in abject submission at his feet. Those who insult over misfortune are the first to fawn on power. The king was assailable, the man was sacred.

Public resentment joined with party motives to urge the unfortunate monarch to his fall. Unluckily, about this period the discovery of the cabinet of steel redoubled the rancour of the people, and the despondency of the King's defenders. After the 10th of August several papers had been found in the bureaux of the Civil List, which had but too clearly proved the secret understanding kept up by Louis XVI with the disaffected priests, the Emigrants, and Europe.

In a report drawn up under the Legislative Assembly, he had been accused of a design to betray the state and overturn the Revolution. He was there reproached with having written on the 16th of April, 1791, to the Bishop of Clermont, saying, that "if he ever recovered his power he would re-establish the ancient government and the clergy in all their former privileges," with having more recently declared war only with a view to hasten the approach of his deliverers, with having been in habits of correspondence with men who wrote to him in this manner.—"The war will compel all the powers to unite against the factious wretches who at present tyrannise over France in order that their chastisement may serve hereafter as a warning to all those whomay be tempted to trouble the repose of empires, you may reckon on the assistance of 150,000 Prussians and Austrians, and on an army of 20,000 Emigrants"—with having been in accord with his brothers, whose interference he disclaimed in his public declarations, in fine, with never having ceased to use every means for the overthrow of the Constitution. Additional proofs were now brought forward in support of these allegations. There was at the Tuileries, concealed behind a wainscot panel, a hole cut in the wall, and closed with a sliding-door of iron. This secret recess was pointed out to Roland, when minister, and here were found the documents of all the plots and intrigues of the Court against the Revolution, the cabals with the popular leaders to increase the constitutional power of the King, with the aristocracy to bring back the ancient *regime*, the manœuvres of Talon, the arrangements with Mirabeau, the propositions of Deaulieu, which had been accepted, to march the army to Paris, and dissolve the Assembly by main force. These proofs of treachery and double-dealing enraged the people more than ever against the King: the bust of Mirabeau was broken in pieces at the Jacobins, and the Convention (as we before observed) had that which was placed in the hall of their sittings veiled

The discussion relative to the attainder of the King was opened on the 13th of November, and opinions appeared strongly divided on the question. The Brissotins were (generally speaking) satisfied with the abdication of Louis XVI, which they had in a great measure effected, and objected to all further proceedings against him as illegal and impolitic, they were absolutely averse to his death. There was another party, who contended by some wretched sophistry for a judicial proceeding, and wished to have him tried by form of law, though there was neither law to condemn him nor judges to try him, nor form of sentence to be passed upon him. The violent Revolutionary party, which began to domineer in the Convention, were equally disinclined to admit the inviolability of the King or the propriety of a legal proceeding against him, but persisted in considering the condemnation of Louis as a question of state, and an act of national justice. They had not only strong prejudice, but also common sense on their side, as far as related to the mode of viewing the subject. "Citizens," exclaimed St Just, one of the most determined and powerful of their speakers, "I undertake to prove that the opinion of Morrison, which sanctions the inviolability of the person of the King, and that of the Committee, who propose to try him as a simple citizen, are equally false. For myself, I say that the King ought to be judged as an enemy, that we have not to judge, but to put it out of his power to destroy us, that being no longer anything in the compact which binds Frenchmen together, the forms of proceeding must be sought not in the civil law, but in the laws of nature and nations, and that all the delays and scruples on this occasion are so many offences against the safety and inviolability of the state. The same men, let us not forget, who are about to pronounce sentence on the King, have also to found a Republic. But those who attach so much undue importance to the just chastisement of a King, will never found a Republic. Citizens, if the people of

Rome, after six hundred years of virtue and hatred against tyrants, if Great Britain, after the death of Cromwell, witnessed the return of the regal power in spite of all its energy, what ought not those among us who are good patriots and friends of liberty to fear at seeing the axe tremble in your hands, and a people, from the first hour of its liberation, respect the memory of its chains?"

The exact contrary conclusion ought to have been drawn. Those who instantly lose sight of the past can have no security for the future. Were the French people all of a sudden to forget that they had ever had a monarchy, or to make light, by a mere flourish of rhetorical fortitude, of the dreadful alternative to which either the King or people were exposed? But to have done with reflections, as useless as they are painful—Robespierre followed on the same side of the question. He had manifested extreme hardihood and extreme pertinacity during the whole of this trying discussion. His cadaverous appetite was not to be diverted from its course, and he saw that he could not do better, in order to impress on the Revolution that stern, relentless, homicidal character which he wished, than to begin the banquet of blood by the body of an anointed King. Addressing himself to the Convention, he said, "You are not, and you cannot be in this case other than statesmen. You have not a sentence to pronounce for or against an individual, but a measure of public safety to enact. A dethroned King in a republic is only good for two things, either to trouble the tranquillity of the state and undermine liberty, or to cement both one and the other. Louis was King, the Republic exists, the *famous* question which occupies you is decided by these single words. Louis cannot be tried; he is already tried, condemned, or the Republic is not justified. I demand that the Convention declare Louis XVI a traitor to the French people, guilty in the eyes of humanity, and condemn him to death on

the instant in virtue of the insurrection of the 10th of August ”

This reasoning is not very convincing or captivating; but it is, like all Robespierre's declamation, a disjointed tissue of rhapsodical common-places, forced into an abortive union by dogmatical assertion, and where, in the midst of an utter barrenness of thought or illustration, there is an appearance of coming to the point with great directness and simplicity. He was a mere party orator, and in common times and on general subjects, would have produced no effect whatever, but in a period of violent agitation, when men's passions were set afloat and driven along in the same furious current, the very destitution of natural powers was an advantage, as it gave exclusive and tyrannic scope to his intensity of purpose, fell in with the overstrained humour of his hearers, who wanted practical results, not logical conclusions, or ingenious digressions, and whose inflamed zeal lent to his unmeaning antithetical dilemmas all the force of self-evident propositions. For instance, what can be more absurd, and at the same time more artful or effectual, than the proposing in the speech just cited to condemn the King “in virtue of the insurrection of the 10th of August,” as if the rebellion against a monarch inferred a right to bring him to the block, and as if this insurrection must not only be just and right in itself, but a foundation to build all future violences upon? Yet it was certainly that which gave the Convention the courage, the will, and the power to accomplish the King's death, and it was therefore the strongest argument to which a thorough-paced demagogue could appeal. In like manner (for it is important to know in all circumstances what it is that gives power over the human mind) his celebrated speech in his own defence is dry and prosing, unconnected and unreadable, but the blind zeal of his partizans, and his own inveteracy of manner, his look, and particularly his hard, unaltered eye, which, betraying no misgiving or compunc-

tion, overcame and lured others into his toils, converted its very defects into beauties, as if his bosom laboured with a weight of conviction which no words could be found adequately to express, and the charges against him were too weak and absurd to admit even of a refutation

With respect to the part he took against the King, he was right in arguing the point as a question of state, and not of law. If the law did not reach it, some other principle must, if the public safety was concerned, for neither the law nor the king, which are but instruments, are above the general good, which is the end of all law and sovereignty. He who is placed above the law (should he forfeit the privilege of his station) is necessarily reduced to a state of nature, and placed out of the protection of the law. He is not indeed amenable to the law, but he becomes by that very circumstance a hostage to the commonwealth, or he might waste and destroy it at his pleasure. As there is no law in that case made and provided, an appeal must be made to common sense and equity, which do not answer in a voice less loud or intelligible, because they speak their oldest and most natural language. That any one should be placed entirely out of the reach of responsibility is a fiction in law, a courtesy of speech not to be understood as applicable to extreme cases. If the person of the King were strictly inviolable, according to the letter of the law and constitution, then the Convention could have no right to imprison or banish him, as a measure of security, and yet this was the mildest treatment proposed for him by the Constitutional party. If he were strictly inviolable, he might enter the Convention, and dispatch its members individually without the possibility of resistance. This, it may be said, is an absurd case, but was it not the same thing if by a sign, a breath, he could encourage an army of 100,000 men to come and do so? And was no precaution to be taken against this treason which had already been practised, and would still be persisted in as long as he

lived? Would his banishment prevent his return at the head of his hordes of foreigners and bands of emigrants? The effect of this doctrine is to tie the hands of liberty, and to make men and nations passive under the stroke of despotism, like sheep under the knife. The condemnation of Louis XVI. stands on the same broad and firm foundation as that of Charles I. of England; and the object of both was, as I imagine, to remove the most dangerous enemy of the state, and also to set an example and establish a principle, that if kings presume on being placed above the law to violate their first duties to the people, there is a *justice above the law*, and that rears itself to an equal height with thrones. This view of the subject makes the rulers cautious, makes the people bold, or even if it be said that such an example is of no use, for that kings are incorrigible, yet at any rate it takes away that servile awe and dread with which the people were wont to shrink from the contest with power and authority, like the warriors in Homer who were afraid to encounter the immortal Gods in battle, because they were invulnerable and impassive to blows and death'. If a common man is detected as a spy or in the act of conveying important information or encouragement to the enemy's camp, he is hanged up without judge or jury, no man intercedes for him, no man writes his epitaph, it is a thing of course. But the case is different with a king. In the eye of prejudice it may be so, but in the eye of reason it is aggravated, for it is the very circumstance of his being a king that adds to his power and demonstrates the necessity of removing him. It was not Louis XVI. that was properly the subject of debate, but the last remains of arbitrary power, of which he was the representative, that phantom of the past, that rose in irreconcilable antipathy to the prospect of future freedom, that no voice could charm, no heart could tame, that, affecting magnanimity and moderation in public, clung in secret to every vestige of power and prerogative, that shrunk in fear and loathing from an

acknowledgment of the people's rights, and scrupled at no treachery, no violence, no shameless league that promised a chance of finally annulling and disowning them—it was this phantom of kingly power that was struck at, that tottered and fell headless with Louis XVI and with it the opinion, the paralysing prejudice that that power was sacred, inviolable, and *that* one life of more consequence than the lives of all other men. In fine, the end and object of this act, “which was not done in a corner,” was to let the world see that there was a majesty of the people as well as of kings, which might be too long insulted and trifled with, and that when the one came into collision with the other, the latter must kick the beam. Or be it that *le malheur et la pitié* should never be parted; but is pity only due to the misfortunes of kings, or the sword of justice only to be blunted in favour of those who wield it? For scenic effect, the individual case bears most dressing up; but the death of a king, his power and office apart, is no more than that of a common man, and we should remember, that

“The poor beetle that we tread upon,  
In corporal sufferance feels a pang as great  
As when a giant dies ”

If a son or a brother had dethroned Louis, had imprisoned, had beheaded him (a thing that happens every day, except where reason and philosophy temper absolute power), no one would have heard of it, or after a buzz of idle wonder, it would have been hushed up by the sycophants and jobbers of courts as a family affair, the actual proprietor might have been ejected, the reversion of despotism would have remained untouched. A regicide is a parricide, but a parricide is not a regicide in the pages of heralds and court scribes. But when a mighty people, when mankind strike the blow, and abate the nuisance altogether, and take the power into their own hands, so that the change is for the benefit of millions, then an appeal



is made to outraged humanity, and tears and groans must never have an end, because at the same expense of life and anguish, a great principle is established, and a nation declared free. This, then, is not the language of humanity, but of hypocrisy and servility, or is fit only for the writers of melodramas and elegies.\*

The behaviour of Louis XVI on his trial was simple, manly, and affecting. He rested his defence chiefly on a positive denial of any knowledge of the letters and documents that were brought as proofs against him. His advocates on this occasion, Malesherbes (who nobly volunteered this service on the refusal of Target), Tronchet, and Dészèze, did them-

\* Buonaparte has left his opinion as to what ought to have been the conduct of the Constituent Assembly with regard to Louis XVI after the flight to Varennes—"Great as this error was" (the Constitution they established) "it was less flagrant, and had less deplorable consequences than that of persisting in re-establishing Louis XVI on the throne, after the affair of Varennes. What then ought the Assembly to have done? It ought to have sent commissioners extraordinary to Varennes, not to bring the King back to Paris, but to clear the way for him, and to conduct him safely beyond the frontiers, to have decreed, by virtue of the Constitution, that he had abdicated, proclaimed Louis XVII King, created a regency, confided the care of the Dauphin during his minority to a Princess of the house of Condé, and composed the Council of Regency and the ministry of the principal members of the Constituent Assembly. A government so conformable to principle, and so national, would have found means to remedy the disadvantages of the Constitution, the force of events would soon have led to the adoption of the necessary modifications. It is probable that France would have triumphed over all her enemies, foreign and domestic, and would have experienced neither anarchy nor revolutionary government. By the time of the King's majority, the Revolution would have been so well rooted, that it might have defied every attack. To act otherwise, was entrusting the steering of the vessel, during the most violent storm, to a pilot no longer capable of conducting her, it was calling the crew to insurrection and revolt, in the name of public safety, it was invoking anarchy"—*Mémoires*, vol. iii p. 3

"'Tis better as it is"—We shall have occasion to see hereafter what was his opinion on the subject of the King's death. In all these questions Buonaparte was influenced by political calculations and available circumstances, of which he, perhaps, would have made something, but which, ordinarily speaking, would have come to nothing. Men in general require to be governed by abstract principles or strong passions, and both lead to very downright conclusions.

selves great and lasting honour by their eloquence, intrepidity and disinterested zeal. The Convention pronounced his condemnation by a majority of only 26 voices out of above 700. The smallness of this majority was made a plea to set aside the sentence. "Decrees are passed by a simple majority," said a member of the Mountain. "True," it was replied, "but decrees may be recalled, whereas the life of a man cannot be recalled." Some were for relieving themselves from the responsibility by an appeal to the nation, but this, it was thought, would betray a distrust of the cause, and might also breed a civil war. The sitting of the Convention which concluded the trial lasted seventy-two hours. It might naturally be supposed that silence, restraint, a sort of religious awe would have pervaded the scene; on the contrary, every thing bore the marks of gaiety, dissipation, and the most grotesque confusion. The farther end of the hall was converted into boxes, where ladies, in a studied dishabille, swallowed ices, oranges, liqueurs, and received the salutations of the members, who went and came as on ordinary occasions. Here the doorkeepers on the Mountain side opened and shut the boxes reserved for the mistresses of the Duke of *Orléans-Egalité*; and here, though every sign of approbation or disapprobation was strictly forbidden, you heard the long indignant "*Ha, ha's*!" of the Mother-Duchess, the patroness of the bands of female Jacobins, whenever her ears were not loudly greeted with the welcome sounds of death. The upper gallery, reserved for the people, was during the whole trial constantly full of strangers and spectators of every description, drinking wine and brandy as in a tavern. Bets were made as to the issue of the trial in all the neighbouring coffee-houses. *Ennui*, impatience, disgust sat on almost every countenance. Each member seemed to ask, whether his turn came next? A sick deputy, who was called, came forward wrapped up in his nightcap and nightgown, and the Assembly, when they beheld this sort of phantom, laughed. The figures

passing and repassing, and rendered more ghastly by the pallid lights, and that in a slow and sepulchral voice only pronounced the word *Death*, the Duke of Orleans hooted, almost spit upon, when he voted for the condemnation of his relative, others calculating if they should have time to go to dinner before they gave their verdict, while the women were picking cards with pins in order to count the votes, some of the deputies fallen asleep, and only waked up to give their sentence, Manuel, the secretary, trying to falsify a few votes in favour of the unfortunate King, and in danger of being murdered for his pains in the passages; all this had the appearance rather of a hideous dream than of the reality. When Malesherbes went to carry the tidings to the King, he found him with his head reclined on the table, in a musing posture, and he observed to him at his entering, "I have been for these two hours trying to recollect what I have ever done to incur the ill-will of my subjects." The very endeavour showed goodness of heart and a certain simplicity of character, but it would be long before one taught from his childhood to believe that he could do no wrong, would find just ground of offence in his behaviour to his people. The execution of the sentence was fixed for the 21st of January, 1793. Louis mounted the fatal scaffold with firmness, after administering the last sacrament, his confessor addressed him, "Son of St Louis, ascend into heaven!" He, however, manifested some repugnance to submit to his fate, and would have addressed the spectators, staggering to one side of the platform for that purpose, when the drums beat, and he was suddenly seized by the executioners and underwent the sentence of his judges. It is said that the indecent haste and eagerness of these men to complete their task arose from orders having been issued to the soldiers, in case of any attempt at a rescue, to fire at the scaffold, and that they were afraid of being themselves dispatched if any alarm were given, or there were any symptoms of com-

motion among the crowd. One person tasted the blood, with a brutal exclamation, that "it was shockingly bitter," the hair and pieces of the dress were sold by the attendants. No strong emotion was evinced at the moment, the place was like a fair, but a few days after, Paris, and those who had voted for the death of the monarch, began to feel serious and uneasy at what they had done. Louis XVI had occupied his time while in prison, where his confinement was strict, chiefly in consoling his wife and sister, and in instructing his son. He discovered neither impatience, regret, nor resentment. The truth is, that great and trying situations raise the mind above itself, and take out the sting of personal suffering, by the importance of the reflections and consequences they suggest. He read much, and often reverted to the English history, where he found many examples of fallen monarchs, and one among them, condemned like himself by the people. He was attended during the whole time, and in his last moments, by his old servant, Clery, who never left him. The names of those who are faithful in misfortune are sacred in the page of history! The Queen followed her husband to the block, after an interval of almost a year. There were circumstances of a dastardly and cold-blooded barbarity attending the accusation against her. But the revolutionary spirit had then attained its highest virulence and fury. She expressed her apprehensions of being torn in pieces by the mob on her way to the scaffold, and was gravely assured by one of the *gendarmes* who accompanied her, that 'she would reach it without meeting any harm!' It is an affecting incident, that just before she expired she turned round her head to look back at the Tuileries, and then laid her neck on the block.

One might have concluded that the death of Louis XVI, which removed one great cause of dissension, and united all Europe in an extended and formidable league against them, would have healed or abated the animosity of the different parties towards each other; instead of which it increased and inflamed it to a pitch of inconceivable fury and madness. The common object of their distrust and suspicion being gone, they immediately fell upon one another, for their passions were so excited that they required some object to vent themselves upon, and the greatness of the danger that threatened them, so far from producing candour or forbearance, rendered them more irritable, jealous, and vindictive, drove them upon desperate measures, and when they could not wreak their disappointed malice on the common foe, they turned round on their rivals, as the most obvious resource that presented itself, and accused them of being accomplices in the reverses of the Republic, or at any rate, of causing them by their lukewarmness and indifference. The whirl of the political machine was so violent and irregular, that it was dangerous, nay, fatal, to all that came within its reach. The popular party not only enforced the most severe and sanguinary laws against those who were known or suspected to be adverse to the Revolution, but they pursued with the same spirit of intolerance all those

sion " The treatment of the Dauphin is another of those abominations which show the extent of the revolutionary reaction at this period, when, to express their contempt for the old system, men fancied that nothing but *slang* was decent, and that everything but outrage was affectation. This is the true *low-life* of democracy, which, feeling no respect for anything, can only exalt one side by degrading the other, and can allow no merit in an adversary, lest it should outweigh its own meanness and want of it. On the contrary, we ought to allow the utmost to the opposite claims and pretensions, and then say that ours are still higher. Let a king be all but sacred—yet no individual is of as much consequence as a whole people. That is enough to insist upon, if we only stick to that—but if we fight only with non-entities, we shall fall prostrate before the least show of resistance or argument. Servility does not consist in paying respect to the persons of others, but in supposing that this personal respect includes a compromise of every principle of freedom and justice.

who did not approve of their extreme rigour, or who differed from them but a hair's-breadth as to any measures or principles to be adopted. They took summary justice of those who laid themselves open to the charge of *Moderantism*, which was a watchword for imprisonment and death; made the most trifling distinctions capital offences; and as their passions became more inflamed and their actions more questionable, grew naturally more impatient of the shadow of opposition to them. The ordinary proneness of the French character to be led away by circumstances or the impulse of the moment was heightened into tragic caricature and deformity at the present crisis. Like people out at sea on a raft, and reduced to the last extremity, they seemed to lose all discretion, common sense, and humanity. No set of actors on a stage could mouth or rant or stare more furiously—no den of bravos could stab more causelessly for a word or look, than these demure philosophers and enlightened patriots of the eighteenth century. Too much blinded by passion to have any doubt of the success of their cause, they instantly threw the blame of any unexpected failure in the progress of the armies on treachery in the General, which soon involved in its ramifications all those to whom they had any distaste at home. The futility did not lessen the confidence of the charge, for the same strength of prejudice that suggested it without reason, supplied the proofs, and the more incredible and extravagant any proposition, the more readily was it admitted in this morbid state of feeling. There is a tendency in the mind to all strong excitement, whether of good or evil, and in truth, evil has this advantage over good, that it is the strongest excitement of the two. It was, therefore, *con amore* that these persons conjured up phantoms of conspiracy and danger to keep their imaginations in play, and dipped their hands in blood to persuade themselves that they were in earnest, and to wipe out effeminate and slothful scruples. The habit became a want, and called for the application of a continually

increasing stimulus to produce the customary sense of energy and self-complacency. This impetuous, headlong impulse not only became the ruling passion in the breasts of the leaders, but communicated itself by sympathy to all around. He who was maddest was wisest, and he who startled the multitude by the most groundless alarms or the most offensive proposals, was sure to gain the greatest number of hearers and converts. This craving after excitement was pampered into a disease, a *mania*, and no matter who or what the subject, it was necessary to bring out new plots, new accusations, new horrors for the public entertainment, like a succession of new pieces at a theatre. The Revolution ran wild, and was contained in its orbit only by the pressure of external force, which had indeed given it its extraordinary and eccentric impulse. There was a suspension of all the common charities, a concentration of all the ill-humours of the state, suspicion alone was virtue, he who mounted the tribune to denounce his neighbour was alone a friend to his country, he who grasped the assassin's knife was alone safe from it. Even talents and eloquence, though on the popular side, incurred an imputation as not sufficiently civic. Literature was an invidious distinction, a frivolous digression from the great question; and those only, who with Stentorian lungs could bawl out a few vulgar, ferocious watchwords and signals of party proscription, that the many could repeat after them, that implied hatred without a cause, and led to mischief without an object, were considered as the models of pure patriotism and republican simplicity. The superior accomplishments of the Eristotins were as fatal to them as their moderation and humanity. Pedantry and formality were carried to as great a height in matters of speculation, as rage and bigotry in practice. The plans and theories of constitutions and governments were infinitely varied and uncalled-for, the *Décade* superseded the week; Sunday was abolished, and the names of the days were altered, a new table of weights and measures

was adopted, proposals were made for an universal language, projects of general pillage, of agrarian laws, and for the destruction of commerce, were promulgated, the Tuileries were, in the same spirit, ploughed up into a potatoe-garden, the worship of Reason was substituted for that of the Supreme Being; and every thing, as may be supposed in this state of things, underwent a change. It was intended to reverse all the old ideas and establishment, to make every thing an experiment, and to begin society *de novo*. The rage of paradox succeeded to the torpor of prejudice, and philosophy consisted in setting common sense at defiance, and in giving a loose to the idlest suggestions of fancy. Each of these changes, as it occurred, was looked upon as an important revolution, and woe be to him who had hazarded the smallest objection to the most insignificant or absurd among them!

Mr. Burke has made fine havoc of the "Abbé Siéyes's pigeon-holes, crammed full of Constitutions," and laughs at the stress laid upon the figure of the Departments, whether round or square. The obstinacy and insanity of the leaders, and the frivolous prettexts on which they proceeded to the utmost extremities against each other, have been often appealed to to throw a ridicule and odium on the Revolution itself. And at first sight and to the petulance of party spirit it may seem so. But if we consider farther, the reverse conclusion will hold good, for the very circumstance of the disproportioned importance of these prettexts and the narrow shades of difference to which they were reduced as the grounds of their deadly quarrels, though it exhibits a revolting picture of the heated state of party feeling and of the evils attendant on a contest for power, shows also that the great principles of the Revolution remained untouched. The different candidates for popularity and heads of factions quarrelled about minor points, because they durst not quarrel about greater ones. Whoever had brought any of these into question, would soon have found the difference to his cost. They might dispute,



for instance, about the form of the Departments, their size or number, but no one proposed to re-establish the privileges of the ancient corporations, the revocation of the sale of national domains, the restoration of tithes, of the *corvées* or game laws, or the exemption of the most opulent part of the community from the payment of taxes. The chief handle which the Jacobins made use of against the Gironde was, that they did not strain some of these great and original principles (such as the hatred of royalty) to the very utmost point of possible tension. They did not, however, owe their fall (one of the greatest blots and scandals of the time) merely to the wanton insolence of their rivals, but to the defection of Dumouriez and the treachery (as it was called) of General Mack, in which they were absurdly and most unjustly implicated by the fury of the multitude.

And what is this popular fury that is so much talked about, and that commits such strange havoc? Is it a phantom, a thing without a cause? No, it has always a motive equal to the rage it feels and the mischief it does. Nothing but the immediate, irresistible sense of extreme danger or extreme wrong either can or does excite it, or take from it in its paroxysms of impatience and despair all sense of right and wrong, all distinction of friend or foe, so that we may judge even from its extravagance of the depth of its provocation. It is this flame, kindled not of straw or stubble, or the breath of a demagogue, but of a thousand burning wrongs, that spreads on all objects a lurid glare, blood-stained, gorgeous, confounding all forms, dazzling the strongest sight. When Marat mounted the tribune with the list of proscribed patriots in his hand, and dictated to the astonished Convention what names to put in, what names to strike out, it was not that poor, distorted, scarecrow figure and maimed countenance that inspired awe and silenced opposition, but he was hemmed in, driven on, sustained in the height of all his malevolence, folly, and presumption by 80,000 foreign bayonets,

that sharpened his worthless sentences and pointed his frantic gestures. Paris, threatened with destruction, thrilled in his accents, Paris, diessed in her robe of flames, seconded his incendiary zeal. a thousand hearts were beating in his bosom, which writhed like the Sybil's, a thousand daggers were whetted on his stony words. Had he not been backed by strong necessity and strong opinion, he would have been treated as a madman, but when his madness arose out of the sacred cause and impending fate of a whole people, he who denounced the danger was a "seer blest," he who pointed out a victim was the high priest of freedom. It was this popular fury, the feeling of the last bewildering extremity with the resolution to meet it, that was the soul of Jacobinism; it was this that having to do with "that dragon old, that was and is, and is to be," spared no pains, scrupled no means, dealt blow for blow, and answered threat with threat, that signed an order for an execution or planned the array of a battle, it was this that inspired the Furies of the Guillotine, and sat and smiled in the galleries of the Convention with the *tricoteuses* of Robespierre. It was this that mouthed out blasphemies and rant, and by its very fieth and trashiness proved the sacredness and solidity of its cause, for nothing else could redeem such baseness. It was this that led to the ruthless destruction of all old customs, establishments, names, and forms, the total razure of the old edifice of society that there might be nothing left of it but a by-word. It was this that threw a slur on arts and elegance, and made the *salus populi* the sole law, for of what use are arts and elegance in a famine or a shipwreck? This gave an air of hardness, crudeness, and barbarity to the Revolution, but armed it in panoply all proof. The Brissotins were humane and accomplished, but what would their humanity or accomplishments avail

\* Female Knitters, who passed their mornings in the galleries of the Convention, and applauded with soft murmurs the most sanguinary measures and speeches

in the camp of the allies, or in a *clique* of royalists? There is no adequate measure between the public good and private regards; and when the former is urged to the edge of the precipice, and ready to be dashed in pieces, everything else must be sacrificed to save it. The allies might easily have put an end to the horrors at which their delicacy was so much shocked, by making peace at any period of the Revolution. Why then did they not? It would have been compromising the royal cause. Why then were the people to be the first to give in? If the principles of despotism authorised the prolonging all these horrors, the principles of freedom might justify the enduring them to the utmost. Let us hear no more of the cant on this subject.

Dumouriez after the death of the King conceived designs of putting an end to the Revolution and playing a distinguished part himself on the stage of the world. He had (as we have seen) gone to Paris, after the retreat of the Prussians, to concert measures for the invasion of the Austrian Netherlands. He returned to the army on the 20th of October 1792, and commenced an attack on the enemy on the 28th. At the head of the army of Belgium, 40,000 strong, he marched from Valenciennes on Mons, supported on his right by the army of the Ardennes, amounting to 16,000 men under General Valence, who directed his route from Givet to Namur, and on his left by the army of the North, 18,000 strong, under General Labouderie, who advanced from Lille on Tournay. The plan which a year before had failed for want of sufficient experience now succeeded. The Austrian army, posted in front of Mons, waited to give battle in its entrenchments. Dumouriez completely defeated them, and the victory of Jemmapes opened the Netherlands to the Republic, and recommenced once more the ascendancy of the French arms in Europe. Having beaten the enemy on the 6th of November, Dumouriez entered Mons on the 7th, Brussels on the 14th, and Liege on the 28th. Valence took Namur,

Labourdonnais made himself master of Antwerp, and by the middle of December the occupation of the Low Countries was entirely achieved. The French army, masters of the Meuse and of the Scheldt, went into winter quarters, after having driven the Austrians behind the Roer.—From this moment hostilities commenced between Dumouriez and the Jacobins. The latter, by a decree of the 15th of December, organised the conquered country into a republic, established clubs on the model of the parent society, made requisitions, rendered their yoke more insupportable than that of the Austrians, and defeated all Dumouriez's projects of independence for the Netherlands, or of ambition for himself. He went to Paris to complain, and to try to save Louis XVI., but returned to the army without having obtained either of his objects, dissatisfied and determined to make any new victories serve to effect a change of politics.

The frontiers of France were this time about to be attacked by nearly all the powers of Europe. England joined the coalition against France, the last and most formidable of its enemies. On learning the news of the death of Louis XVI. our cabinet sent back the French ambassador Chauvigné, and drew Holland into the quarrel with it, under pretence of the opening of the Scheldt by order of the French government. This pretence could deceive no one, and was like the stratagem of those foolish birds that bury their heads in the sand, and think nobody can see them. Our statesmen of this period, Mr Pitt and others, were so wrapped up in words and rhetorical common-places, that they fancied them an impenetrable covering. Continental politicians, who are jealous of the maritime preponderance of England, and suppose us to be a merely money-getting nation, have assigned commercial aggrandisement as the motive of the war. This is an utter mistake. Our conduct at the peace showed it; we gave up all that we might have claimed as a trading country. Our object from first to last was the disinterested defence of the legitimate government,

which is so much the more remarkable as our own was not legitimate, or, as Mr Wyndham exclaimed emphatically at the time, our motto was, "Perish commerce, live the Constitution!" We somehow chose to fancy the fate of our own free government intimately interwoven with that of the old despotic government of France. If the consequence had been the entire ruin of our commerce and the loss of our possessions in both the Indies, we should have gone to war nevertheless. It was not our merchants, but the court and clergy who gave the tone at this period. The people were strongly divided, or upon the whole against it. Spain had lately undergone a change of ministry; the famous Godoy, Duke of Alcudia, and since Prince of Peace, having been placed at the head of affairs, through the influence of Great Britain and the Emigrants. This power broke with France, after interceding in vain for Louis XVI and offering its neutrality as the price of the life of the King. Naples followed the example of the Pope, who had entered into the same league. Switzerland, Sweden, Denmark, and Turkey remained neutral. Russia was at this time occupied with the second partition of Poland, in preventing which the champions of social order and legitimate government did not feel themselves concerned. All their thoughts were directed against France.

The Republic had its frontiers threatened by the most warlike troops in Europe. It would shortly have to contend with 45,000 Austro-Sardinians on the Alps, 50,000 Spaniards in the passes of the Pyrenees; 70,000 Austrians and Imperialists, reinforced by 38,000 English and Dutch on the Lower Rhine and in the Netherlands, 33,400 Austrians between the Meuse and the Moselle, and 112,600 Prussians, Austrians, and Imperialists on the Middle and Upper Rhine. To make head against so many enemies, the Convention decreed a levy of 300,000 men. This measure of external defence was accompanied by one of extreme rigour for the internal security. At the moment that the new-raised battalions quitted Paris,

and presented themselves to the Convention for that purpose, the Mountain called for the establishment of a tribunal-extraordinary to support the Revolution within, while the troops were going to defend it on the frontier. The tribunal, composed of nine members, was to have the power of life and death without jury and without appeal. The Gironde, by opposing this arbitrary measure, only lessened their popularity and brought their patriotism into question; for they seemed to favour the secret enemies of the Republic, by objecting to a tribunal destined to punish them, as if such a tribunal must necessarily be impartial and infallible in its decisions. All they could obtain was the introduction of juries, and the exclusion of the most violent of the proposed members, while they themselves had any influence, though this did not last long.

The principal efforts of the Coalition were directed against the eastern frontier of France, from the North Sea to Huninguen. The Prince of Coburg, at the head of the Austrians, was to attack the French on the Roer and the Maese, and penetrate into the Netherlands, while the Prussians marched against Custine, took Mayence, and followed up the plan of invasion of the preceding year. Dumouriez, more occupied with his own vain projects than with the perils of the country, threw himself on the left of these operations, and entered Holland at the head of 20,000 men. He was to be joined at Nimeguen by 25,000 men under Miranda. He took Breda and Gertruydenberg; but as he was preparing to attack the other fortresses, and dreaming of making himself master of Holland and marching to Paris at the head of his victorious troops to put an end to the Revolutionary Government, the army of the right suffered the most alarming reverses, the Austrians having forced Miranda to raise the siege of Maestricht, crossed the Meuse, and put the French army near Liege completely to the rout. Dumouriez received an order from the Executive Council, which he found himself obliged reluctantly to obey, to quit Holland instantly, and put himself at the head of the Belgic troops.

At the news of these disasters, the Jacobins became outrageous. With their headstrong perversity, which would listen to no remonstrance, they incontinently attributed them to an understanding between the generals and the Brissotins. They agreed to fall upon the latter in a body in the Convention on the night of the 10th of March, 1793. The tocsin was sounded, the barriers closed, but several circumstances prevented the execution of the plot. The Brissotins, apprised of the scheme, kept out of the way. The rain fell in torrents, and the minister of war, Beumonville, had a skirmish with a band of the insurgents, and dispersed them at the head of a battalion of Breton volunteers. Veignaud the next day denounced the conspiracy, and demanded an investigation. In his strong and glowing language, he said, "We march from crimes to amnesties, and from amnesties to crimes. A large number of citizens have persuaded themselves to consider the invitations of robbers as the ebullitions of generous souls, and robbery itself as a means of public safety. We have witnessed the development of that strange system of liberty, according to which they say to you, 'You are free, but think as we do, or we denounce you to the vengeance of the people, you are free, but bow the neck before the idol to which we offer incense, or we denounce you to the vengeance of the people; you are free, but join with us in persecuting the men whose probity and talents we dread, or we denounce you to the vengeance of the people.' Citizens, it is to be feared that the Revolution, like Saturn, will successively devour its children, and in the end engender despotism with the evils that attend it." These striking words produced a transient impression on the Convention, but the measures of inquiry proposed by Veignaud came to nothing.

The Jacobins were disappointed at the ill success of their first attempt upon their adversaries. However, the insurrection which soon after broke out in La Vendée gave them new courage. The war of La

Vendée was one of those events which were nearly inevitable in the Revolution. This country, thrown as it were on one side of France, having scarcely any intercourse with the capital, not being a thoroughfare to other places, without roads, without large towns, consisting of villages and hamlets, remote, poor, and ignorant, remained almost in its ancient feudal state. There was no middle or independent class, neither books nor commerce; and the peasantry, receiving all their notions from the priests, were attached like vassals to the soil, and to its lordly proprietors, as in the early times. The Revolution was to them an event alike unexpected and unaccountable. The priests and nobles, finding themselves strong in these provinces, had not emigrated. This was therefore the true centre or rallying-point of the counter-revolution, for here the doctrines and principles of the ancient *régime* were to be found in their original integrity. It is true, the exactions and vexations of the old system were here kept up with greater severity than almost anywhere, but their being ground down by them did not make the inhabitants less prone to the earth, nor less desirous to drag others if they could into the same situation. Probably, too, the extreme servitude of the peasants was compensated for by some of the correspondent advantages, the patronage and hospitality of the chivalrous times and manners, at least all the sentiments and prejudices of that age remained in full force\*. There was to have been a general rising in 1792, under the Count de la Rouairie, which failed in consequence of his having been arrested at the time, but on the occasion of raising the levy of 300,000 men to recruit the Republican armies, the insurrection broke out afresh. The insurgents beat the Gendarmes at St Florens, and at first chose for their chiefs the waggoner Cathelineau, Charette, an officer of marines, and the gamekeeper Stofflet. Shortly, 900 communes had risen at the sound of the

\* ~ ~ ~ Memoirs of the Countess La Rochejacquehn



tocsin, and then the noble chieftains Bonchamps, Lescure, La Rochejacquelin, D'Elbee, and Talmont, joined the others. The troops of the line, and the battalions of the National Guard who marched against the insurgents were everywhere defeated and driven back. The Vendéans had become masters of Châtillon, Bressune, and Vihiers, and formed themselves into three armies of 10,000 and 12,000 men each, the first under Bonchamps on the banks of the Loire, the second placed in the centre under D'Elbee, the third was stationed in the Lower Vendée under Charette. A council of war was appointed to direct their operations, and Cathelineau was chosen generalissimo. This was from the beginning one of the chief scourges of the Revolution—a wound that was never thoroughly healed, and from which gall and bitterness issued in the greatest profusion.

On the first intelligence of this formidable insurrection the Convention took measures of greater severity than ever against the priests and emigrants. All those belonging to the privileged classes were disarmed, and if they took part in any military movement they were outlawed. The old emigrants were banished for ever, on pain of death if they returned, and their goods confiscated. On the door of each house the name of every inhabitant was to be inscribed, and the Revolutionary Tribunal, which had been adjourned, commenced its dreadful functions. Just at the same time, and blow upon blow, came the account of fresh military disasters. Dumouriez, on rejoining the army of the Netherlands, tried to make head against the Austrian general, the Prince of Coburg. He found his men disheartened and in want of everything, and wrote a threatening letter to the Convention accusing the Jacobins, who denounced him in return. After this, having brought his army into some order and engaged in a few skirmishes, he risked a general battle at Nerwinde and lost it. The Netherlands were evacuated, and Dumouriez, placed between two fires, beaten by the

Austrians and assailed by the Jacobins, had recourse to an expedient too common at this time—to save the wreck of his fortune, and not be entirely baffled in his schemes of personal ambition, he sold his country. He had conferences with Colonel Mack, and agreed with the Austrians to deliver them up several strong places on the frontier as pledges, while he marched to Paris to restore the monarchy. It is supposed that he wished to place the young Duke of Chartres on the throne. It is not likely that the Allies would have cared one rush what he intended, when he had once put the liberties of France into their power. The Jacobins, ever on the alert, and acquainted with his intrigues, sent three of their members, Proly, Pereira, and Dubuisson, to sound him, to whom he made no secret of his motives or his designs. It appeared upon coming to an explanation that he had a strong dislike to the Jacobins and as strong a predilection for a king, which the French people must have at any rate—of their own choice if they would; if not, he would force one upon them. In talking thus big, however, he was reckoning without his host. To effect his blustering pretensions he must first bring over the army to his views, and deliver Lille, Condé, and Valenciennes into the enemy's hands. In both these preliminary steps he failed. No sooner was the Convention informed of his designs than they ordered him to their bar, he refused to obey. They then sent four representatives, Camus, Quinette, Lamarque, Bancal, and the minister of war, Burnonville, to arrest him in the midst of his army. On their reading him the decree of the Convention, and threatening to suspend him from his functions if he longer delayed to accompany them, he cried out, "This is too much," and delivered up the Commissioners as hostages to an Austrian guard in attendance. By this act of revolt he had committed himself beyond retreat. He made one more attempt upon Condé, but it failed like the first, and the army, who would not be instrumental to his treachery, abandoned him.

with reluctance to his fate. Dumouriez had but one choice left, he went over to the Austrian camp with the Duke of Chartres, Colonel Thouvenot, and two squadrons of Berchiny: the rest of his army returned to the camp of Famars, to join the troops commanded by Dampierre. Not to speak of higher motives, the improvidence and presumption of Dumouriez were extraordinary, and are difficult to be accounted for but on the principle that from the rapid and unforeseen succession of events, no one looked to consequences: the present object was as much as they could attend to, and in the excessive excitement and agitation of the moment, men were disposed to attribute the strong impulse they received from without to their own energy and self-importance, and to imagine they could direct the course of the torrent as they pleased instead of being merely the sport and victims of external circumstances. The Convention, on hearing of the arrest of the Commissioners, lost no time in declaring Dumouriez a traitor to his country, authorised every citizen to dispatch him, set a price upon his head, decreed the famous Committee of Public Safety, and banished the Duke of Orleans and all the Bourbons from the Republic. Though the Brissotins condemned Dumouriez as much as the Mountain, yet they were accused of being secretly his accomplices, and from his defection may be dated their fall. In fact, the public mind, both by multiplied dangers and repeated treachery, was worked up to a pitch little short of frenzy: the Jacobins and the majority of the Convention wished and found it necessary to give to this feeling the extremest impulse of which it was capable both by words and actions: the Gironde not only did not go the same lengths, but blamed and strove to throw a damp on those who did, they therefore became odious to their antagonists as counting a fair and spotless popularity while they did all the disagreeable but (as they conceived) indispensable work of the Revolution, and they were determined to get rid of them, cost what it would.

Nor did they rest till they had effected this object, partly urged on by jealousy of their rivals, partly by a strong sense of the urgency of the moment, and partly by an indifference to or rather a complacency in the dreadful means, by which their triumph (and that of the Republic) was to be secured.

Several furious and indecent altercations took place time after time. Threats and recriminations passed. Marat and Hebert, the most profligate and inflammatory writers on the side of the Mountain, were denounced by the other party; imprisoned, and released in triumph by the mob. Isnard, one of the principal Brissotins, was displaced, and Herault Sechelles appointed President of the Convention in his stead. Insurrection followed insurrection, the armed force was called out not to quell them, but to join them. A sacrifice was wanted for the altars of fear and vengeance, nor was the public impatience to be appeased without it, and after a violent conflict and tumultuary sitting, during which the members of the Guonde evinced the greatest intrepidity and firmness, while Henriot, the commander of the National Guard, pointed his cannon against the Convention. Marat mounted the tribune, and dictated to the Assembly a list of the obnoxious members, striking out and inserting what names he pleased at his own option. He struck out the names of Dussaulx, Lanthenas, and Ducos, and inserted that of Valazé. The list of illustrious patriots who were thus proscribed, and whose names will be for ever an honour and a disgrace to their country, stands thus: Gensonné, Guadet, Brissot, Gorsas, Petion, Vergniaud, Salles, Barbaroux, Chambon, Buzot, Buotheau, Lidon, Rabaud, La Source, Lanjuinais, Ghangeneuve, Lehardy, Lesage, Louvet, Valazé, the minister for foreign affairs Le Brun, the minister of finance Claviere, and the members of the Committee of Twelve, Kervelegan, Gardien, Rabaud St Etienne, Boileau, Bertrand, Vigée, Molliveau, Henri La Riviere, Gomaire, and Beigong. This happened on the 2nd of June; and

from this time the Convention was dictated to by the Committees, the Clubs, or by sudden and frequent insurrections of the people. Thus fell the Gironde, the true representatives of liberty, men of enlightened minds, of patriotic sentiments, and mild and moderate principles, but who necessarily gave place to those men of violence and blood, who, rising out of the perilous and unnatural situation in which the Republic was placed, were perhaps alone fitted, by their furious fanaticism and disregard of all ordinary feelings, to carry the Revolution triumphant through its difficulties, by opposing remorseless hatred to the cold-blooded and persevering efforts of tyranny without, and cruelty and the thirst of vengeance to treachery and malice within. Virtue was not strong enough for this fiery ordeal, and it was necessary to oppose the vices of anarchy to the vices of despotism.

Some of the Girondins, with their usual indecision and want of concert, remained after the 2nd of June to take their trial and answer the charges against them, such as Vergniaud, Gensonné, Ducos, Fonfrédó, &c; the others fled, as Petion, Barbaroux, Gaudet, Louvet, Buzot, Lanjuinais, and so on. The last were the most obnoxious, and concluded themselves in the most imminent danger. They retired to Evreux, the Department of Eure, where Buzot had great influence, and from thence to Caen in Calvados. This town became the centre of an insurrection against the Convention under General Wimpfen, which Brittany soon after joined. It was from hence that Charlotte Corday set out for Paris, for the purpose of taking away the life of Marat, which she carried into effect. On her trial she answered her judges with great calmness and frankness that her object (which she had long meditated) was to rid her country of a tyrant, and she suffered with unmoved constancy and a beautiful modesty of character, being less afraid of death than insult. Her appearance and behaviour so captivated a young man of the name of Adam Lux, of Mayence, that he loudly demanded to

share her fate, and was executed with her. The blow she had aimed, though mortal, did not, however, produce the immediate result she intended. Marat after his assassination became an object of greater enthusiasm than ever to the multitude; his name was invoked in all public meetings, his bust was placed in all the popular societies, and the Convention was constrained to award him the honours of the Pantheon.

Nearly at the same time Lyon, Marseilles, and Bordeaux took up arms against the Convention, and a great many of the southern Departments favoured the revolt. The Royalists seized the opportunity to turn the spirit of disaffection to their own advantage. Lyon had always had a bias towards the ancient *regime* from its extensive and lucrative manufactures of silk and embroidery, which rendered it dependent on the higher classes. As long ago as the year 1790, and while the emigrant princes were at the court of Turin, it had attempted a rising, but without effect. After the 10th of August 1792, Chalier, an Italian mountebank and a pretended imitator of Marat, was sent there. From his cruelty and insolence, he soon came to blows with the inhabitants, his party was vanquished, and he himself taken prisoner and executed. While the Convention was calling the people to an account for this outrage, the insurrection of Calvados broke out, Lyon on this openly raised the standard of revolt, levied an army of 20,000 men, and gave the command of its forces to the royalist general Piccy, and to the Marquis de Virieux, at the same time concerting hostile measures with the King of Sardinia.

At Marseilles the news of the 31st of May and 2nd of June had stirred up the partisans of the Gironde. Rebecqui, then deputy, who was one of them, had proceeded thither in all haste, but on finding the turn things were likely to take in the hands of the Royalists, he threw himself in despair into the harbour of Marseilles. Toulon, Nismes, Montauban, and the principal cities of the South followed the same ex-

ample Bordeaux, Nantes, Brest, and L'Orient, were all favourably inclined to the cause of the proscribed members, but were held in check by the Jacobin party, and by the necessity of resisting the Royalists of the West. The latter after their first successes, had taken possession of Bressuire, Argenton, and Thouais. On the 6th of June the Vendean army, composed of 40,000 men under Cathelineau, Lescure, Stofflet, and La Rochejacquelin, marched against Saumur and took it by storm. Cathelineau, having left a garrison in this place, proceeded to and took Angers, passed the Loire, and, under pretence of marching upon Tours and Mans, turned suddenly towards Nantes, which he attacked on the right bank, while Charette was to attack it on the left. Everything seemed conspiring to overwhelm the Convention with destruction. Menaced with civil war in the South and in the West, its armies were beaten in the North and in the Pyrenees. The wreck of the army of Dumouriez, which had united at the camp of Famars under the command of Dampierre, had been obliged to retire, after sustaining a defeat, before the cannon of Bouchain. Dampierre himself was killed. Custine had been called from the army of the Moselle to that of the North, without doing any good. Valenciennes, Condé were taken, the army, chased from position to position, retired behind the Scarpe in front of Ayras. Mayence, pressed by famine and the enemy, was forced to capitulate. The affairs of the Republic could not be in a worse situation.

The first thing the Convention did in these circumstances was to adopt the new Constitution, and offer it to the acceptance of the primary assemblies. This Constitution, which had been drawn up chiefly by Hérault de Séchelles, corresponded with the notions of the time, it was one of pure democracy. It annulled the qualifications which had been required by the first Constitution (of 1789) to enable individuals to vote, it allowed of no intermediate body of electors, and made every citizen eligible to the highest offices.







enemies, they wished to make sure of secret ones, and the famous law *Of the Suspected* was passed. Strangers and the partisans of the ancient order of things, of all degrees and classes, moderate republicans and constitutional royalists, were put under arrest to be kept in custody till a peace. An army of 6000 soldiers and 1000 cannoneers was ordered to watch the interior. Each indigent citizen received an allowance of forty sous a day to attend to the duties of his post, and certificates of *civism* were given to those who were fixed upon to co-operate in the great work of deliverance. Thus precautions were taken to meet the difficulties which rose up on all sides, and the results answered to the energy and zeal called into action.

The insurrection of Calvados was suppressed the first. The favouers of the Girondins, who were at the head of it, were not hearty in the cause, and gave in their submission at Caen, where the Commissioners of the Convention did not soil their victory with blood. On the other side of France, General Cartaux advanced against the insurgents of the South, beat them twice, entered Marseilles, and Provence submitted as Calvados had done. Toulon still held out, the royalists there having called in the aid of the English fleet under Admiral Hood, who with 8000 Spaniards took possession of the harbour and forts, and proclaimed the Dauphin as Louis XVII. The Revolutionary Commissioners made their triumphal entry into the revolted capitals, Robert Lindet was sent to Caen, Tallien to Bordeaux, Barras and Freron to Marseilles. Lyon was besieged by Kellermann, who commanded the army of the Alps. It was surrounded on all sides, and made a vigorous and obstinate defence, but pressed by hunger, and without hope of succour from the Piedmontese troops which had been repulsed by the French general, it surrendered. Some months after, Toulon, the only formidable point of resistance left in the South, was obliged to yield without a blow to the skilful combina-

tions of Buonaparte as commandant of artillery there, whose distinguished military talents were first shown on this occasion, of which a more particular account will be given in the sequel

The Convention was on all sides victorious. The Vendéans, having failed in their attempt upon Nantes, after losing a great number of men and their Generalissimo Cathelineau, retreated within their own territory. Here they withstood for a time a feeble and desultory mode of warfare, till the Convention sent General Lechelle against them; who, seconded by the garrison of Mayence, 17,000 strong, who had marched out with the honours of war, but who could not serve against the Coalition by the terms of their capitulation for a year, defeated the insurgent troops in four several engagements, and killed three of their generals, Lescure, Bonchamps, and D'Elbée. Eighty thousand of them attempted to emigrate and cross Brittany, but were intercepted, put to the rout, and slaughtered at Grandville, Mans, and Savenay, and scarcely a handful of them escaped to return to their own country. These disasters, with the taking of the Isle of Noirmoutiers and the death of La Rochejacqueuin, left the Republicans the masters of the field. The Committee of Public Safety, thinking the insurrection suppressed but not extinguished, resorted to a terrible system of extermination to prevent its breaking out afresh. General Thuriot occupied La Vendée with sixteen entrenched camps, twelve moveable columns, with the appropriate title of *Infernal Columns*, scoured the country in all directions, carrying fire and sword along with them, burnt down the woods, carried off the cattle, and spread terror and havoc through the adjoining districts. The spirit of the unfortunate people was, however, only subdued for a while by these extreme measures, which served to exasperate rather than heal the original cause of discontent, it rose again and again in spite of defeat, and proved in the end, and long after, triumphant. Perhaps in all cases, after repelling force

by force, clemency is the soundest policy, and the surest means of disarming prejudice. It is impossible to provide against future contingencies, except by absolute destruction, since mere intimidation cannot answer this purpose beyond the present moment; or when appalling and excessive, leaves an odium on any cause which by no means adds to its strength or security. Had the system of conciliation practised by Buonaparte been tried in the first instance, and after the first decisive reverses, probably the wounds inflicted on local attachments and rooted bigotry might not have been so deep as to be incurable.

The foreign armies had been repulsed in like manner on the frontier of France. After the taking of Valenciennes and Conde, and laying siege to Maubeuge and Quesnoy, the Allies directed their march on Cassel, Hondschote, and Fumes, under the command of the Duke of York. Custine had been replaced by Houchard, who beat the English at Hondschote and forced them to retreat. Houchard was himself succeeded by Jourdan, who took the command of the army of the North, gained the great battle of Wattignies over the Prince of Coburg, raised the siege of Maubeuge, and assumed the offensive along his whole line of operations. The same success attended the Republicans in other quarters. What Jourdan had performed with the army of the North, Hoché and Pichegru did with the army of the Moselle, and Kellermann with the army of the Alps. The Allies were everywhere repulsed and kept in check. The new generals were chosen by the faction of the Mountain; and the new successes were attributable to the enterprising and patriotic genius of Carnot, who directed the triumphant campaigns of 1793 and 1794.

During the continuance of this period, the Committee of Public Safety exercised the most terrible severity within the Republic. It crushed its enemies without, it exterminated them within. Lyon was made a terrible example of, its name was changed to

that of Ville-Affianchie, its buildings razed to the ground, its inhabitants dispatched in groups by discharges of grape-shot Collot d'Herbois, Fouché, and Couthon were sent to superintend these revolting executions. Nearly the same scenes were repeated at Marseilles, at Toulon, and Bordeaux, and even with aggravated cruelty and an abominable levity at Nantes, Cambrai, and Arras, under Carrier and Joseph Lebon, who seemed to have worked up their natural ferocity or patriotic rage to the frenzy of demons. At Nantes ship-loads of victims were sunk in the river,\* and young men and women tied naked together and drowned in this manner, which was called a *republican marriage*. The inhabitants and municipalities of towns which had thrown off their allegiance to the Convention were shot promiscuously, and as it were in sport, as they came out to meet the Commissioners and to give in their submission. The whole country seemed one vast conflagration of revolt and vengeance. The shrieks of death were blended with the yell of the assassin and the laughter of buffoons. The excesses daily and hourly committed might be supposed to sharpen the invention and harden the feelings, or natural ferocity combining with the most brutal levity, took advantage of the licence of the time and of the strong measures of retribution and precaution which were no doubt necessary, to carry their sanguinary impulses or wanton caprices into effect, unquestioned and applauded. It was thus that one of the Parisian rabble plucked Bailly by the beard when waiting for the executioner, and said, "You tremble, Bailly!" to which he answered, "It is with cold, then!" Lavoisier, Chamfort, Barthelemy, Malesherbes, all that was most enlightened, disinterested, patriotic, fell a sacrifice, as if in scorn and wanton defiance. Humanity, that had been mocked, outraged, "struck most serpent-like," seemed to hurl back the taunt and

\* To the number of several hundreds





“ Allons, enfans de la patrie,  
Le jour de gloire est arrivé  
Contre nous de la tyrannie  
La main sanglante est levée,” &c

The other chiefs of this party almost all came to a miserable end. Salles, Gaudet, Barbaroux were discovered in the caverns of St Emiliou, near Bordeaux, and perished on the public scaffold there. Petion and Buzot, after wandering about for some time, put an end to themselves, and were found dead in a field, half-devoured by the wolves. Rabaud St Etienne was betrayed by an intimate friend. Madame Roland was also condemned, and suffered with the constancy of a Roman matron. Her husband, on hearing of her death, quitted his place of concealment, and killed himself in the middle of the high road. Condoicet, who had been outlawed some time after the 2nd of June, was seized, but escaped punishment by taking poison. Louvet, Kervelegan, Lanjumeau, Henri La Riviere, Le Sage, La Reveillere-Lepaux were the only ones who in secure retreats waited for the end of this furious tempest.

From this time to the death of Robespierre the *reign of terror* was established without intermission or obstacle. Not only those who disapproved of the existing system were persecuted with the utmost rigour and acrimony, but all those who did not approve of the utmost severity exercised against the first, on the slightest suspicion and on the most ridiculous grounds, fell equally a sacrifice (in a continually widening circle) to their ill-timed scruples and moderation, party succeeded party, and the most daring and unprincipled was sure to prevail. There was one answer to every objection, *the enemies of the country were to be destroyed at all events*, and all those who differed with you a hair's-breadth as to the means of saving the Republic, or drew back from the necessity of the wildest and most unwarrantable step that had this pretext, were of course the enemies of their country, and came under the proposed penalty.



The original opponents of the Revolution, seeing the pass to which things had come, even beyond their expectations, redoubled their efforts to increase the dismay and confusion, by affecting the utmost horror at their own handiwork. The sun of Liberty was in eclipse, while the crested hydra of the coalition glared round the horizon. The atmosphere was dark and sultry. There was a dead pause, a stillness in the air, except as the silence was broken by a shout like distant thunder or the wild chaunt of patriotic songs. There was a fear, as in the time of a plague, a fierceness as before and after a deadly strife. It was a civil war raging in the heart of a great city as in a field of battle, and turning it into a charnel-house. The eye was sleepless, the brain heated. Sights of horror grew familiar to the mind, which had no other choice than that of being either the victim or the executioner. What at first was stern necessity or public duty, became a habit and a sport; and the arm inured to slaughter, struck at random and spared neither friend nor foe. The soul, harrowed up by the most appalling spectacles, could not do without them, and "nursed the dreadful appetite of death." The habit of going to the place of execution resembled that of visiting a theatre. Legal murder was the order of the day, a holiday sight, till France became one scene of wild disorder, and the Revolution a stage of blood!

The chief actor in this tragic scene, the presiding demon of the storm, was Robespierre. He ruled the Committee of Public Safety, who ruled the Convention by an instinct of terror, by the scent of blood. He was urged on in his pitiless career by fear, which he had by natural constitution, and by vanity, which arose from education and circumstances. Austere, simple in manners, incorruptible,\* inflexible, he attained to distinction by the strictness of his principles,

\* "At the time," says Napoleon, "that he was deluging France with blood, if Pitt had offered him two millions of money to betray the Republic, he would have rejected it with disdain."

by the unity of his purposes, and by a certain want of versatility and resources, which confined him to that place in the political machine into which opportunity had forced him, and for which alone he was fitted. Brought up with hopes of making a figure at the bar, and prevented by want of capacity for public speaking, disappointed vanity is said to have become the ruling passion of his life, and the love of power the sole, unremitting motive of all his actions. As he could not inspire admiration, he would at least excite fear, and as he could not distinguish himself by a superior display of talents, he would be foremost in the field of action by the unbending and remorseless nature of his will. He had no other passions or pursuits to divert him from this single one, the dryness and rigidity of his understanding made him a dupe and instrument of certain abstract dogmas, and the regularity of his life and the absence of common vices, lent a colour, both in his own eyes, and those of others, to his pretensions to political virtue. It is remarkable that he lived in the same house from the time he came from Arias till he was taken to the scaffold—a house in the Rue St Honoré, belonging to a carpenter of the name of Duplessis, whose daughter he was to have married. Tallien, who knew him well, said of him, that he had more virtue than those who beheaded him, that he meant well, but was a coward. The truth is, in one word, he was a natural bigot, that is, a person extremely tenacious of certain feelings and opinions, from an utter inability to conceive of any thing beyond them, or to suppose that others do, and he was ready, like all such persons (monks, inquisitors, sectaries) to sacrifice every thing else to the establishing those opinions, and strengthening the influence that enabled him to do so. Instances have been cited of personal pique and malice, but this could not have been the case generally; and the mass of his victims who did not come up to his standard of political orthodoxy must have been consigned to the guillotine, as heretics were handed

over to the secular power, without any hatred except to their opinions and want of faith. From a little before the death of the King to the condemnation of the Girondins, he had been advancing gradually in popularity and power, and had been uniform, indefatigable, inexorable in the pursuit of his objects till after the fall of the principal Brissotins, and then he so far relaxed that he interposed to save the sixty-three remaining deputies, and did so with effect, in this showing more management than fanaticism or cruelty, as if he was bound to remove the leaders who stood in his way as rivals, but was willing to make friends of the rest. After this, he strove to make a clear stage, and to narrow the question of patriotism and public spirit to very circumscribed limits. By extravagant assumptions and the unbounded and unfeeling exercise of power, he had worked himself up to an incredible pitch of arrogance and self-sufficiency. He considered his doctrines as infallible, his will as law; whoever opposed the one or doubted the other, was in his mind worthy of condign punishment, and forthwith consigned to it as a defaulter to the public good, without reprieve or delay. The least offence against the Republic, the smallest disrespect to its guardians or to the "true patriots," was a crime of the highest magnitude, and not to denounce or pursue this offence with unrelenting severity, or to feel pity for the sufferers, or hesitation as to the justice of their sentence, was equally to betray the interests of their country, and to deserve death. The majesty of the Republic was inviolable, and every slight offered to it was unpardonable. It was a sort of *demonism* in political orthodoxy, by which, under pretence of providing for the extreme safety of the country, all the inhabitants would be swept out of it, and made over to a speedy death, so that they could no longer harbour designs against the state, or breathe a murmur against its head. It in fact gave him *carte blanche* to hunt down and proscribe whom he pleased, on the true and infallible principles of the greatest

possible good to the community In this manner he first got rid of Hebert, Anacharsis Cloots, Chaumette, and the leaders of the Commune, as enemies to the Republic by spreading atheism and indecency He next got rid of Danton and Camille-Desmoulins, as enemies to the Republic, by setting an example of immorality in their lives and moderation in their writings Danton fell a sacrifice to this deliberate and technical system of proscription, by being too proud to defend himself, too indolent to crush his adversary Legendre attempted a friendly defence of him, and in the language of the day, "answered for his purity as for his own" But the favourable disposition which this bold declaration drew forth was instantly stifled by a few words from Robespierre Danton was advised to escape, but showed a reluctance to do so, and even at the last moment, when assured that the Committee were deliberating on his arrest, said, "They dare not!" There are certain terms on which all men desire to live, and he who has prided himself on daring everything against others is not willing to sink so low in his own estimation as to believe it possible that they should venture to retaliate upon him A little before this, Danton had an interview with Robespierre, whose manner was cold and dry He remonstrated against indiscriminate severity, and observed, "It is time to distinguish between the innocent and guilty!" "And who has told you," replied Robespierre, "that a single innocent person has suffered?" Danton, turning to a friend who accompanied him, made answer, "What say you? Not a single innocent person has perished!" This speech of Robespierre shows either consummate hypocrisy, or rather that he had arrived at the highest possible pitch of voluntary self-deception, which was determined to allow of no imputation on his past conduct that no check might be put upon it in future It was only by shutting his eyes, obstinately and on system, that he could hope not to be staggered by the havoc he made around him Hebert and his crew of

atheists had died miserably Danton and his friends, Lacroix, Philippeau, Westermann, and Camille-Desmoulins, displayed the greatest intrepidity and spirit both at their trial and death Camille-Desmoulins, a young and high-spirited enthusiast, could not to the last comprehend his fate, or even believe it "Behold," he said, as he was led to execution, "the reward of the first apostle of liberty!" Danton amused himself during his trial with throwing little paper pellets at his judges When the sentence was pronounced, he cried, "I draw Robespierre after me Robespierre will follow me;" and died with the name of his wife on his lips

Robespierre associated himself most intimately with St Just and Couthon. The latter was his creature, a man with a mild expression of countenance, and who had lost the use of one side of his body, but in whom feebleness and pain were joined with a remorseless cruelty of disposition St Just was not more than five-and-twenty, with regular and striking features, long dark hair, austere in manners like Robespierre, but more enthusiastic, and the image of a thousand religious or political fanatics, who being of a gloomy temperament, and full of visionary aspirations, think that good is always to be worked out of evil, and are ready to sacrifice themselves and the whole world to any scheme they have set their minds upon He was nicknamed the *Apocalyptic*. When the object was to intimidate the Convention, it is said, St Just was charged with the report of the Committee of Public Safety, when it was intended to take them by surprise, Couthon was employed if there arose any murmur of disapprobation, or any hesitation, Robespierre came forward, and with a word or look, all returned into silence and terror. The union of these men was formidable to others, and in the end proved fatal to their own safety They separated themselves more and more from the other members of the Committee, who in return became jealous of their exclusive and domineering influence. Besides, the tone of the

Triumvrate was too saturnine and morbid for the licentious spirit of the times. Except the delight in blood, there was nothing in common between them and the multitude. They wished to repress impiety and immorality. Robespierre himself aimed not merely at being a dictator in politics, but a stern and inflexible censor of faith and morals. He had done himself considerable harm by procuring toleration for the Catholic religion, and by bringing forward a decree, acknowledging the existence of the Supreme Being. Indeed, to read his speeches, one would suppose that he was a perfect pattern of piety and goodness; a man of purer eyes than to behold iniquity, and who, in order that it might not exist, required to have the lives of all men laid at his feet, to be extinguished at the least alarm to patriotism or virtue. About this time, a young girl, named Cecilia Renault, made an attempt on his life; and being questioned what her business was with him, said she wanted to see what a tyrant was like\*. The Clubs, the Convention rang with the most fulsome congratulations on his escape, which was openly attributed to the good genius of the Republic and to the interposition of the Supreme Being, in gratitude for having proclaimed his existence! Such was the madness of the times. No small share of ridicule was thrown on him for his supposed connexion with an old woman of the name of Catherine Theot, who had set up for a prophetess, and who had foretold a new Messiah. Several sarcasms were pretty broadly directed against Robespierre in a report made by Vadier on the subject, and the seeds of dissension thus sown soon grew to a head. In the mean time, he delivered a fine discourse on patriotism, humanity, and all the virtues. Barrère also made an eloquent and striking report on the best means of putting a stop to mendicity; and regular reports were read on the state of literature and the fine arts, which breathed nothing

\* A man of the name of Admiral also watched for him with the same purpose, but not finding him, struck Collet d'Herbois

but refined taste and feeling The French are a mercurial people, and pass with wonderful ease "from grave to gay, from lively to severe" Nothing can engross them long or wholly. The Committee of Public Safety devoted, at the time we speak of, twenty hours out of the four-and-twenty to business. They had to attend the Committee in the morning, the Convention in the evening, and sat up nearly all night in examining papers and writing out reports. How they got through it they knew not—except that their country's welfare required their services! They thought themselves heroes, martyrs, and that they were not only playing a conspicuous part on the stage of the world, but entitling themselves to the gratitude and admiration of posterity They resembled men in a dream Shortly after all this, the Parisians danced in the Gardens of the Tuileries as if nothing had happened, the guillotine was laid by as a child's plaything, and the surviving actors in the scene lurked in obscure corners, like old family portraits, out of date and never thought of!

The day fixed for the celebration of the new religious worship decreed by the Convention through the whole extent of the Republic now approached. Robespierre was unanimously chosen president of the Convention, that he might act as high-priest of the ceremony He appeared on this occasion at the head of the Assembly, his countenance beaming with confidence and joy, which was a thing unusual with him. He walked a certain number of paces before his colleagues, attired in a splendid dress, holding flowers and ears of corn in his hand, and the object of universal attention He addressed the people from a platform in front of the Tuileries, hung with appropriate designs by the celebrated David All looked forward to something extraordinary as the result of this imposing attitude and ostentatious display, his enemies expected an attempt at usurpation, the people in general a relaxation of the system of reverence How little this was to understand the

nature of the passions! The glossy sleekness of the panther's skin does not imply his tameness, and his fawning eye dooms its prey while it glitters. He went on as before. No ray of hope appeared even in his harangue to the people, which was as dull as it was disputing. "To-day," he cried, "let us give ourselves up to the transports of a pure enjoyment! To-morrow we will combat vice and tyranny anew!" These ideas had taken such strong possession of his mind that he was haunted by them; nor could he relieve them by any others. He was no longer a voluntary agent, but the mere slave of habitual and violent excitement, which he could not do a moment without. Only two days after, Couthon came to the Convention to propose a fresh law which gave the Revolutionary tribunal new and unlimited powers, and subjected to their decision the lives of the members of the Convention itself. This was thought too much. Ruamps said, "If this law passes, we have only to blow out our brains with a pistol;" and moved an adjournment. Robespierre opposed the adjournment, and said that since faction had ceased, the Convention had learned to decide on the spot. The law passed after a few minutes' discussion. But the next day, some members seriously alarmed, returned to the charge, particularly Merlin and Bourdon de l'Oise, who wished to insert a saving clause for the protection of their own body from the power of the tribunal. At this unexpected opposition Robespierre grew insolent and furious, and Merlin's clause was withdrawn *as injurious to the Committee*. This hideous law, which condemned without a jury, without defence, without evidence, and without a trial all classes and orders of men, lasted about two months, during which time *fournées*, that is, batches of victims came into fashion, and fifty persons on an average were every day sent to the guillotine, but it was the last triumph of Robespierre and his party.

While they had other enemies to contend with all went on well, but left to themselves, dissensions arose among them, old grievances were ripped up,



they were at odds on the subject of religion, Billaud Vaïennes and others preferring the worship of Reason to the worship of the Supreme Being; and Robespierre, who was insatiable in his demands and drew the lines of proscription closer and closer round him, beginning to indicate victims out of his own party and snuff the blood of his coadjutors, they grew suspicious and alarmed and turned against him. Mortified at finding that they were not ready to put their lives in his hand, he became shy and retired, absented himself from the Committee and Convention, and only repaired occasionally to the Club of the Jacobins, where he mourned over the fate of the proscribed patriots, the danger of the Republic, and talked of dying. He had the whine as well as the spring of the tiger, and disappointed of his prey, turned round to lament over himself as an injured and persecuted man. St Just was with the army of the North. He wrote to him to return immediately. From the reception of the latter by the Committees, who were cold, and suspended their debates when he entered, he perceived there was no time to lose. They concerted measures together, and the next day, July 26th (1794,) Robespierre came to the Convention at an early hour, mounted the tribune, and pronounced a long and elaborate discourse in his own defence, and concluding (for he was not to be diverted from his object) with a proposal to purify the Committees and rid the Convention of corruption, that is, to sacrifice all those in either who were not the creatures of his will and did not agree with all his notions of liberty and justice. Not a murmur of disapprobation or applause was heard, but a long silence prevailed after he had ended; and the members looked at each other in fear and uncertainty. At length Leconte moved the printing of the discourse. This proposal was the signal for a general commotion. Bourdon de l'Oise opposed the printing of the discourse, which however was carried, but the members of the Committees threatened by Robespierre, seeing

the tameness of the Convention, rallied and attacked him one by one; Vadier began, and Cambon, Billaud-Varennes, Panis, and others followed, each taking courage from the other. Freron proposed to rescind the law which placed the lives of the Convention at the disposal of the Committees, but it was the members of these Committees that were the greatest enemies of Robespierre, and it was only in concert with them that he could be overthrown. Freron had observed that while this law remained in force, the deputies durst not express their opinions. "He who dares not express his opinion freely for fear of the Committee," said Billaud-Varennes, "is not worthy to be the representative of the people." So the motion of Freron was withdrawn, but the vote to print the discourse of Robespierre was also recalled, and it was ordered to be submitted to the examination of the Committees. He went from the Convention to the Jacobins, where he was received with enthusiasm, and where he complained of the Convention in sending his discourse to be judged of by his enemies, and talked of being ready, if it were necessary, to drink the cup of Socrates. "I will drink it with you," exclaimed a member of the Club, "the enemies of Robespierre are the enemies of their country." It was agreed that the Club and the Commune should be ready next day for an attack on the Convention, to which Robespierre was to repair early with his friends.

The Committees, united by their common danger, deliberated the whole night. St Just appeared among them, and they endeavoured to detach him from the Triumvirate, but in vain. "You have grieved my heart," he said at parting from them, "but I go to open it to the Convention." The members of the Convention had come to an understanding during the night, though with difficulty, the Mountain with the Right and with the Plain—all were resolved against Robespierre. The members met early on the 27th of July. Towards eleven o'clock they collected in the

passages of the hall, encouraging one another. Bourdon de l'Oise, a member of the Mountain, approached the moderate Durand Maillane, and pressing his hand, cried, "Oh! the brave men, the members of the Right!" Rovere and Tallien did the same, and joined their felicitations to those of Boudon. At noon, through the door of the hall, they saw St. Just mount the tribune. "Now is the time," said Tallien. Robespierre had placed himself on a seat in front of the tribune, no doubt to intimidate his antagonists by his looks. St. Just began to complain of the behaviour of the Convention. He was suddenly interrupted by Tallien, who said, "No good citizen can refrain from shedding tears over the unfortunate state of the country; we hear of nothing but misconduct and dangers to be apprehended from the members of the government. I demand that the curtain which conceals these secret enemies be entirely torn asunder!"—"It must, it must," was repeated from all parts of the assembly. Billaud Varennes then took up the question. "Yesterday," said he, "the Jacobin Club was filled with men who vomited out calumnies against the true patriots, and who threatened to cut the throats of the National Convention. I see one of them on the Mountain"—"Let him be instantly seized," was the general cry, and the guards took him into custody. Billaud continued. He said that the Convention was placed in the most imminent peril, and that it would perish, if it was irresolute. "No, no," replied all the members, "we swear to save the Republic;" and the galleries applauded and cried, "*Long live the National Convention!*" Lebas attempted to justify the Triumvirs, but could not be heard, and Billaud Varennes renewed his attacks on Robespierre, denounced his plans of dictatorship, and named his accomplices. All eyes were turned on the latter; he remained for a long time unmoved, but at last he could contain himself no longer, and rushed to the tribune. Instantly the words, "*Down with the tyrant! down with the tyrant!*" were heard on all

sides, and hindered him from speaking Tallien then said, "I just now demanded that the veil should be torn off, it is so completely I yesterday saw the sitting of the Jacobins, I trembled for the country; I saw the army of the new Cromwell formed, and I armed myself with a poniard to pierce his bosom, if the National Convention had not the courage to decree his accusation!" He then drew out his poniard, brandished it in the eyes of the Convention,\* and demanded the arrest of Henriot, the Commandant of the armed force, which was immediately carried amidst the cries of "*Long live the Republic!*" Billaud also obtained a decree for the arrest of three of Robespierre's most daring accomplices, Dumas, Boulanger, and Dufresne Vadier reverted to the subject of Catherine Theot, whom he considered as an agent of the Triumvir "Let us not turn the question from its true object," interrupted Tallien "I will take care to bring it back to it," said Robespierre "Let us attend to the tyrant," replied Tallien, and attacked him anew with greater vigour Robespierre, who had several times endeavoured to speak, who by turns ascended and descended the steps of the tribune, whose voice was always drowned by the cries of "*Down with the tyrant!*" and by the noise of the bell which the president Thuriot shook incessantly, made one last effort to obtain a hearing "For the last time, I ask, will you suffer me to speak, president of assassins?" But Thuriot continued to ring the bell as before Robespierre, then, having in vain turned round to the galleries, which remained immovable, addressed himself to the Right side of the Convention. "Men of pure minds, men of virtue," he exclaimed, "it is to you I appeal, grant me a hearing, which assassins refuse me!" Not a word of encouragement or reply, but a dead silence Then for the first time disconcerted, he went back to his place, and sunk down on his seat, ever-

\* Was this before or after Mr Burke drew out his in the English House of Commons?

come with fatigue and rage. His mouth foamed, his voice failed "Wretch," said a member of the Mountain, "the blood of Danton chokes thee!" His arrest was then decreed. His brother desired to incur the same sentence, and Lebas also at his own request was included in it. The members against whom this decree passed were the two Robespierres, Couthon, Lebas, and St Just. The last, after remaining a long time in the tribune with unchanged countenance, returned to his seat: during this long and agitated scene, he had shown no signs of dismay. The accused were delivered over to the Gendarmes, who led them away amidst general acclamations. Robespierre as he left the hall said, "The Republic is lost, and robbers triumph!" It was half-past five in the afternoon; the sitting was suspended till seven o'clock.

Henriot with Payan and Fleuriot had been waiting at the Hôtel de Ville, and sent word to Robespierre to stand firm and not fear any thing. Henriot in the mean time, as he paraded the streets with a pistol in his hand, inciting the citizens to take arms against the Convention, was seized and sent to the Committee of General Safety. The Commune or Municipality of Paris on hearing of the arrest of Robespierre, hastened to the spot and liberated both him and his accomplices from prison, conducting them to the Hôtel de Ville amidst cries of "*Robespierre for ever! Perish the traitors!*" The Convention, as soon as it met again, was informed of the change in the state of affairs, the rising of the Commune, the release of the prisoners, and the fury of the Jacobins. Some of the members of the Committee of General Safety now came running to the Convention with the alarming intelligence that Coffinhal at the head of 2000 cannoneers had rescued Henriot out of their hands, and that their commandant had prevailed on these men to turn their pieces against the Convention. The President on this put on his hat in sign of distress, and declared, "It was time to die at their posts." All the members were resolved, and they immediately

outlawed Henriot. Fortunately he could not prevail on his cannoneers to fire, and this decided the events of the day. The Convention also placed the conspirators as well as the insurgents of the Commune out of the protection of the law, and assembled a force to march against them. The Sections who had hitherto hesitated, doubtful of the issue, now declared in favour of the Convention, and then battalions defiled in succession before them. It was now midnight. The conspirators had not stirred from the Hôtel de Ville. Robespierre, after being welcomed with shouts of applause and promises of victory, was admitted to the general council and seated between Payan and Fleuriot. The Place de Grève was full of men, of bayonets, pikes, and cannon. They waited only for the arrival of the Sections, for whose favourable disposition Henriot answered, as well as several of their own deputies who were present. Everything seemed to augur success. An executive commission was appointed, addresses were prepared for the armies, and lists of proscription made out. But at a little after midnight none of the Sections had appeared, no order had been issued, the Triumvirs still sat, and the multitude assembled in the Place de Grève began to waver in their resolution, when some emissaries of the new-raised troops glided in among them, crying "*Long live the Convention!*" The proclamation was then read, putting the *Commune hors la loi*, and after hearing it, the mob quietly dispersed. Henriot, coming out soon after to encourage them, to his utter amazement found the Place de Grève empty. At this instant, the troops of the Convention came up, surrounded the Hôtel de Ville, occupied the avenues, and then, before any warning of their approach had been given, raised the cry of "*Long live the National Convention!*"

The conspirators finding all lost, attempted to escape from the hands of their enemies by destroying themselves. Robespierre shattered his jawbone with a pistol-ball. Lebas followed his example, but suc-

ceeded better. The younger Robespierre threw himself headlong from the window of the third story, but survived his fall. Couthon gave himself several stabs with an irresolute hand. St Just awaited his fate. Coffinhal blamed the hesitation of Henriot as the cause of their failure, and leaped into a common-sewer, through which he escaped. The others were taken to the Convention. Boudon entered, crying, "Victory, victory! the traitors are no more!"—"The wretched Robespierre is without," said the President, "borne on a litter, you would not have him brought in?"—"No, no!" said a number of voices, "let him be conveyed to the Place de la Revolution!" He was left some time at the Committee of General Safety, previously to his being transferred to the Conciergerie. Here, stretched on a table, his visage disfigured and bathed in blood, exposed to the gaze, the taunts, and maledictions of the crowd, he heard the different parties exult in his fall, and charge him with all the crimes that had been committed; whereas it was much more their own versatility, joining in with whatever power was uppermost and trampling on whatever side was weakest, that was the cause of all the mischief. He manifested a great deal of insensibility during the scene. He was removed to the Conciergerie, and then brought before the Revolutionary Tribunal, who after identifying his person and that of his accomplices ordered them for execution. The 10th of Thermidor (July 28th) towards five o'clock in the afternoon, he ascended the fatal car, where he was placed between Henriot and Couthon, mutilated like himself. His head was enveloped in a bloody cloth, his colour was livid, and his eyes sunk. An immense multitude pressed round the car, exhibiting the most marked and extravagant joy. They congratulated, they embraced one another, they loaded him with execrations, and came as near as possible to have a better view of him. The Gendarmes singled him out with the point of their sabres, and when the procession came opposite his

house in the Rue St Honoré, they stopped, and a group of women was formed, and they danced round the dying bier of him whose chariot wheels they would have dragged the day before (ay, and the day after, had he been successful) over a thousand victims. As for him, he seemed to look upon the crowd with pity. St Just regarded them with a steadfast eye, the others, to the number of twenty-two, were more dejected. Robespierre mounted the scaffold last, and the moment that his head fell the people applauded, and continued to do so for some minutes. The shout was echoed till it reached the gloom of prisons, where it was a reprieve from death to many who hourly expected their fate.\*

This was the end of the *Reign of Terror*, a reign that has been the wonder of our times, and the chief actors in which will not be absolved by posterity, however it may qualify the decision or prejudices of the moment. Perhaps, under all the circumstances, the system adopted (however dreadful) was necessary to repel the unprincipled aggression or secret treachery of the enemies of the Republic, the transient evil, though great, was less than the evil aimed at by the opposite side, which was no other than the final and utter extinction of the hopes, rights, and dignity of human nature. But a good cause may require the aid of bad men and bad passions to contend on equal terms with the extent of means and inveterate malignity arrayed against it by the worst; nay, it must do so, since good men have not the strength of nerve or stock of virtue to make the sacrifices or incur the responsibility unavoidable in that deadly strife which evil wages with good, power with liberty, kings with their subjects. Pure patriotism and philanthropy may be wound up to strike a terrible blow on some

\* In some cases, the event was announced to the prisoners by the waving of handkerchiefs from the tops of houses, and in one instance a family whose friend was allowed to stick a slip of paper to their linen when returned to them with the words "*Je me porte bien*," knew that some important change had happened from the simple addition, "*Ah! que je me porte bien!*"



particular occasion, but a succession of such acts hardens the heart and revolts the feelings; the good and humane either shrink from the trial or become corrupted by their "great office," and the bad come forward to relieve them from the painful alternative. A man may at first imbrue his hands in blood from a strong sense of necessity or from a sincere love of his country, but in process of time the love of justice or his country will become the professed and ostensible motive, the original repugnance will wear off, and the love of shedding blood will be an appetite and a disease in his mind, so that he will shed blood for the sake of shedding it. The execution will outrun the warrant, and for one deed of dire necessity there will be a score of acts of voluntary and systematic barbarity. The leaders in the Revolution were placed in a situation above humanity. They must either be or become demons. If they yielded to the amiable infirmities of human nature, they must give up the cause of liberty and independence, in order to insure the triumph of the last, they must first triumph over their own most cherished feelings. It is possible that the feelings of justice and mercy should survive a series of barbarous and cruel acts, sustained by the sacred sense of duty, but it is barely possible—or if in one case not in many. The act will oftener soil the motive than the motive will purify the act. There may be one Brutus, but not an assembly of Brutuses.

The excesses of the French Revolution have indeed been considered as an anomaly in history, as a case taken out of every rule or principle of morality by comparison with anything else. But there are three tests by which we may form a tolerably fair estimate of the characters and motives of those concerned in it. First, do we not see the hold which the love of power and all strong excitement takes of the mind; how it engrosses the faculties, stifles compunction, and deadens the sense of shame, even when it is purely selfish or mischievous, when it does not even pretend to have any good in view, and when we have all the world

against us? What then must be the force and confidence in itself which any such passion, ambition, cruelty, revenge, must acquire when it is founded on some lofty and high-sounding principle, patriotism, liberty, resistance to tyrants, when it aims at the public good as its consequence, and is strengthened by the applause of the multitude? Evil is strong enough in itself, when it has good for its end, it is conscience-proof. If the common bravo or cut-throat who stabs another merely to fill his purse or revenge a private grudge, can hardly be persuaded that he does wrong, and postpones his remorse till long after—he who sheds blood like water, but can contrive to do it with some fine-sounding name on his lips, will be in his own eyes little less than a saint or martyr. Robespierre was a professed admirer of Rousseau's *Social Contract* and the *Profession of Faith of a Savoyard Vicar*; and I do not conceive it impossible that he thought of these when the mob were dancing round him at his own door. He would certainly have sent any one to the guillotine who should have confuted him in a dispute on the one or have ridiculed the other, but this would not prove that he had altered his opinion of either. He was a political pedant, a violent dogmatist, weak in argument, and who wished to be strong in fact. Every head he cut off, he felt his power the greater, with the increase of power, he felt his opinions confirmed, and with the certainty of his opinions, the security for the welfare and liberty of mankind. These were the rollers on which his actions moved, spreading ruin and dismay in large and sweeping circles, these were the theoretical moulds in which cruelty, suspicion, and proscription were cast, which according to the abstractedness, or what in the cant of the day was called *purity* of his principles, embraced a wide sphere, and called for unlimited sacrifices. The habitual and increasing lust of power and gratification in counting his victims did not enable him to disentangle the sophistry which bewildered him, or prove to him that he was in the

wrong, but the contrary, however the actual results might occasionally stagger him to save was in his mind to destroy, to destroy was to save, and he remained in all probability as great a contradiction to himself as he has been an anomaly and riddle incapable of solution to others. The fault of such characters is not the absence of strictness of principle or a sense of duty, but an excess of these over their natural sensibility or instinctive prejudices, which makes them both dangerous to the community and hateful in themselves by their obstinate determination to carry into effect any dogma or theory to which they have made up their minds, be the objections or consequences what they will. Such instruments may indeed be wanted for great and trying occasions, but their being thrown into such a situation does not alter the odiousness of their characters nor the opinion of mankind concerning them. The action alone is certain; the motive is hid, the future benefit doubtful. Fame and even virtue are to a certain degree common-place things! This "differences" Robespierre from characters of mere natural ferocity or from the tyrants of antiquity, who indulged in the same insatiable barbarity only to pamper their personal pride and sense of self-importance. Robespierre was nothing in himself but as the guider of a machine, the mouthpiece of an abstract proposition, he would hurt no one but for differing from him in an opinion, which he had worked himself up to believe was the link that held the world together, the peg on which the safety of the state hung, the very "keystone that made up the arch" of the social fabric, and that if it was removed, the whole fell together to cureless ruin.

Secondly, let those who deny this view of the subject explain if they can the conduct of religious persecutors and tyrants for conscience' sake. The religious and the political fanatic are one and the same character, and run into the same errors on the same grounds. Nothing can surely surpass the excesses,

the horrors, the refinements in cruelty, and the cold-blooded malignity which have been exercised in the name and under the garb of religion. Yet who will say that this strikes at the root of religion itself, or that the instigators and perpetrators of these horrors were men without one particle of the goodness and sanctity to which they made such lofty and exclusive pretensions, that they were not many of them patterns of sincerity, piety, and the most disinterested zeal (who were ready to undergo the same fate they inflicted on others), and that in consigning their opponents to the stake, the dagger, or the dungeon, they did not believe they were doing God and man good service? The kindling pile, the paper-caps of the victims at an *auto-da-fé*, the instruments of torture, the solemn hymn, the shout of triumph, the callousness of the executioner, the gravity of the judges are circumstances sufficiently revolting to human nature, but to argue from hence that those who sanctioned, or who periodically assisted at such scenes were mere monsters of cruelty and hypocrisy, would be betraying a total ignorance of the contradictions of the human mind. All sects, all religions have retaliated upon one another where they had the power, and some of the best and most enlightened men have been zealots in the cause. We see by this how far an opinion, the conviction of an abstract and contingent good, will carry men to violate all their natural feelings and all common ties conscientiously and in the face of day; nor should we imagine that this is confined to religion. I grant that religion being of the highest and least questionable authority has caused more fanaticism and bigotry, more massacres and persecutions than anything else; but whatever cause, religion, patriotism, freedom, can strongly excite the affections and agitate large masses of men, will produce the same blindfold and headlong zeal, and plead the same excuse for the excesses of its adherents. At the same time I think that those who have been most forward to distinguish

themselves as bigots and persecutors have been generally men of austere, vindictive, and narrow minds; and their names are branded in history accordingly.

Thirdly, there is some affinity between foreign and civil war. We pour melted lead on the heads of those who are scaling the walls of a city; but this would be of no use, if those within could be found delivering up the keys with impunity. Why, then, are all our pity and complaints reserved for the evils of civil war, since the passions are as much excited and the danger as great in the one case as in the other? No one will compare Shaw the Lifeguards-man with the celebrated Coupe-Tête; the one was a gallant soldier, the other a sneaking villain; yet the one cut off as many heads in a day as the other, it is not the blood shed then, but the manner and motive; the one braved a formidable enemy in the field, the other gloated over a hapless victim. We distinguish the soldier and the assassin, to be just, we must distinguish between public and private malice. But here comes in the hypocrisy or cowardice of mankind. In war, the enemy is open, and challenges your utmost malice; so that there is nothing more to be said. In conspiracy and civil strife, the enemy is either secret and doubtful, or lies at your mercy, and after the catastrophe is over, it is pretended that he was both helpless and innocent, entitled to pity in himself, and fixing an indelible stain on his dastardly and cruel oppressor. Here then again is required in times of revolution that *moral courage*, which uses a discretionary power and takes an awful responsibility upon itself, going right forward to its object, and setting fastidious scruples, character, and consequences (all but principle and self-preservation) at defiance. What were the leaders of the Revolution to do? Were they to suffer a renewal of the massacres of Ismail and Warsaw, by those tender preachers of morality and the puling sentimentalists that follow in their train, who think to crush men like worms, and complain that they have trod on asps? They not only had these

scenes fresh before their eyes, but they were in part the same identical persons who threatened to treat them with a second course of them. "Rather than so, come Fate into the lists and champion us to the outrance!"—seems to have been the motto of the Revolutionists, and their reply. Were they not to anticipate the ignominious blow prepared for them by their insolent invaders? Or should they spare those who stood gaping by and beckoning others on to their banquet of blood? But the number of these last increased, and made it difficult to know where to strike. It was this very uncertainty that distracted and irritated the government, and in the multitude and concealment of their adversaries, hurried them forward to indiscriminate fury. What the Revolution wanted, and what Robespierre did for it in these circumstances, was to give to the political machine the utmost possible *momentum* and energy of which it was capable, to stagger the presumption and pride of the Coalition by showing on the opposite side an equally inveterate and intense degree of determined hostility and ruthless vengeance, to out-face, to out-dare, to stand the brunt not only of all the violence but of all the cant, hypocrisy, obloquy and prejudice with which they were assailed, to stamp on the Revolution a *practical* character, to wipe out the imputation of visionary and Utopian refinement and consequent imbecility from all plans of reform; to prove that "brave Sansculottes were no triflers," and to enlist all passions, all interests, all classes, and all the resources of the country in the one great object, the defence of the Republic. The decks were cleared as for a battle, all other considerations, scruples, objections, were thrown on one side, and the only question being to save the vessel of the state, it was saved. Under this impulse the Revolution went on through all classes and changes, "like tumble-pigeons making all sorts of summersaults and evolutions of figure," but never losing sight of its goal, and arriving safe at its place of destination. All feelings, all pre-

tensions, all characters, levity, brutality, rage, envy, ambition, self-interest, generosity, refinement, were melted down in the furnace of the Revolution, but all heightened the flame and swelled the torrent of patriotism. The blaze thus kindled threw its glare on all objects, so that the whole passed in a strange preternatural light, that precluded the discrimination of motives or characters. Nor was it necessary to distinguish to a nicety. The great point was to distinguish friends from foes, and for this purpose they were put to a speedy probation. Otherwise, it was not asked whether a man wore a long beard or a short one, whether he carried an axe or a pike, no attention was paid to the *dramatis personæ* or to costume—but all to the conduct of the fable and to bringing about the catastrophe! Every state contains within itself the means of salvation, if it will look its danger in the face, and not shrink from the course actually necessary to save it. But to do this, it must rise to the magnitude of the occasion, above rules and appearances. France, baited, hunted down as she was, had but one resource left, to retaliate on her aggressors, to throw aside all self-regards and all regards for others, and in order to escape from the toils spread around, to discard all obligations, and cut asunder the very nerves of humanity. Few persons could be found to help her at this exigency so well as Robespierre. The Brissotins, who were fine gentlemen, would have been entangled in “the drapery of a moral imagination.” Robespierre, to give no hold to his adversary, fought the battle naked, and threw away both shame and fear. When it comes to the abstract choice between slavery or freedom, principles are of more importance than individuals; it is to be apprehended that an energy and pertinacity of character that would not have exceeded the occasion, would not have come up to it; and we see that when the dread of hostile invasion or domestic treachery no longer existed and tyrannised over the minds of men, the reign of terror ceased with the extreme causes

that had provoked and alone rendered its continuance endurable \*

The army under all these circumstances remained firm and unshaken. They seemed to regard the errors and calamities of the country with an indulgent eye, as the errors of a parent—knew their own place and duty, which was to protect her, and to present a stern and erect aspect to the enemy. A republican severity and simplicity of manners was daily gaining ground among them. Even the generals appeared for a while to partake of the steadiness and energy of the government, whether they beat or were beaten, entered into no cabals with the Allies, and the rapid and violent whirl of the political machine might be said for a wonder to have suspended the versatility of the national character.

\* I have not tantalised the reader by making it a question whether the dramatic interest which Robespierre's system excited in Paris, or the newspaper interest it excited through Europe was not set off to the actual sufferings of the individuals who came within its grasp, as some writers have alleged in extenuation of the hardships of the subjects of despotic governments who have not a house over their heads, or a rag to cover them, that they have at least the pleasure of seeing the fine palaces and fine liveries of the great. I would only observe that Legitimacy is come to a fine pass, when instead of the *Jus Divinum* and the absolute will of the sovereign, all that its ablest defenders can say in its behalf is reduced to the pleasure which the people have in looking at it as a raree show.



## CHAPTER VII.

### THE SIEGE OF TOULON.

Toulon given up to the English; besieged by the French; arrival of Napoleon Buonaparte, he takes the command of the artillery; his plan of attack adopted, arrival of Dugommier; his arrival and attack on the forts, aided by Napoleon, the latter constructs new batteries, and obliges Admiral Hood to abandon Toulon, vengeance of the revolutionary tribunals, Buonaparte saves a family from the mob, is made brigadier-general of artillery in the army of Italy, and Dugommier commander in chief of the army of the Eastern Pyrenees, anecdote of Junot, Napoleon joins the army at Nice, his method for securing the Alps is approved, it is repulsed, and the Piedmontese camp at Saorgio taken, extraordinary charge against Napoleon; progress of the French in Italy, Napoleon returns to Paris, is appointed to serve in the Vendée, and flings up his commission, close of the campaign, Napoleon in retirement

It was during the height of the reign of terror and of civil strife, that Buonaparte was appointed by the Committee of Public Safety to take the command of the artillery at the siege of Toulon. If the French government at this period carried their measures of internal security to an excess of suspicion and cruelty, they spared no pains in repelling external aggression with the utmost vigilance and vigour. In fact, the excesses of the French Revolution were to be considered in the circumstances of the time and from the character of the people, as the natural but deplorable result of the general and almost frantic spirit of resistance to the threat of subjugation and oppression from without.

In consequence of the events which took place at Paris on the 31st of May and 2nd of June (the arrest and expulsion of the members of the Gironde party from the Convention) Marseilles, as we have seen, revolted and sent a number of troops to the assistance

of Lyon, which was at this time in possession of the royalists, and besieged by Kellermann. General Cartaux, who had been detached from the army of the Alps with 2000 men, beat the Marseillois at Orange, drove them out of Avignon,\* and entered Marseilles on the 25th of August 1793. Toulon received the principal inhabitants of Marseilles concerned in this insurrection within her walls, and in concert with them gave up the place to the English squadron that blockaded the harbour. This was a dreadful blow to the Republican party, inasmuch as besides twenty or twenty-five ships of the line which were stationed there, Toulon contained several noble establishments and immense naval stores. On the first announcement of the intelligence, the French General Lapoype set out from Nice with 4000 men, accompanied by the representatives of the people, Freron and Barras: he advanced in the direction of Saulnier, following the line between Cape Brun and Fort Pharaon, on the eastern side of Toulon. On the other side, General Cartaux, with the representatives of the people, Albitte, Gasparin, and Salicetti, advanced on Beausset and observed the passes of Olhoules, which were in possession of the enemy. The combined troops, English, Spanish, Neapolitans, Sardinians, and others, collected from all quarters, were masters of the place itself and of all the defiles and avenues for six miles round it. On the 8th of September General Cartaux made an attack on the passes of Olhoules, and carried them. His advanced posts were within sight of Toulon and of the sea. He took Six-Fours to the west of the harbour, and repaired the fortifications of the little post of Nazer. The division of General Cartaux, con-

\* Buonaparte is said to have had the principal share in this event, by placing a battery on the heights of Villeneuve facing Avignon, and dismounting one of the cannon of the insurgents on the opposite side of the river, and by a second fire killing one of their cannoners. On this the latter refused to fight any longer against republican artillery, and the insurgents evacuated the city, and retired towards St Remy.

sisting of 7000 or 8000 men, separated from Mount Faron behind Toulon, from that part of the army commanded by General Lapoype, which caused great inconvenience and the want of co-operation between them. A difference of opinion prevailed as to the mode of conducting the siege, that is to say, whether the principal attack should be made on the left or on the right of the town. On the left were the forts of Faon and La Malue, which last is a strong and carefully constructed fortification, on the right there was only the fort of Malbousquet, which is little else than a field fort, though difficult of access from its situation. This fort being once taken, the besiegers would be close to the ramparts of the town; so that in reality there could be no question that this was the true point of attack, and hither therefore all the reinforcements from the interior were sent. It was a few days after the taking of the passes of Ollioules that Napoleon arrived from Paris (whither he had been sent on some special mission) to take the command of the besieging train. He with other non-commissioned officers and ensigns, had been promoted, according to the principles of the Revolution, to the higher ranks of the artillery, for which many of them were well qualified, whilst others had neither the capacity nor information necessary for the important situations to which chance, with the spirit of the time, had raised them. The principle, however, was on the whole a good one; for in this lottery of promotions, though there must needs be many failures, yet those who possessed real talents and bravery had an opportunity to distinguish themselves, and were almost sure of being brought forward (in proportion to their merits) in the service of the Republic.

Napoleon on his arrival\* found the head-quarters still at Beausset. The troops were busy in making preparations to burn the Allied squadrons in the road of Toulon, and the next day the new Commandant

\* On 12th September

the Artillery went with the General-in-Chief to visit the batteries. What was his surprise to find a battery of six twenty-pounders placed close to Olhoules at two gun-shots from the shore, and quite out of reach of the English vessels, and the volunteers of the Côte d'Or and the soldiers of the regiment of Burgundy employed in heating the balls at the different country-houses in the neighbourhood, as if red-hot cannon-balls were easily transported from place to place! Napoleon instantly set about reforming this state of things. His first care was to get about him several officers of artillery who had been employed before the Revolution, and whom the troubles of the time had displaced. He appointed his old comrade, Colonel Gassendi, to the superintendence of the arsenal at Marseilles. At the end of six weeks he had succeeded in collecting and completing a park of two hundred pieces of artillery. The batteries were advanced forward and fixed on the most advantageous points of the shore, the consequence of which was that some large vessels were dismasted by them, several smaller ones sunk, and the English were forced to abandon that part of the harbour\*.

While the preparations for the siege were going on, the army received considerable reinforcements. The Committee of Public Safety sent plans and instructions relative to the conduct of the siege, drawn up by General D'Arçon of the engineers. These were read in a council of war called on the occasion, at which Gasparin, a popular representative and a sensible and well-informed man, presided. Napoleon, who for the last month had been examining the ground, and was become thoroughly acquainted with its peculiarities, recommended the plan of attack which afterwards

\* It is somewhat remarkable that the first shell fired at Toulon was by the hand of Buonaparte himself, and that it fell upon, and entirely destroyed, the very house where he and his family had resided during the short time that they inhabited the town, after their removal from Corsica. It was an hotel kept by the foster-mother of his mother, the daughter of her nurse. The husband of this unfortunate woman was killed in the explosion.—*Rapp*

succeeded. He regarded the suggestions of the Committee as totally useless under the circumstances of the case, as in his opinion a regular siege was not at all necessary. In fact, allowing that a position could be gained, from which, with a certain number of mortars and cannon and furnaces for red-hot balls, a fire could be kept up on every point of the greater and lesser roads, it was evident that the combined squadron would be compelled to abandon them, and the garrison would then be reduced to a state of strict blockade, the communication with the squadron, which would be forced to stand out at sea, being cut off. Such a position was to be found at the extreme point of the promontory of Balaguier and L'Eguillette, between the two harbours and nearly opposite to the town. This he had remarked some weeks before to the General-in-Chief, but the English had in the mean time become so sensible of its importance, that they had landed 4000 men there, had cut down the wood covering the promontory of Cairo, which commanded the whole position, and had employed all the aid they could get from Toulon, having recourse even to the galley-slaves, to entrench themselves there, making it into what they called "the Little Gibraltar." This point, which a month before might have been seized upon without any difficulty, now required a serious attack, for which purpose it would be most advisable to form batteries mounted with twenty-four pounders and mortars, in order to destroy the epaulments which were constructed of wood, to break down the palisades, and throw a shower of shells into the fort; and that then, after a vigorous fire of eight-and-forty hours, the works should be stormed by picked troops. Two days after the capture of the fort, Napoleon gave it as his opinion that Toulon would belong to the Republic. This plan of attack was warmly discussed, and at length unanimously agreed to.

According to the proposed plan, the French raised five or six batteries over-against Little Gibraltar, and also platforms for fifteen mortars. A battery of eight

twenty-four pounders and four mortars had at the same time been thrown up against Fort Malbousquet nearer the town, the construction of which was a profound secret to the English, the workmen being entirely hid from view by a plantation of olives. It was intended that this battery should not be unmasked till the moment of marching against Little Gibraltar; but on the 20th of November the Representatives of the people went to inspect it, when they were informed by the cannoneers that it had been completed eight days, and that no use had yet been made of it. Without further inquiry, the Representatives ordered them to open a fire, and accordingly the cannoneers with great readiness opened an alternate fire from the battery. General O'Hara, who commanded the Allied army at Toulon, was much surprised at the erection of so considerable a battery close to Fort Malbousquet, and gave orders that a sortie should be made at day-break. An hour before day, he in consequence sallied out of the garrison with 6000 men, and meeting with no material obstacle, his skirmishers only being engaged, spiked the guns of the battery.

In the meantime the drums beat to arms at the French head-quarters, and Dugommier, who had just then taken the command, in haste rallied his troops, which occupied the line from Fort Rouge to Malbousquet, and were too much scattered to make an effectual resistance at any single point. The Commandant of Artillery posted himself on a rising ground behind the battery, where he had previously established a *depôt* of arms. There was a communication from this spot to the battery, by means of a supplementary branch or continuation of the trench. Perceiving from hence that the English troops had drawn up to the right and left of the battery, he conceived the project of leading a battalion that was stationed near him along this concealed passage. By this manœuvre he succeeded in coming out unperceived among the brambles close to the battery and immediately commenced a brisk fire upon the English, whose surprise

was such that they imagined it was their own troops to the right, who by some mistake were firing on those to the left. General O'Hara hastened towards the spot, thinking to rectify the supposed mistake, when he was wounded in the hand by a musket ball, and a French serjeant seized and dragged him prisoner into the trench. The disappearance of the English General was so sudden that his own troops did not even know what was become of him. By this time Dugommier, with the troops that he had rallied, had got between the town and the battery: this movement disconcerted the opposite party, who forthwith commenced their retreat. They were hotly pursued to the gates of the fortress, which they entered precipitately, and without having been able to ascertain the fate of their General. Dugommier himself was slightly wounded. A battalion of volunteers from the Isère distinguished itself in this action.

General Cartaux, as we have seen, had conducted the siege at its commencement, but the Committee of Public Safety had found it necessary to supersede him. He was a vain man, usually covered from head to foot with gold lace, and when Napoleon first presented him with his credentials, he said he could do very well without him, but that he was welcome to share the honours of the victory without having had any of the trouble. He was originally a painter by profession; and for his success against the Marseillais had been promoted to the rank of Brigadier-General and General of Division. He was ignorant of the art of war as well as most other things, but was not an ill-disposed man, and had been guilty of no excesses on the taking of Marseilles. Doppet, who succeeded him, was a Savoyard by birth, and had been bred a physician. He thought of nothing but denunciations, and had no idea of the nature of war. Nevertheless, by a singular chance, he was very near taking Toulon within forty-eight hours after his arrival. A battalion of the Côte d'Or and another of the

regiment of Burgundy, being on duty in the trenches before Little Gibraltar, had one of their men taken by a Spanish company on guard at the redoubt; they saw their comrade ill-treated and beaten, while the Spaniards offered them every insult by shouts and indecent gestures. The French being provoked beyond patience, ran to their arms, commenced a brisk fire, and advanced against the redoubt. On this the Commandant of Artillery immediately hastened to the General-in-Chief (Doppet,) who was not aware of what was going on. They galloped to the scene of action together, and there perceived how the matter stood. Napoleon persuaded the General to support the attack, assuring him that it would not be productive of greater loss to advance than to retire. The General accordingly gave orders for the different corps of reserve to be put in motion; all were quickly on the alert, and Napoleon marched at their head. Unluckily an aide-de-camp was killed by the side of the General-in-Chief. Doppet was panic-struck, and ordering the drums to beat a retreat, recalled the soldiers at the very moment when the grenadiers, having driven back the skirmishers, had reached the gorge of the redoubt, and were about to enter it. The troops were highly incensed, and complained that painters and physicians were set over them. The Committee of Public Safety recalled Doppet, and at length feeling the necessity of employing real military men, sent Dugommier, who had seen fifty years' service, was covered with scars, and was dauntless as the sword by his side.

The garrison was all this while obtaining reinforcements, and the public watched the progress of the siege with anxiety. They could not understand why every effort should be bent against Little Gibraltar, a place so insignificant and in a contrary direction to the town. All the popular societies rang with denunciations on the subject. Provence complained of the long duration of the siege. A scarcity began to prevail, and increased to such a degree, that



Freron and Barras, having given up all hopes of the prompt reduction of Toulon, wrote in great alarm from Marseilles to the Convention to take into consideration whether it would not be better to raise the siege, repass the Durance, and resume offensive operations again after the harvest. A few days after the Convention received this letter, Toulon was taken, and the letter was then disowned by the Representatives as a forgery. Dugommier having resolved that a decisive attack should be made upon Little Gibraltar, the Commandant of the Artillery threw 7000 or 8000 shells into the fort, while thirty-two four pounders battered the works. On the 18th of December, at four in the afternoon, the troops left their camps, and marched towards the village of Seine, a little on one side of the English. The plan was to attack at midnight, in order to avoid the fire of the fort and of the intermediate redoubts which had been constructed at the foot of two hillocks close to it. At the instant when everything was ready, the Representatives of the People called a council to deliberate whether the attack should proceed or not. either they wished thus to throw the blame of a failure on the General, or with many others despaired of success on account of the dreadful weather, the rain falling in torrents. Dugommier and the Commandant of Artillery ridiculed these fears; two columns were formed, and set out to attack the fort. The Allied troops, to shelter themselves from the balls and shells which showered upon the fort, usually occupied a station at a small distance in the rear of it. The French were in hopes of reaching the works before them, but the English had a line of skirmishers in front of the fort, and as the musketry commenced firing at the very foot of the hill, the Allied troops came up in time to its defence, when a very smart fire was immediately opened. Case-shot showered all around. At length, after a most furious attack, Dugommier, who, according to his usual custom, headed the leading column, was

obliged to fall back; and in the utmost despair cried out, 'I am a lost man!' Success was indeed in every way important at a crisis when the want of it ordinarily conducted the unfortunate General to the scaffold.

The fire of the cannonading and musketry continued. Captain Muiron of the artillery, a young man full of bravery and presence of mind, and who was aide-de-camp to the Commandant of Artillery, was detached with a battalion of light infantry and supported by the second column, which followed at the distance of a musket-shot. He was thoroughly acquainted with the position, and availed himself so well of the windings of the ascent, that he conducted his troops up the hill without sustaining any loss. He debouched at the foot of the fort, rushed through an embrasure, his soldiers followed him, and the place was taken. The English and Spanish cannoneers were all killed at their guns, and Muiron himself was dangerously wounded by a thrust from the pike of an English soldier. When Dugommier had been three hours in the redoubt, the Representatives of the People came with their drawn swords in their hands (the Bailie Jarvies of the scene) to laud the troops with eulogiums on their conduct. If, however, not brave in themselves, they were "the cause of bravery in other men," made those who lay at the mercy of their caprice and importunate demands look about them, and let it be understood in a manner that was neither to be mistaken nor gainsayed, that "the Republic expected every man to do his duty!"

At break of day, the French marched on Balaguier and L'Eguillette, which were already evacuated. The twenty-four pounders and the mortars were brought to line these batteries, whence they hoped to cannonade the combined fleets before noon, but Napoleon deemed it not advisable to fix them there. They were of stone, and the engineers who had constructed them had been guilty of an oversight in placing a large tower of masonry just at their entrance, so near the

platforms that whatever balls might have struck, they would have rebounded on the gunners, besides the splinters and rubbish. They therefore planted cannon on the heights behind the batteries, which could not open their fire till the next day. but no count did the English Admiral, Lord Hood, see that the French had possessed themselves of these positions, then he made signal to weigh anchor and get out of the road immediately. He then went to Toulon to make it known that there was not a moment to be lost in putting out to sea\*. A council of war met, and agreed that the place was no longer tenable. They accordingly proceeded to issue orders, as well for the embarkation of the troops, as for the burning and sinking such French vessels as they could not carry away with them, and setting fire to the marine establishments. Notice was also given to the inhabitants that those who wished to leave the place might embark on board the English and Spanish fleets. When these disastrous tidings were spread abroad, a scene of confusion arose, which it would not be easy to describe, any more than the disappointment and astonishment of the garrison and of the unfortunate inhabitants, who but a few hours before, calculating on the great distance of the besiegers from the place, the slow progress of the siege, during four months, and the daily arrival of reinforcements, not only hoped to effect the raising of the siege, but to become masters of Provence. The surprise and consternation manifested at so unforeseen a reverse bore testimony to the skill and genius of this, which was Napoleon's first military enterprise. The plan was what no one suspected, and yet when it had succeeded, nothing could appear simpler. It was only going a little out of his way to take the town by attacking the fleet, which was its chief defence. The secret of this, as of all enterprises of originality and boldness, consisted in looking at the real circumstances and possibilities of the case instead of trusting to

\* It has been said he wished first to make a desperate attempt to retake Little Gibraltar.

routine or the opinion of others, and in seizing (out of a great number of doubtful means that offer) on those that led most effectually and certainly to the end. It was also highly creditable to the discernment and promptitude of the English Admiral that he saw the important use that might be made of the position of Little Gibraltar beforehand, and lost not a moment in preventing the disastrous consequences after it was taken.

In the night Fort Poné was blown up by the English, and an hour afterwards a part of the French squadron was set on fire. Nine seventy-four gun ships and four frigates fell a prey to the flames. The fire and smoke from the arsenal resembled the eruption of a volcano, and the thirteen vessels which were burning in the road were like so many magnificent displays of fireworks. The masts and forms of the vessels were distinctly visible in the blaze, which lasted for many hours, and had a striking effect. Sir Sidney Smith took a very active share in this transaction. The Spaniards were entrusted with the destruction of two powder vessels, but instead of sinking, blew them up, which occasioned a tremendous shock. It was of course sufficiently mortifying to the French to see such valuable resources and so much wealth consumed within so short a space of time. The English had not time to blow up Fort La Malgue, as was expected. Napoleon then went to Malbousquet. It was already evacuated. He ordered the field-pieces to sweep the ramparts of the town and heighten the confusion by throwing shells from the howitzers into the harbour, until the mortars which were upon the road with their carriages could be planted on the batteries, and shells thrown from them in the same direction. General La Poype took possession of Fort Faron, which the allies no longer attempted to keep. During this time, the batteries of L'Eguillette and Balagnieu kept up a constant fire on the vessels in the roads. Many of the English ships were much damaged. The batteries continued to play all the night, and at

break of day the English fleet was seen out at sea. By nine o'clock a high Libeccio wind got up, and the English ships were forced to put into the Hyeres.

Many thousand families at Toulon had followed the English, so that the revolutionary tribunals found but few victims in the place, all the persons most deeply implicated in the late transactions had left it. Nevertheless, between one and two hundred unfortunate wretches were shot within the first fortnight.\* Orders afterwards arrived from the Convention for demolish-

\* The manner of doing this was sufficiently infamous. Only eight or ten persons of any consequence, who had wished to fly, remained behind, a great sacrifice to the offended Genius of the Republic wanted, and these were too few. A stratagem was therefore resorted to. Proclamation was made that all those who had been employed in the arsenal while the English were in possession of the town, were to repair to the Champ de Mars and give in their names, and they were led to believe that it was for the purpose of employing them again. Nearly two hundred persons, herd workmen, inferior clerks, and others in subaltern situations, went accordingly in full confidence, and had their names registered. It was thus proved by their own confession that they had retained their places under the English government, and the Revolutionary Tribunal immediately ordered them to be shot. It was during his stay at Toulon at this period that Buonaparte saved the Chabillant family, who were brought into the harbour on board a Spanish prize, from the fury of the mob. It was just after the fall of Robespierre, and the inhabitants were by no means reconciled to the change. No sooner was it known that about twenty emigrants had been landed (though by no fault or wish of their own) than a crowd collected at the arsenal and in the streets, and were proceeding to the prisons to slaughter these unfortunate persons. It was in vain that the Representatives, Mariette and Cambon, who were of the moderate party, and themselves suspected, attempted to dissuade them from their purpose, they were in danger of being themselves had up to the lamp-post. It was late in the day, and the crowd were growing outrageous. The guard came up and were repulsed. At this crisis Napoleon recollected among the principal rioters several gunners who had served under him during the siege. He mounted a platform, the gunners enforced respect to their General, and obtained silence, he had the good fortune to produce an effect. They were restrained from further violence by his assurance that the emigrants should be delivered up and sentenced the following morning. It would have been no easy matter to persuade them of what was perfectly evident, namely, that these emigrants had not infringed the law, as they had not returned voluntarily. During the night he had them put into some artillery waggons, and carried out of the town as a convoy of ammunition; a boat was waiting for them in Hyeres roads, where they embarked, and were thus saved.

ing the buildings of Toulon · the absurdity of the measure did not prevent its partial execution, and many houses were pulled down which it was, of course, subsequently found necessary to rebuild During the siege of Toulon, the army of Italy had been attacked on the Var The Piedmontese had attempted to invade Provence, and had got nearly as far as Entrevaux; but being defeated at Gillette, they retreated within their line. The news of the taking of Toulon caused a lively sensation in Provence and throughout France, particularly as success was unexpected, and almost hopeless From this event may be dated the rise of Napoleon's reputation, he was made Brigadier-General of Artillery in consequence, and appointed to the command of that department in the army of Italy General Dugommier was appointed Commander-in-Chief of the army of the Eastern Pyrenees He always spoke in the highest terms of Buonaparte, and sent him word from time to time of his successes.

It was at the siege of Toulon that, standing by one of the batteries where a cannoneer was shot dead at his side, Buonaparte took the ramrod which had fallen out of his hands, and charged the gun several times He by this means caught an infectious cutaneous disease, which was not completely cured till many years afterwards, and which often did great injury to his health. It was here also he became acquainted with several officers, who were afterwards the most strongly attached to him, among others, with Duroc. On one occasion, while constructing a battery, he wanted some one to write a letter for him A young man stepped forward to offer his services. The letter was hardly finished, when a cannon-ball striking near him, covered him all over with earth "Good," said the writer, "we shall not want sand this time" This sally, together with the coolness he displayed, was the making of the young soldier's fortune It was Junot. Doppet, to whom Buonaparte is not very favourable, has, however, made a very honourable mention of him in his "Memoirs" of the campaign He says, "When-

ever he visited the outposts of the army, he was always sure to find the Commandant of Artillery at his; he slept little, and that little he took on the ground, wrapped in his mantle. he hardly ever quitted his batteries" So watchful was he for the enemy and for fame!

Before joining the army of Italy, Napoleon superintended the fortifying the coasts of Provence and the Isle of Hyeres, shortly after the English quitted it. He divided the coast batteries into three classes; those intended to protect harbours for fleets and men-of-war, those for the protection of merchant-vessels, and those erected on projecting headlands to guard the coasting-trade and prevent cruisers from landing on shore; but in this judicious and economical arrangement he had everywhere to encounter the warm opposition and remonstrances of the public authorities and popular societies, who, in their officious self-importance or idle apprehensions were anxious to have expensive batteries erected at every little village or hamlet that happened to be situated near the sea-side.

Napoleon joined the head-quarters of the army of Italy at Nice in March, 1794. It was at that time commanded by General Dumerbion, an old and brave officer, who had been for ten years a captain of grenadiers in the troops of the line. His military knowledge was considerable, he had carried on the war between the Var and the Roya, and knew the positions of the mountains that cover Nice perfectly well, but he was confined to his bed by the gout half his time. The new General of Artillery visited all the advanced posts, and reconnoitred the line occupied by the army. On returning from this inspection, he laid a memorial before General Dumerbion, relating to the unsuccessful attempt of General Brunet to force the enemy beyond the High Alps the year before, and to the right method of effecting this object by taking possession of the Col di Tende. If the French could thus fix themselves in the upper chain of the Alps, they would secure almost impregnable positions, which, requiring but a

few men to maintain them, would leave a greater number of troops disposable for other service. These suggestions were laid before a council, at which the representatives Ricors and Robespierre the younger were sitting; they were unanimously approved of. Since the taking of Toulon, the *opinion* entertained of the General of Artillery was such as of itself to inspire considerable confidence in his plans.

On the 8th of April, 1794, a part of the army under the command of General Massena (General Dumerbion being confined to his bed by a fit of the gout) filing along the edge of the Roya by Mentone, crossed the river. It then separated into four columns, three of which proceeded severally towards the sources of the Roya, the Nervia, and the Taggio, and the fourth advanced upon Oneglia. The last column fell in with a corps of Austrians and Piedmontese upon the heights of St Agata, repulsed, and defeated them. The General of Brigade, Brulé, was killed in the action. The head-quarters were removed to Oneglia, which is situated on the sea-coast, and troops were immediately sent forward to occupy Loano, still farther east. From Oneglia the French troops ascended to the sources of the Tanaro, beat the enemy on the heights of Ponte-di-Nave, seized on the fortress of Ormea, where they took four hundred prisoners, entered Garessio, and made themselves masters of the road from that place to Turin. The communication with Loano was kept up by way of Baidinetto and the Little St Bernard.

The fault of General Brunet had been that he had come in front of the enemy, and endeavoured, by mere dint of obstinacy, to dislodge them from an almost unassailable position and push them across a rugged barrier into their own country. Napoleon, by directing the movement of the troops obliquely along the valleys of the Roya, the Nervia, and the Taggio, and by means of those which had debouched in Piedmont by the sources of the Tanaro, had taken them in rear. The Piedmontese troops occupying





of the Representatives there, who informed him that certain popular societies intended to attack and plunder the powder magazines. The General of Artillery, in order to prevent this, furnished him with a plan for constructing a little wall with battlements upon the ruins of Fort St James and Fort St Nicholas, which had been destroyed by the Marseillois at the beginning of the Revolution. The expense was trifling, but some months after, a decree was passed for summoning the Commandant of Artillery at Marseilles to the bar of the Convention, as having projected a plan for restoring the Forts of St James and St Nicholas in order to withstand the patriots. The decree specified the Commandant of Artillery at Marseilles; but Napoleon was at this time General of Artillery in the army of Italy. Colonel Seigny, who was the person designated by the words of the decree, had to go to Paris according to its literal tenour. When this officer presented himself at the bar, he proved that the plan was not in his handwriting, and that he knew nothing about the matter. The circumstance was explained, and Napoleon was discovered to be the person in question; but the Representatives of the army of Italy, who were in great need of his services to direct the campaign at this crisis, wrote to Paris, after putting him under a temporary arrest, and gave such explanations to the Convention as it was satisfied with.

The French in pursuance of the plan laid down, crossed the straits of the Bormida, and on the 26th of September came to Balastreno, whence they proceeded to Cairo. Here they fell in with from 12,000 to 13,000 Austrians manœuvring on the plain, who no sooner saw the French Army approaching than they retreated upon Dego, and being attacked here, after a slight action in which they lost some prisoners, retired to Acqui. Having taken Dego, the French halted. They had secured possession of several magazines, and ascertained that there was nothing to fear from the Austrian detachment. The

march of the French spread considerable alarm through this part of Italy. The army returned to Savona, traversing Upper and Lower Montenotte. General Dumerbion wrote to the Convention to say that "it was to the skilful dispositions of the General of Artillery that he, in a great measure, owed the success of the expedition."

The remainder of the year, 1794, was spent in putting the positions occupied by the French army into a state of defence, particularly Vado, where a part of the troops had been stationed to protect this port from the English cruisers. The knowledge that Napoleon acquired by this means of all the positions of the neighbourhood was highly useful to him when he became Commander-in-Chief of the same army, and enabled him to venture on the bold manœuvre to which he owed the victory of Montenotte at the opening of the campaign of Italy in 1796. This may show how intimately application and industry are connected with genius and capacity. Others who were placed in the same circumstances with himself derived no advantage from them, or probably made no minute inquiries or accurate observations, from not seeing the use of them or having any object in view. Napoleon, with all his talent, would not have performed what he did, if he had neglected his opportunities of acquiring local and technical information. But it was the very strength and comprehensiveness of his mind that made him indefatigable in his observations and researches, from foreseeing the results and having certain principles in view by which the individual details were combined with grandeur of effect. Success in any pursuit implies incredible labour and pains; but it is at the same time a genius for any pursuit that alone gives a passion for it, or that can supply the patience necessary to master the preliminary steps, from distinctly perceiving the consequences to which they lead or that can in the end turn them to any account. Buonaparte applied himself to the study of his art with a secret consciousness

of his future destiny, and never looked at an old tower or a mountain-pass but he saw victory perched upon it! In January, 1795, he passed a whole night in company with General St Hilaire on the Col di Tende, from whence at sunrise he surveyed those fine plains which were already the subject of his meditations *Itaham! Itaham!* This circumstance probably suggested to his brother Lucien the fine passage in which he describes Charlemagne passing a night among the Alps. In May of this year he quitted the army of Italy, and returned to Paris. Aubry, at that time at the head of the Military Committee and secretly attached to the cause of the Bourbons, had purposely deprived him of his situation as General of Artillery, and put him on the list of generals who were intended to serve in La Vendée. The command of a brigade of infantry had been assigned to him; but he declined this offer, and flung up his commission.

When Kellermann, who had taken the command of the army of Italy, was driven from the positions of Vado, St Jacques, and Bardinetto, and even talked of evacuating the Genoese territory, the Committee of Public Safety grew alarmed and called together the different Representatives who had been deputed to the army of Italy, in order to consult them. Pontecoulant, who succeeded Aubry in the war-department, was one among others who pointed out Napoleon as eminently qualified to give an opinion on the subject—a piece of service for which Buonaparte showed his gratitude by promoting the minister to a seat in the Senate when he afterwards became Consul. Napoleon was summoned to the topographical Committee, and laid down the line of the Borghetto for the troops—a suggestion that saved the French army and preserved the coast of Genoa, notwithstanding the repeated attacks of the enemy. At the end of the year (1795) General Scherer superseded Kellermann in the command, and on the 20th of November, having received reinforcements from the army of the

Pyrenees, attacked the Piedmontese general, Devins, at Loano, drove him from all his positions, and had he been sufficiently enterprising, might have conquered all Italy; but instead of pursuing his advantages, he returned to Nice, and went into winter-quarters. The enemy did the same

Napoleon passed most of his time at Paris in meditation and retirement. He went out but seldom, and had few acquaintances. He endeavoured to forget the sense of mortification and neglect by a more intense application to his professional studies. This was the time to prepare himself for the career that lay before him, and it required all his attention and efforts. He had done something, he had still more to do. Genius is at first shy and taken up with itself. The new world of thought or enterprise that is forming in the imagination jostles against and repels the actual one. This begets an appearance of distance and reserve, because there is a series of reflections going on in the mind that mark out a path for themselves and unfit it for the ordinary intercourse of familiar life. We do not wonder at people in common life who are absent and thoughtful, if we know that any particular object engrosses their attention or clouds their brow. but the life of a man of genius from its commencement is a preparation for the arduous task he has imposed upon himself. His soul is "like a star and dwells apart," till it is time for it to disclose itself, and burst through the obscurity that environs it. Or as an old poet has expressed this finely, though quaintly—

"The noble heart that harbours virtuous thought,  
And is with child of glorious, great intent,  
Can never rest until it forth have brought  
The eternal brood of glory excellent"

At a later period of his life, when he had discharged his debt to Fame, and when men of narrow minds would have become stiff and haughty with their elevation, he grew proportionably easy and familiar,

and no one was more unreserved, gay, and communicative, even to exuberance, in conversation. It has been pretended that about this time Buonaparte had thoughts of offering his services both to England and the Porte, for the latter of which assertions there is so far a foundation that he proposed to the Government to send him with other French engineers to assist the Turks (who were in alliance with France), against the Russians; but this was perhaps a feint, and answered its end, for Jean de Bry, one of the Council, observed that if he could be of such use to the Turks they had the more need of his services at home. He sometimes went to the Théâtre Feydeau, where he happened to be when he first heard of the rising of the Sections, and frequented the Corazza coffee-house in the Palais Royal, where he used to meet some of his old companions in arms, as well as several actors of the day, and where the celebrated Talma is said to have once paid his reckoning for him, for which he had left his sword in pledge. He himself, however, contradicts the truth of this anecdote, and says that he was personally known to Talma only after he became First Consul.

## CHAPTER VIII.

## THE QUELLING OF THE SECTIONS

Revolt of the sections at Paris, butcheries of Carrier and Lebon, and in La Vendée, trial and condemnation of Carrier, counter-revolutionary excesses, Jacobin attack on the Convention, peace concluded with Spain and Prussia, the Quiberon expedition, unsuccessful attempt to disarm the sections, Napoleon entrusted with the command against them, succeeds in dispersing them, and is chosen commander of the army of the interior, difficulties of his situation, his first interview with Madame Beauharnois, afterwards the Empress Josephine, is appointed to the chief command of the army in Italy

IF a nation of a species lower than men had undertaken a Revolution, they could not have conducted it worse than this of France, with more chattering, more malice, more unmeaning gesticulation, and less dignity and unity of purpose. Scarcely had the *reign of terror* ceased, and the Government been restored to something like stability and order, when within a few months the volatile genius of this people, impatient of liberty or repose, and eager for some new theatrical display, since the daily procession of the guillotine no longer kept them in a state of excitement and dismay, seemed anxious to get rid of the Revolution altogether; by way of interlude decked out the youth of the city (*La Jeunesse Dorée*) in the Chouan uniform, and instigated the Sections to revolt against the Convention with a view to restore royalty. When one follows the succession of parties and events, which resembles the shifting of the scenes in a pantomime, the oscillation from one dangerous extreme to another, without any motive but the love of change or contrast, when one sees the uniform readiness to spill blood (as the sovereign panacea), the impulse

which this appeared to give to the public mind, and the equal readiness and even infatuated determination to relinquish the object for which such tremendous sacrifices had been made, the instant that object was attained, out of sheer fickleness and perversity, one cannot help feeling a sudden burst of spleen, and a disposition to excuse Robespierre and others for thinking that liberty and patriotism alone had not sufficient charms for the Parisians without the aid of terror, and that it was necessary to resort to extreme violence to compress their extreme versatility. Again, Buonaparte, who was at Paris during the time of this reaction, must have been struck with the folly and extravagance he witnessed, and might then probably have come to the conclusion (on which he acted afterwards) that a people so prone to vanity and mischief might be led by the love of glory and conquest to maintain their external independence, but were as unfit as possible for the enjoyment of a system of regulated and constitutional liberty. The best intentions and the best principles in the world are thrown away upon a nation whose chief delight is in novelty and in a sort of treachery to itself.

The first inclination of the popular party after the death of Robespierre was to keep up the Revolutionary tribunal, and continue nearly the same system under different auspices, but the scheme failing, things took a totally opposite turn. The sixty-three Deputies who had been proscribed for protesting against the expulsion of the Brissotins on the 31st of May, were first recalled to the Convention; and afterwards all that remained of the victims of that day. The violent party had lost the assistance of the Commune, the principal leaders of which had fallen with Robespierre, but they still had the support of the Jacobins and the Faubourgs. The Convention closed the sittings of the one and disarmed the other. The Revolutionary tribunal was still permitted under certain restrictions, those who had been imprisoned by it as suspected persons were let out slowly, one by



one, and Barrère attempted in vain to save the President, Fouquier-Tainville, one of those who had dipped his hands with most insolence and fury in the blood of his fellow-citizens, and whose name excited general horror. A month after the fall of Robespierre, Lecointre, of Versailles, denounced Billaud-Varennes, Collot d'Herbois, Barrère, Vadier, Amar, and Vouland, bringing twenty-three distinct charges against them. Tallien had just before inveighed bitterly against the system of terror; and Lecointre was emboldened in his attack by the effect which Tallien's words had produced. Alas! everything here seems referable to the study of effect, to a mixture of cowardice and vanity. No fixed principles, no steady convictions, and determination to abide by them in spite of consequences, but an habitual readiness to abandon or outrage the plainest truths, according to the immediate chances of personal disgrace or triumph. The accusation of Lecointre against the accomplices of Robespierre failed the first time, and was declared calumnious by the Convention soon after they contented themselves with passing to the order of the day upon it, the third time, it was carried tumultuously, and the objects of it were condemned to banishment. Thus the first time a charge is brought it only excites surprise at the boldness of the experiment; the second time, the ice being broken, there is an apprehension that it will be carried; and this anticipation of defeat makes all eager to concur in it, lest they should be considered as parties implicated, though the grounds of the accusation remain in all respects the same as before. It is not the truth or justice of the case that determines the question, but the confidence of success that encourages the attack and silences opposition.

What contributed to increase the unpopularity of the members of the Committee, was the publicity given to the cruelties of Carrier and Joseph Lebon, its two commissioners at Arras and Nantes. Lebon, young, of a sickly temperament, and naturally com-

passionate, had discovered considerable humanity in his first mission to Cambray, but he was reproached with his moderation by the Committee, and was sent to Arias (his own and Robespierre's birthplace) with the express injunction to show himself a little more *revolutionary*. In order not to be behind-hand with the inexorable policy of the Committee, he lent himself to the most unheard-of excesses, mixed up debauchery with extermination, had the guillotine always standing by him, which he called *St Guillotine*, and kept company with the executioner, whom he admitted to his table. Carrier having more victims at his disposal, had even surpassed him. He was bilious, fanatical, and naturally blood-thirsty. He only waited for an opportunity to put in practice all that the imagination of a Marat had not even dared to think of. Being sent to the neighbourhood of a rebel district, he condemned to death the whole hostile population, priests, women; children, the old, the young. As the scaffolds did not suffice, he had superseded the revolutionary tribunal by a band of assassins, who took the appellation of the *Company of Marat*—and the guillotine by boats with false bottoms, by means of which he drowned crowds of victims in the Loire. As many (it is said) as eight hundred persons at a time, of different ranks, ages, and sexes, were precipitated into the river in this inhuman manner, and when any of these unfortunate wretches clung in despair to the sides of the barges, if in the struggle their hands got loose, their executioners amused themselves with cutting them across the wrists with their sabres, or knocking them on the head with their poles. Innocent young women were stripped naked in the presence of their butchers and tied to young men, and both were cut down or thrown into the river together—and this kind of murder was called by an opprobrious nickname. Cries of vengeance and horror were raised against these acts of atrocity, say the French historians, after the 9th of Thermidor, yet when, a short time before, Carrier

himself sent them a detailed account of his proceedings, and added with a sort of triumphant sneer, "*Quel torrent révolutionnaire que la Loire!*" the Convention received this piece of barbarous levity with applause. Surely the dictates of humanity or decency do not depend on the dates of the almanac. An act of lawless cruelty and revenge may be endured, while it is deeply lamented, in a dreadful crisis; but that it should be made a subject of sport and merriment, is not to be endured or palliated under any circumstances. In other countries they attempt to resist or remonstrate against oppression at the time; in France the successful perpetrators are applauded like favourite actors on a stage, and they are only punished when all the mischief and danger is over, by what is termed a *reaction*. The style of this period corresponds very much with the tone of its sentiments, and equally shows the inflamed and exasperated state of the public mind that could dictate or tolerate such bombast. "At the name of Carrier," says the reporter of his correspondence at the time, "the smoking chart of La Vendée unrolls itself before your eyes. *Thousands of salamanders from amidst the furnace of that wide waste feed the fire which consumes the Republic.* You hear the crackling of the flame which devours both manufactures and hamlets, cities and men, the ruins of castles mingle with the wreck of cottages—melancholy and deplorable equality, which exists only in devastation! I see by the glare of the blaze, those who have kindled it, darting across the burning beams of falling houses, like birds of prey, on the treasures they contain. Even the asylum of patriotism is not respected, the enemies taken with arms in their hands, and those who lay them down, are precipitated into the same gulf, the common foe, and the friend who leads our soldiers to victory, who procures them by sure indications the means of necessary subsistence, perish alike, and the same regard is paid to the patriot and the rebel." We may see by this flagrant style that the

popular brain had been overwrought; images of death, of havoc and destruction, floated familiarly and mechanically before it, and the degree of excitement was the only thing considered, the kind (whether good or evil) was a matter of absolute indifference

Carrier, when called upon for his defence, threw the blame of what he had done on the cruelties of the Vendéans themselves and on the undistinguishing fury of civil war. "When I was giving my orders," said he, "the air seemed still to resound with the civic chaunts of twenty thousand martyrs to liberty, who had shouted *Long live the Republic* in the midst of tortures. How is it possible for humanity, dead in these terrible crises, to make its voice heard? Those who accuse me, what would they have done in my place? I saved the Republic at Nantes. I have lived only for my country, and I am prepared to die for it" Out of five hundred members, four hundred and ninety-eight voted in favour of the sentence against Carrier. What added to his unpopularity and hastened his condemnation, was the evidence of ninety-four of the most respectable inhabitants of Nantes, persons sincerely attached to the cause of the Revolution, and who had resolutely defended their city against the Vendéans, but who were implicated in the same fate with them and sent to Paris in chains as Federalists. If they had happened to have been brought before the Revolutionary tribunal during the zenith of its power, they would have fallen like so many others under the fangs of its merciless system. This instance alone is enough to show that the system of terror resorted to at this period exceeded its professed objects, however stern and implacable, and that the rage of patriotism, like every other, soon "made the food it lived upon," that it constructed crimes and fabricated excuses, in order to exercise its sense of power and glut its love of vengeance on all who came by any accident within its unhallowed grasp, without distinction and without remorse. Two reflections arise here. The first is, that it is unjust to attribute



of *Jesus* and *Companies of the Sun* took place of *Companies of Marat*, and exacted as severe a retribution. At Lyon, at Aix, at Tarascon, at Marseilles, they slew all those confined in the prisons who had participated in the late transactions, pursued those who had escaped in the streets, and without any other form or notice than the reproach, "*Behold a *Matavrin*!*" (the nickname they gave to their opponents) slew them, and threw them into the river. At Tarascon they precipitated them from a high tower on a rock which bordered on the Rhone. Thus the infliction of cruelty and terror went its round, and was not confined to any particular class or side, but was the consequence of the maddening spirit and delirium of the time and the mutual hatred of the different factions towards each other.

The Jacobins and the Faubourgs were dissatisfied with the arrest and trial of the *terrorist* Deputies. The latter more than once raised an insurrection, and marched to the Convention, crying out, "Bread, the Constitution of the year '93, and the release of the imprisoned Deputies!" On one of these occasions they rushed in considerable numbers into the hall of the Convention, and a scene of the most frightful disorder ensued. Boissy-d'Anglas took the chair which Vernier had quitted. He was not popular, being at the head of a Committee of Subsistence for supplying the people with bread, and from the slow and inefficient manner in which they proceeded, he was called *Boissy-Famine*. He was even suspected of keeping back the supplies of provisions, in order to make the people desperate and favour the designs of the royalist faction, with which he was secretly connected. The rioters took aim with their pieces at Boissy-d'Anglas, when a deputy of the name of Feraud, rushing forward to protect him, was dragged out into the lobbies, his head lopped off, and held up on a pike before the President of the Convention to induce him to pass the resolutions required by the insurgents. Boissy-d'Anglas remained firm, inflexible in the midst

of threats and insults ; and when the bleeding head of Feraud was presented to him, bowed respectfully to it. There is a strange mixture of the horrible and ludicrous with the sublime in this scene, which is not lessened when we are told that the calmness of countenance assumed by the chief actor in it was but a mask for clandestine designs, and the courage he displayed inspired by a lurking hatred and contempt for the people. In this period of political scene-shifting and violent tergiversation, there is not only no trusting to appearances, but even the most heroic actions become equivocal by their pretended connexion with problematical circumstances. Boissyd'Anglas was the intimate friend of Aubry, who is also supposed to have superseded Buonaparte with a view to rob the Republic of his talents and future victories. In France everything is attributed to stratagem and intrigue on the slightest grounds. One thing is certain, that where people are always on the watch for such motives, they are more likely to act from them, and that a downright simplicity and straightforwardness of character is the last thing to be looked for. The assassin of Feraud was discovered, but rescued by the mob. This ill-timed and sanguinary insurrection hastened the fate of the members of the Committees, under arrest, who with several *Cretans*, (the wick of the Mountain faction, who had countenanced the rioters) were condemned, and sent to the fortress of Ham. These disorderly risings of the common people might be mischievous, but were no longer formidable. They wanted the clubs, they wanted the terrible municipality with Henriot at its head, knocking at the gates of the Convention, and crying with a voice of thunder and a front of brass, "The Sovereign People is at hand !" they wanted public opinion on their side, and, above all, they wanted Prussian manifestos and the dread of the Allied powers, hanging imminent over Paris, and threatening them with military execution and lasting debasement and servitude. The brain, pressed on that

nerve, started into sudden frenzy, otherwise, it was tame and light enough

The arms of the Republic were about this time everywhere victorious; and the public mind, reassured in that respect, had leisure to come to its senses in other things. In the beginning of 1795 peace was concluded with Spain and Prussia, and at the same time Pichegru overran and conquered Holland, drove away the Stadtholder, and thus deprived Great Britain of its footing on the Continent. Seeing no prospect of crushing France by means of foreign powers, the British cabinet united itself more closely with the Emigrants, and in concert with them projected the disastrous expedition to Quiberon. Hoche had nearly stifled the war in La Vendée by a mixture of vigour and prudence hitherto unattempted. He had beaten the scattered remains of the enemy's troops, driven away their cattle, which he restored to them in exchange for their arms, and gained over many of their priests by separating the cause of religion from that of politics. The spirit of disaffection still indeed existed, but had scarcely the means of showing itself, and the differences between their only surviving chiefs, Charette and Stofflet, gave the finishing blow to the hopes of the royalists in that quarter. Charette had even consented to make peace with the Republic, and a sort of treaty had been entered into at Jusnay between him and the Convention. The Marquis de Puisaye, a man of intrigue and adventure rather than the enthusiast of any party, had conceived the project of transferring the nearly extinguished insurrection of La Vendée into Brittany. There already existed in Morbihan bands of Chouans, composed of the refuse of all parties of men thrown out of employment and desperate, of hardy smugglers, who made predatory incursions into the enemy's territory, but could not keep the field like the Vendéans. Puisaye had recourse to Great Britain to extend the Chouan system, and led the English ministers to expect a general rising in Brittany, and from thence



throughout the rest of France, if they would only furnish the skeleton of an army, ammunition, and muskets

The Quiberon expedition (the favourite and memorable scheme of the late Mr. Windham, then Secretary at War) included the most active and spirited of the Emigrants, almost all the officers of the ancient French marine, and in short all those of that party who, tired of exile and the miseries of a wandering life, were desirous to try fortune once more. The English fleet accordingly landed on the small peninsula of Quiberon 15,000 emigrants, 6000 republican prisoners who had enlisted in hopes to return to France, 60,000 muskets, and a complete equipment for an army of 40,000 men. Fifteen hundred Chouans joined this little army on its disembarkment, when it was immediately attacked by General Hoche. He succeeded in turning it, the republican prisoners who were found in its ranks deserted from it, and it was defeated after the most obstinate resistance. In the deadly war between the Emigrants and the Republic, the vanquished were treated as outlaws, and no quarter was given to them. Their loss was a severe and irrecoverable blow to the Emigrant party.

The expectations founded on the armies of Europe, on the progress of internal discord, and on the attempt of the Emigrants having failed, recourse was next had to the discontented Sections. It was hoped to bring about the counter-revolution by means of the new Directorial Constitution. This Constitution was nevertheless the work of the moderate republican party, but inasmuch as it gave the ascendant to the middle classes, the royalist intriguers indulged confident expectations of entering by their means into the Legislature and the Government. The Convention having suppressed the Jacobins and the Fédérés in order to put an end to anarchy and violence, the *Jeuneur Doré* thought this a proper time to make their fellow-citizens as Republicans, and to direct them against the Convention to annul its

authority now that it was mildly and beneficially exercised, and to restore despotism and the ancient *régime* upon what principle it is impossible to guess, except that mentioned by Luther, that "human reason is like a drunken man on horseback—set it up on one side, and it is sure to fall over on the other" Or rather, passion is only satisfied with mischievous extremes—moderation and wisdom appear to be its bane—and reason is the dupe of sophistry and passion

The Convention notwithstanding held an even course, and was determined to keep it To avoid the error of the first Constituent Assembly, which had involved France in endless troubles by the prudery of excluding its members from the subsequent Assembly, the Convention decreed the re-election of two-thirds of its members This prompt and seasonable step, which had for its object to save the country from the return of anarchy or a counter-revolution, excited the greatest possible ferment the Royalist Committee came to an understanding with the journalists and shopkeepers of Paris, the Faubourg St Germain, hitherto deserted, was filled from day to day with Emigrants in the Chouan uniform, who made no secret of their design of restoring absolute power, while the Section Lepelletier (or Filles-St Thomas) under the guidance of La Harpe,\* Lacretelle, and other literary drivellers, at once the accomplices and dupes of the reviving party, declared loudly (in order to arrive by a diversion at the same end) that all power resided in the assembled people The struggle became more and more furious the majority of the Sections of Paris sided with the Section Lepelletier in rejecting the decree of the Convention, who, however, on the 1st of Vendemaire pronounced both that and the Constitution to have been acceded to by the majority of the primary assemblies throughout France The Sections had now nothing to do but submit, but as

\* This writer appears to have been much such a politician as he was a critic, neglecting the essence for the form, and more taken up with the means than the end

they had farther objects in view or were led on by those who had, they were by no means disposed to do so. They proceeded to nominate the electors, who were to choose the new members after their own fashion, and to organize an armed force to defend their meetings. The Convention, apprised of the coming storm and not inclined tamely to yield to it, collected the troops from the camp of Sablons, delegated its powers to a Committee of five persons, Colombel, Barras, Daunou, Letourneur, and Merlin of Douai, who were charged with the care of the public safety, enrolled a *Battalion of the Patriots of Eighty-nine* (amounting to fifteen or eighteen hundred old revolutionists, who had been objects of persecution to the *réactionnaires* in the southern departments), and on the 11th at night sent to dissolve the assembly of electors by force, but they had already adjourned. During the night of the 11th, the decree which dissolved the college of electors and armed the Battalion of Patriots of Eighty-nine, produced the greatest consternation and was represented as a return to the system of terror. The Section Lepelletier did everything in its power to incite the other Sections to revolt. The Convention, no less alarmed, resolved to give the first blow and bring the affair to a conclusion by disarming the refractory Sections.

On the 12th of Vendemaire (October 31d) at seven or eight o'clock in the evening, General Menou, accompanied by the Representatives of the People, who always attended on such occasions as Commissioners of the army of the Interior, proceeded with a numerous escort to the place of rendezvous of the Section Lepelletier to put the decree of the Convention in execution. The infantry, cavalry, and artillery were all crowded together in the Rue Vivienne, at the extremity of which stood the Convent of the *Filles-St Thomas*. The Sectionaries occupied the windows of the houses in this street. Several of their battalions drew up in line in the court-yard of the Convent, and the military force which General Menou

led found itself placed in an embarrassing predicament. The Committee of the Section having designated themselves as a deputation of the Sovereign People in the exercise of their original functions, which the Convention had usurped, they refused to obey its orders, and after an hour spent in useless conferences, General Menou and the Commissioners withdrew by a sort of capitulation, without having dissolved or disarmed the meeting. The Section thus victorious declared themselves in permanence, sent deputations to the other Sections, boasting of its success and urgently recommending the measures best calculated to insure the common triumph. In this manner it prepared for the contest of the 13th of Vendemaire (October 4)

Napoleon, who had been for some months attending the committee which directed the movements of the armies of the republic, was at the Théâtre Feydeau, close to the top of the Rue Vivienne, when he heard of the extraordinary scene that was passing so near him. He went to the spot, curious to observe all the circumstances. Seeing the troops baffled, he hastened to the gallery of the Convention to witness the effect of the news and mark the character and colouring that would be given to it. The Convention was entirely at a loss what to do. The Representatives, wishing to exculpate themselves, eagerly accused Menou, attributing to treachery (according to the fashion of the time) what arose from unskilfulness alone. Menou was put under arrest. Several deputies then appeared at the Tribune, stating the extent of the danger, which was but too clearly proved by the intelligence that arrived every moment from different quarters. Each member proposed the general in whom he reposed the greatest confidence to succeed Menou. The Thermidorians wished for Barras, but this choice was by no means agreeable to the other parties. Those who had been on duty with the army of Italy at Toulon and the members of the Committee of Public Safety, who were in daily communication

with Napoleon, recommended him as the person most likely to extricate them from their present danger, on account of the promptitude of his resources and the firmness and moderation of his character. Mariette, who belonged to the party of the Moderates, and was one of the leading members of the Committee of Forty, approved of this selection. Napoleon, who was in the crowd and heard all that passed, considered for above half an hour of the course he should adopt. At length he made up his mind and repaired to the Committee, where he pointed out in the most forcible manner he was able the impossibility of directing so important an affair while clogged by three Representatives, who would in fact take the whole management into their own hands, and impede all the operations of the general:—he added that he had witnessed the occurrence in the Rue Vivienne, and that the Commissioners had been most to blame, though they had come forward as angry accusers. Struck with the truth of this reasoning, but unable to remove the Commissioners without a long discussion in the Convention, the Committee, to reconcile all parties (for they had no time to lose) determined to nominate Barras\* General-in-Chief, appointing Buonaparte second in command under him. Thus they got rid of the services of the three Commissioners without giving them any cause of umbrage. As soon as Napoleon found himself invested with the actual command of the forces that were to protect the Convention, he went to one of the apartments in the Tuileries, where Menou remained in custody, in order to procure from him the necessary information as to the strength and disposition of the troops and the state of the artillery. The regular army consisted of only 5000 soldiers of all arms, whereas the National Guard, at the disposal

\* Barras, one of their number, had happened to be present at Toulon, and to have appreciated the character of Buonaparte. He had, probably, been applied to by Napoleon in his recent pursuit of employment. Deliberating with Tallien and Carnot, his colleagues, he suddenly said, "I have the man whom you want—it is a little Corsican officer, who will not stand upon ceremony"—*Bourrenne*.

of the insurgents, amounted to 40,000 men. The park of artillery was composed of forty pieces of cannon, then collected at Sablons (about five miles from Paris) and guarded by twenty-five men. It was one o'clock in the morning. Buonaparte immediately dispatched a major of the 21st Chasseurs (this major was Muat) with 300 horse to the camp at Sablons to bring off all the artillery to the garden of the Tuileries. Had another moment been lost, he would have been too late. He reached Sablons at three in the morning, where he fell in with the head of a column from the Section Lepelletier, which was coming to seize the park, but Muat's troops being cavalry and the ground a plain, the Sectionaries did not think proper to risk an engagement. They accordingly retreated, and at five o'clock in the morning the forty pieces of cannon entered the Tuileries.

Between six o'clock and nine, Napoleon planted his artillery at the head of Pont Louis XVI the Pont-Royal, and the Rue de Rohan, at the Cul-de-Sac Dauphin, in the Rue St Honoré, at the Pont-Tournant, &c, entrusting the guarding of it to officers of known fidelity. The matches were lighted, and the little army was distributed at the different posts or kept in reserve in the gardens and at the Carrousel. The drums beat to arms in every quarter. During this interval the National Guards were posting themselves at the outlets of the different streets contiguous to the palace and garden of the Tuileries—their drums even came and beat the charge on the Carrousel, and the Place Louis XV. The danger was imminent; 40,000 National Guards, well armed, and long since trained to discipline, were in the field, and highly incensed against the Convention. The troops of the line entrusted with its defence were comparatively few in number, and might easily be led astray by catching the enthusiasm of the populace. To increase its disproportioned force, the Convention had distributed arms to about 1500 individuals called the

Patriots of '89, who were divided into three battalions and placed under the command of General Berruyer. These men fought with the most determined valour; their example influenced the other troops, and they were mainly instrumental to the success of the day. A committee of forty members, which had been chosen from the Committees of Public Safety and General Security, managed all the proceedings, discussed much, but resolved on nothing; while the urgency of the danger increased every moment. Some proposed that the Convention should lay down their arms and receive the Sections as the Roman Senators received the Gauls. Others wished the members to withdraw to Cæsar's camp on the heights of St Cloud, there to be joined by the army of the Coasts of the Ocean, and others recommended that deputations should be sent to the forty-eight Sections to make them various offers

During these vain discussions, a man named Lafond (an old Garde-du-Corps) debouched on the Pont-Neuf, about two o'clock in the afternoon, at the head of three columns from the Section Lepelletier, while another detachment of the same body advanced from the Odeon to meet them. They joined in the Place Dauphin. General Cartaux, who was stationed on the Pont-Neuf with 400 men and four pieces of cannon, with orders to defend both sides of the bridge, quitted his post, and fell back on the wickets of the Louvre. At the same time a battalion of National Guards occupied the Jardin del'Infant. They pretended to be faithful to the Convention, but nevertheless seized this post without orders. On the other side, the church of St Roche, the Théâtre Français, the Hôtel de Noailles were occupied in force by the National Guards. The posts of the Conventional troops were not above twelve or fifteen paces from them. The Sectionaries sent women to corrupt the soldiers; even the leaders came forward several times unarmed and waving their hats, as they said to *fraternise*. The danger rapidly spread. Damcan, the

general of the Sections, sent a flag of truce to summon the Convention to remove the troops that threatened the people, and to disarm the *Terrorists*, meaning the Patriots of '89. The bearer traversed the posts with his eyes bandaged, and with all the formalities of war, about three o'clock. He was then introduced into the midst of the Committee of Forty, amongst whom his menaces caused much alarm, but he obtained nothing. Night was coming on; the populace might have availed themselves of the darkness to climb from house to house to the Tuileries itself, which was closely blockaded. Napoleon had 800 muskets, belts, and cartridge-boxes brought into the hall of the Convention, to arm the members and the clerks as a corps of reserve. This measure alarmed several of them, who then began to comprehend the seriousness of the circumstance. At length at four o'clock some muskets were discharged from the Hôtel de Noailles, and some of the balls struck on the steps of the Tuileries, and wounded a woman who was going into the Gardens. At the same moment Lafond's column debouched by the Quai Voltaire, marching on the Pont-Royal, and beating the charge. The batteries then got ready: an eight-pounder at the Cul-de-Sac Dauphin opened the fire on the church of St. Roche opposite occupied by the insurgents, which served as a signal. After several discharges the church was carried. Lafond's column, taken in front and flank by the artillery placed on the quay even with the wicket of the Louvre and at the head of the Pont-Royal, was routed, the Rue St. Florentin, and the places adjacent were swept by the guns. About a hundred men attempted to make a stand at the Théâtre de la République, but were dislodged by a few shells. A few cannon-shots were heard from time to time during the night, but they were fired to prevent the barricades which some of the inhabitants attempted to form with casks. There were nearly two hundred of the Sectionnaires killed or wounded, and almost an equal number on the side of



the Convention, the greater part of the latter fell at the gates of St Roche. The Representatives, Fieron, Louvet, and Siéyes, evinced great spirit. The Section of the Quinze-Vingts in the Faubourg St. Antoine was the only one that assisted the Convention, sending 250 men to its aid. The Faubourg, however, containing the poorest of the people, though they did not rise in favour of the Government, did not act against it. The strength of the armed force of the Convention employed on this occasion, reckoning the Representatives themselves, was about 8500 men.

Assemblages still continued to form in the Section Lepelletier. On the morning of the 14th some columns marched against them by the Boulevards, the Rue Richelieu, and the Palais Royal. Cannon had been planted in the principal streets, so that the Sectionaries were speedily dispersed, and the rest of the day was passed in traversing the city, visiting the rendezvous of the insurgents, seizing arms, and reading proclamations, in the evening order was universally restored, and Paris was completely quiet. After this important service, when the officers were presented to the Convention in a body, Napoleon was chosen by acclamation Commander-in-Chief of the army of the Interior, Barras being no longer allowed to combine his military functions with the character of Representative. General Menou was delivered up to be tried by a Council of War, but Buonaparte saved him by insisting that the Representatives were more in fault than he, and should be condemned first. Lafond was the only person executed. This young man was an emigrant,\* and had displayed great courage in the action: the head of his column on the Pont Royal had formed again thrice under the fire of grape-shot before it entirely gave way. The officers were very desirous to save him; but the imprudence of his answers made it quite impossible.

\* This circumstance alone points out the complexion of the affair. The Royalists made use of the Constitutionals as tools, and the latter seem to have been at all times proud of the occupation.

It is not true that the troops were ordered to fire only with powder at the commencement of the action (which would have served to embolden the insurgents and endanger the troops), but towards the latter part of the affair, when success was no longer doubtful, they were told to fire with blank cartridges\*.

After the 13th of Vendemaire, Napoleon had to re-organise the National Guards as well as those of the Directory and Legislative Body—a circumstance that conduced very much to his success on the famous 18th of Brumaire. He left so favourable an impression on these different corps, that on his return from Egypt, although the Directory had prohibited its Guards from paying him any military honours, their order was without effect, and the soldiers could not be prevented from beating "To the Field!" the moment he appeared. The foundation of fame and greatness is laid regularly step by step, so that the brilliant renown which at last astonishes the world is but the echo of the common consent of all those with whom a really powerful mind has come in contact, instead of being the result of caprice or accident, according to the opinion of some, who would persuade us that the adventurer can at any time start up and play the hero! Great and first-rate talents, it is true,

\* "I made the troops load with powder only, which had the effect of frightening the Parisians, and answered as well as killing them would have done. But, at first, I ordered them to fire ball, because to a rabble who are ignorant of the effect of fire arms, it is the worst possible policy to fire powder only, in the beginning. For the populace after the first discharge, hearing a great noise, are a little frightened, but looking around them, and seeing nobody killed or wounded, pluck up their spirits, begin immediately to despise you, become doubly outrageous, and rush on without fear, and it is necessary to kill ten times the number that would have been done, had ball been used at first. For, with a rabble everything depends upon the first impressions made upon them. If they receive a discharge of fire arms, and perceive the killed and wounded falling amongst them, a panic seizes them, they take to their heels instantly, and vanish in a moment. Therefore, when it is necessary to fire at all, it ought to be done with ball at first. It is a mistaken piece of humanity to use powder only at that moment, for, instead of saving the lives of men, it ultimately causes an unnecessary waste of human blood"—*Bourricane*

are often concealed from observation, and are not suspected, till a proper occasion offers for them to display themselves; but from the first moment that such an opportunity occurs, they do not fail to stamp their impression on outward circumstances and opinion, as surely as the seal leaves its impression on the wax! The few months during which Napoleon was at the head of the army of the Interior were replete with difficulties and disturbance, arising from the installation of a new government (that of the Directory), the members of which were divided among themselves as well as often opposed to the Councils, the silent ferment which existed among the old Sectionaries, who were still powerful in Paris, the active turbulence of the Jacobins, who used to meet at the Society of the Pantheon, the foreign agents who fomented discord in all quarters; and, above all, from the horrible famine which at that time raged in the capital. Ten or twelve times the scanty allowance of bread, which the Government usually distributed day by day, entirely failed. The Society of the Pantheon caused the Directory increased uneasiness; in consequence of which the General-in-Chief had the doors of their assembly-room sealed up. The members stirred no more for the present, but some time after Babœuf, Antonelle, and others connected with it set on foot the conspiracy of the camp of Grenelle. Napoleon at this period frequently had occasion to harangue the people in the streets and market-places, at the Sections and in the Faubourgs; and it is worthy of notice, that of all parts of the capital the Faubourg St Antoine (which had been regarded as the most violent, and was the first that rose and demolished the Bastille at the commencement of the Revolution) was the one which he always found most ready to listen to reason and the most susceptible of generous motives \*

\* One day, as he was addressing the crowd, a fat woman, interrupting him, said, "Never mind these smart officers, who, so that they themselves get fat, do not care who else is starved." Buona-parte, who was then very thin, turned round and said, "Look at me,

It was while he commanded at Paris that Napoleon became acquainted with Madame de Beauharnais. After the disarming of the Sections a youth some twelve years of age presented himself to the staff to solicit the return of a sword which had belonged to his father, formerly a general in the service of the Republic. This youth was Eugene Beauharnais, afterwards Viceroy of Italy. Napoleon, touched by the nature of his petition and by his boyish eagerness, granted his request. Eugene burst into tears when he beheld his father's sword. The general, pleased with his sensibility, behaved so kindly to him, that his mother thought herself obliged to wait on him the next day to thank him for his attention. Every one has heard of the extreme grace of the Empress Josephine, and of her sweet and captivating manners. Napoleon was struck at this first interview. Their acquaintance soon became more tender and intimate; and it was not long before they were married\*. This connexion proved fortunate and happy

good woman, and then tell me which of us two is the fattest?" This repartee turned the laugh against her, and the mob dispersed.

\* In March, 1796 Madame Beauharnais was by birth a Creole of St Domingo. Her name originally was Marie-Joseph Rose Tascher de la Pagerie. When a child, a black sorceress had foretold that she should be one day more than a queen. Her husband had been a general in the Republican armies, and had fought valiantly in the battles on the Rhine, but merely on suspicion of being noble, had been arrested and suffered death four days before the fall of Robespierre. His wife had been thrown into prison also, where she became acquainted with Madame Fontenai, afterwards Madame Tallien, through whom she was introduced to Barras, and into the political circles of the day. Buonaparte left Paris a few days after they were married, and during the first campaign in Italy, when all Europe rang with his exploits, constantly wrote letters to her, bemoaning their separation, and full of the most passionate and even heroic feelings. On his way to join the army, he turned aside to Marseille to visit his mother and family who were residing there.

"I now most frequently met Napoleon at breakfast or dinner, (says Bourrienne in his memoirs) One day he pointed out to my observation a lady seated nearly opposite to him, asking what I thought of her. My answer seemed to be highly agreeable. His conversation afterwards turned chiefly upon this topic, touching her family and amiable qualities. He gave me to understand, that his probable marriage with the young widow, would contribute much to his happiness. I easily perceived, from the tenor of the discourse, that it

for both parties, and well perhaps would it have been had it also proved lasting

Scherer, who commanded the army of Italy, had not profited as he might have done by the victory of Loano. He was constantly writing to the Directory for money and horses, and as they could supply him with neither, he threatened to evacuate the coast of Genoa, and repossess the Var. The Directory, at a loss what to do, turned their thoughts to the General of the Interior. His reputation for boldness and skill, and the confidence reposed in him by the army of Italy, naturally pointed him out as the fittest person to retrieve the present embarrassing situation of

connexion would effectually second his ambition. His constantly increasing intimacy with her whom he loved, brought him also into contact with those most influential at that period, thus facilitating the means of realizing his pretensions. He remained in Paris only twelve days after the nuptials, which took place in March, 1796

"Such was the union, in which, with the exception of some light clouds, I have beheld uninterrupted harmony reign. Buonaparte, to my knowledge, never gave cause of real sorrow to his wife, and Madame Buonaparte, with many fascinating, possessed also many good, qualities. I am persuaded, that all who enjoyed intercourse with her, have matter only of praise, certainly few persons have had cause of complaint. In her greatness, she never lost a real friend, for she forgot no one. She was somewhat thoughtless, but an obliging and amiable patroness. Benevolence was with her a necessity of the heart, but she did not always use discrimination; hence, her favour often extended to those who little merited such protection.

"The emperor said he was well convinced, that he was the individual whom Josephine loved best in the world. She never failed to accompany him on all his journeys. Neither fatigue nor privation could deter her from following him, and she employed importunity and even artifice to gain her point. "If I stepped into my carriage at midnight, to set out on the longest journey, to my surprise I would find Josephine all ready prepared, though I had had no idea of her accompanying me. 'But,' I would say to her, 'you cannot possibly go, the journey is too long, and will be too fatiguing for you.'—'Not at all,' Josephine would reply.—'Besides, I must set out instantly.'—'Well, I am quite ready.'—'But you must take a great deal of luggage.'—'Oh, no! everything is packed up,' and I was generally obliged to yield." In a word, Josephine rendered her husband happy, and constantly proved herself his sincerest friend. At all times, and on all occasions, she manifested the most perfect submission and devotedness, and thus I shall never cease to remember her, but with tenderness and gratitude."—*Bourrienne*.

affairs These considerations determined the Government to appoint him Commander-in-Chief of the army of Italy He left Paris to join them on the 14th March, 1796 General Hatry, a veteran of sixty, succeeded him in the command of the army of Paris, which had become of less importance, now that the crisis of the scarcity was over, and the Government was more settled Buonaparte was between six and seven and twenty when he took upon him this new command \* Some one taunting him with his youth

\* After the inauguration of the Directory, Buonaparte, as general of the armed force of Paris, waited on each of the five directors Carnot, who succeeded the Abbé Sieyès, resided at the top of an hotel, beneath the ruins of the Luxembourg, his official apartments not being ready for his reception It was on a Monday that the general presented himself, being the day whereon a celebrated writer regularly visited Carnot, who was singing an air, accompanied by a young lady on the piano-forte The entrance of Napoleon, then a little well made olive complexioned youth, amidst five or six tall young men, who seemed to pay him the greatest attention, was a very surprising contrast On Buonaparte's entrance, he bowed with an air of perfect ease and self-possession, and the author alluded to inquired of Carnot who the gentlemen were? The director answered, "The general of the armed force of Paris and his aides de camp" "What is his name?" said the author "Buonaparte" "Has he great military skill?" "So it is said" "What has he ever done to render himself conspicuous?" "He is the officer who commanded the troops of the Convention on the 13th Vendemiaire" The shade deepened on the visage of the inquirer, who happened to be one of the electors of Vendemiaire, and he retired to a dark corner of the chamber, in order to observe the new visitor in thoughtfulness and in silence

Napoleon seeing the young lady still at the instrument, and the company solely attending to himself, said, "I have put a termination to your amusements some person was singing, I beg that I may not interrupt the party" The director apologized, the general insisted, and, after two or three national airs had been performed, he rose and took his leave No sooner had he departed, than the conversation turned upon the subject of Buonaparte, when Carnot predicted, from that trifling interview, that the young general would not long retain a command, which an aspiring genius could only consider as a step to future fame and glory

Barras, who was not deficient in discernment, in like manner duly appreciated the exertions of Napoleon he saw that a man endowed with so much observation and energy, was fitted for a station in which vigilance and activity were singularly requisite, and it was upon this account that he procured for Buonaparte the command of the army of Italy.

It was afterwards a matter of dispute between Carnot and Barras,

on this occasion, he is said to have given the memorable answer, "In a year's time I shall be dead or old!" Or, as it was variously reported afterwards, "In a year's time I shall have Milan,"—*J'aurai Milan,*—(meaning the name of the city, or a thousand years)

which of them originally proposed his being appointed to the command of the army of Italy Carnot asserted Barras to have been so far from recommending him to the command, "that he even kept aloof from expressing his sentiments respecting him, till the young general's brilliant successes had rendered his name celebrated all over Europe. Then it was that he boasted of his country being indebted to him for having introduced a man of such extraordinary talents into notice. But," says Carnot, "if he had been unsuccessful, the whole blame would have been laid upon me; and Barras himself would have been one of the most forward to say, that I had betrayed the country in giving a command of so much importance to a young man without experience." It is surely an honourable testimony to the talents of a general, when the rulers of a country think it a matter worthy of dispute among themselves to which of them he owes his elevation.—*O'Meara*

\* Mille ans

## CHAPTER IX.

## CAMPAIGN IN ITALY

Napoleon joins the army at Nice, its defective state, strength of the allied forces under Beaulieu, Napoleon orders in advance, his address to the soldiers, victory of Montenotte, victory of Millesimo, brilliant action at Dego, arrival of the French on the summit of Montezemolo, its descent to the plains of Piedmont, battle of Mondovì, an armistice proposed by Sardinia, and the king secedes from the coalition, Buonaparte's proclamation at Cherasco, passage of the Po at Placenza, Laharpe accidentally killed whilst reconnoitring, Berthier succeeds Laharpe, submission of the state of Parma, its choicest printings sent to Paris, they have not aided the arts there, source of the failure investigated

BUONAPARTE reached Nice, the head-quarters of the army, the 27th March, 1796\*. The picture of the army which General Scherer laid before him was even worse than any thing he had been able to conceive. The supply of bread was precarious, no distributions of meat had been made for a long time. The cavalry was in the worst condition possible, though it had been on the Rhone to recruit its strength, but it had suffered for want of provisions. The arsenals of Nice and Antibes, it is true, were well furnished with artillery, but destitute of the means of transporting it from place to place, all the draught-horses having perished for want. There were no means of conveyance left but five hundred mules. The low ebb of the finances was such that Government with all its

\* He was well received by the other generals, some of them of high standing. Massena and Augereau bore testimony to his military talents, and expressed their readiness to serve under him. Decres, afterwards Minister of Marine, who had been intimate with him, hearing he was to pass through Toulon, ran to congratulate him as an old acquaintance. But his manner, without having anything offensive in it, put a stop to his eager zeal, and he never after attempted any familiarity with him.



efforts could only furnish the chest of the army with two thousand louis in specie to open the campaign with, and 40,000*l.* in drafts, part of which were protested. Marshal Berthier preserved among his papers an order of the day, dated shortly after from Albenga, granting an extraordinary gratification of three louis-d'ors to each General of Division. The army thus destitute had nothing to expect from France, all its dependence was on victory and its new general. it was only in the plains of Italy that it could find carriage-horses for the artillery, clothe the soldiers, and mount the cavalry. This, however, was a bold and almost hopeless undertaking; for the troops consisted of only 30,000 men actually under arms, with thirty pieces of cannon at their command; while they stood opposed to 80,000 men and two hundred pieces of cannon. The army of the allies, commanded by General Beaulieu, an officer who had acquired considerable reputation in the campaigns of the North, was divided into two grand corps; the Austrian, 15,000 strong, under Lieutenant-General D'Argenteau, Melas, Wukassowich, Liptay, and Schottendorf; and the Sardinian, amounting to 25,000 men, under the Austrian General Colli, and Generals Latour and Provera. The rest of the forces of the King of Sardinia were employed to garrison the fortresses, or defend the frontier of the higher Alps, under the command of the Duke of Aosta. The French army was composed of four effective divisions of infantry, and two of cavalry, under Generals Massena, Augereau, Laharpe, Serrurier, Stengel, and Kilmaine; it amounted to 25,000 infantry, 2500 cavalry, 2500 artillery, sappers, &c, total, 30,000 men. The nominal strength of the army, according to the Government returns, was indeed 100,000 men, but out of these 30,000 were killed or taken prisoners, 20,000 were at Toulon, Marseilles, and Avignon, and the rest dispersed in the hospitals, *dépôts*, and fortresses on the coast of Genoa, or in the passes of the mountains. Had the French army been under the necessity of

engaging in a general action, its inferiority in numbers, in artillery, and cavalry must have prevented it from making an effectual stand it had therefore to make up for its inferiority in numbers by rapid marches, for the want of artillery by the nature of its manœuvres, and for its inferiority in cavalry by the choice of positions. On the other hand, the character of the French soldiers was excellent, without which nothing could have been done. They had distinguished themselves, and were grown inured to war on the summits of the Alps and of the Pyrenees. Poverty, danger, and hardships are the school in which good soldiers are bred.

The state of affairs daily grew worse ; there was no farther time to be lost. The army could no longer procure subsistence where it was, and must either advance or fall back. Napoleon gave orders to advance and thus surprise the enemy in the very opening of the campaign by striking a decisive blow. The head-quarters had never been removed farther than Nice since the commencement of the war, he at once put them on their march for Albenga, half-way between Nice and Genoa. All the persons on the civil list had long considered themselves as permanently stationary where they were, and were much more intent on providing the comforts of life for themselves than on supplying the wants of the army. Napoleon, on reviewing the troops, addressed them thus — "Soldiers, you are naked and ill-fed ! Government owes you much and can give you nothing. The patience and courage you have shown in the midst of these rocks are admirable, but they gain you no renown, no glory results to you from your endurance. It is my design to lead you into the most fertile plains in the world. Rich provinces and great cities will be in your power ; there you will find honour, glory, and wealth. Soldiers of Italy ! will you be wanting in courage or perseverance ?" This speech from a young general of six-and-twenty, already distinguished by well-earned success, was received with eager acclamations.

In the beginning of 1796, the King of Sardinia, whose military and geographical situation had procured him the title of *Porter of the Alps*, had fortresses at the outlets of all the passes leading into Piedmont. For the purpose of penetrating into Italy by forcing the Alps, it would have been necessary to gain possession of one or more of these fortresses; a work of considerable risk and difficulty, as the roads did not allow of bringing up a battering-train, and the mountains are covered with snow during three-quarters of the year, which leaves little time for besieging fortresses. Napoleon conceived the idea of turning the whole chain of the Alps, and entering Italy at the very point where these lofty mountains terminate, and where the Apennines begin. Mont Blanc (a little to the south of the Lake of Geneva) is the most celebrated point of the Alps, whence the range of these mountains decreases slowly in height towards the Adriatic as well as towards the Mediterranean as far as Mount St Jaques, where they end, and where the Apennines begin to rise gradually as far as Mount Velino, near Rome. Mount St Jaques is therefore the lowest point both of the Alps and Apennines. Savona, a sea-port and fortified town near this place, was well situated as a *dépôt* and point of support. From this town to La Madonna it is three miles, whence it was reckoned six miles to Carcari, by a road which might in a few days be rendered practicable for artillery. From Carcari there are carriage-roads leading into Piedmont and Montferrat. This is the only point by which Italy can be entered without passing over high mountains, and here the elevations of the ground are so trifling, that at a later period (during the Empire) a canal was projected for joining the Adriatic to the Mediterranean by the Po, the Tanaro, the Bormida, and by locks from that river to Savona. The plan of invading Italy on this side gave hopes of separating the Austrian and Sardinian armies, as Turin and Milan might be marched upon with equal facility in this direction, and the Pied-

montese would be interested in covering the one, the Austrians the other.

In pursuance of the design of turning the Alps and invading Italy by the Col di Cadibona, it was necessary to collect the whole army on its extreme right, a dangerous operation, had not the snow then covered all the passes of the Alps, so as to prevent the enemy from attacking them while making this change of position from the defensive to the offensive order. Serrurier posted himself at Garessio, on the other side of Mount St Jaques, to observe Colli's camp near Ceva: Massena and Augereau took possession of Loano, Finale, and Savona along the coast, Laharpe menaced Genoa, and his vanguard, led by Cervoni, occupied Voltri. The French minister demanded of the Senate of Genoa a passage by the Bocchetta and the keys of Gavi; a demand which spread alarm through this city, and even as far as Milan.

Beaulieu hastened with all speed to the aid of Genoa. He advanced to Novi, and divided his army into three corps, the right at Ceva, under Colli,\* was ordered to defend the Stura and the Tanaro, the centre, under D'Argenteau, marched on Montenotte to intercept the French army on its way to Genoa, by falling on its left flank, and cutting it off from the road of La Corniche, Beaulieu in person marched with his left on Voltri, by the Bocchetta, to protect Genoa. By this manœuvre, which at first seemed

\* Scarcely had he arrived at the army, when Colli, the Austrian general, wrote to him, requiring the liberation of one Moulin, an emigrant, who had been arrested, though acting in the capacity of an Austrian envoy, and threatening, otherwise, reprisal on the person of a French officer. The commander-in-chief of the French army replied,—“Sir, an emigrant is a paricide whom no character can protect. There was a want of respect towards the French people, in sending Moulin as envoy. You know the laws of war, and I expect understand the reprisal with which you threaten my chief of brigade, Barthelemy. If, contrary to all the laws of war, you permit an act of such barbarity, every one of your prisoners in future shall answer for the consequences, with the most unsparring vengeance. As to the rest, I hold the officers of your nation in the esteem due to brave soldiers.”—*Bourricque*.

skilful enough, he had in fact disconnected his force, as no communication was practicable between his left and his centre, except round the back of the mountains, while the French could unite in a few hours, and fall in a mass on either of the enemy's corps, on the defeat of either of which the other would be compelled to retreat. In consequence of this plan, General D'Argenteau, with the Austrian centre, encamped on Lower Montenotte on the 10th of April, and on the 11th marched on Montelegrino, to debouch by La Madonna on Savona. Colonel Rampon, who was ordered to guard the three redoubts of Montelegrino, hearing of the enemy's march, pushed forward a strong reconnoitring party to meet him, which was driven back from noon till two in the afternoon, when it regained the redoubts, which D'Argenteau in vain attempted to carry in three successive assaults; and his troops being fatigued, he was forced to take up a position, intending to turn the redoubts in the morning. General Cervoni, who had been attacked by Beaulieu before Voltri on the 10th, defended himself through the day, fell back during the evening and the night of the 11th and joined Laharpe's division, which on the 12th before day-break was drawn up in the rear of Rampon on Montelegrino. During the night Napoleon marched with Augereau's and Massena's divisions, the latter of which debouched by the Col di Cadibona and by Castellazzo behind Montenotte. At day-break on the 12th, D'Argenteau, surrounded on all sides, was attacked in front by Rampon and Laharpe, and in flank and rear by Massena's division. The rout of the Austrians was complete: those that were not killed were either taken or dispersed; four stand of colours, five pieces of cannon, and 2000 prisoners were the trophies of this day. Beaulieu, in the meantime, presented himself before Voltri, but found nobody there, had a long conference with Nelson, the English admiral, and did not hear till the 13th of the loss of the battle of Montenotte and the entrance

of the French into Piedmont. He was then obliged to retreat suddenly, and by such bad and circuitous roads, that it took him two days to reach Millesimo, and twelve to evacuate his magazines at Voltri and in the Bocchetta.

On the 12th the head-quarters of the French army were removed to Carcani. The Allies occupied Dego and Millesimo, which cover the two great roads into Piedmont and Lombardy. But on the next day but one (the 14th) the battle of Millesimo opened both these roads to the French. The enemy had strengthened his right by occupying the hill of Cossaria, which commands both branches of the Bormida. On the 13th Augereau, whose troops had not been engaged at the battle of Montenotte, attacked the right of the line opposed to him with such impetuosity, that he carried the defiles of Millesimo, and surrounded the hill of Cossaria. The Austrian General Provera, with his rear-guard 2000 strong, was cut off, in this desperate situation he took refuge in an old ruined castle, where he barricaded himself. From its top he saw the Sardinian army preparing for the battle of the following day, and conceived hopes of being released. Napoleon tried (but without being able to succeed) to gain possession of the castle of Cossaria. The next day the two armies engaged, Massena and Laharpe carried Dego after an obstinate conflict, Menard and Joubert took the heights of Biestro. All Colli's attacks, for the purpose of delivering Provera, were fruitless; so that the latter in despair laid down his arms. Great advantages resulted from this victory in the quantity of artillery and ammunition, as well as the number of prisoners taken. It also separated the Austrian and Sardinian armies. Beaulieu removed his head-quarters to Acqui on the Milan road, and Colli proceeded to Ceva, to oppose the junction of Serrurier and to cover Turin.

Meantime, Wukassowich's division of Austrian Grenadiers, which had been sent on from Voltri by

Sassello, reached Dego at three o'clock in the morning of the 15th of April, and easily carried the village, in which there were only a few French battalions. Their arrival occasioned some panic, as it was difficult to imagine how the enemy could have got to Dego, while the advanced posts on the Acqui road remained undisturbed. Napoleon marched to Dego, which was retaken after a very smart action of two hours. Adjutant-General Lanusse, who was afterwards a general of division and fell at the battle of Alexandria in Egypt in 1801, was chiefly instrumental to its success, which at one time appeared doubtful. At the head of two battalions of light troops he climbed the left side of the hill of Dego, whither some Hungarian Grenadiers hastened to oppose his progress: twice the two columns advanced and were obliged to fall back; but the third time Lanusse, placing his hat on the point of his sword, rushed forward, and by his example decided the victory. This exploit, which took place in the sight of the General-in-Chief, procured him the rank of brigadier-general. Generals Causse and Bonnel were killed; they came from the Eastern Pyrenees, and the officers who had served in that army always displayed remarkable courage and impetuosity. It was at the village of Dego that Napoleon for the first time took notice of a lieutenant-colonel, whom he made a colonel. Thus was Lannes, who afterwards became a Marshal of the Empire and Duke of Montebello, and evinced the greatest prowess in a hundred battles. Buonaparte always showed no less superiority in the quickness with which he discovered bravery than in the generosity with which he rewarded it.

After the action of Dego, operations were principally directed against the Piedmontese, and it was thought sufficient to keep the Austrians in check. Laharpe was placed in observation at the camp of San Benedetto on Monte-Belbo, where, from the scarcity of provisions, the soldiers were guilty of several excesses. Serrurier, having heard at Garessio of the battles of

Montenotte and Millesimo, occupied the heights of San Giovanni di Murialto, and entered Ceva on the same day that Augereau arrived on the heights of Montezemolo. Colli had already evacuated the town on the 17th, and retreated beyond the Corsaglia, leaving the artillery of his camp behind him, which he had not time to carry off, and placing a garrison in the fort. The arrival of the victorious army on the summit of Montezemolo was a sublime spectacle. From that position the troops beheld the immense and fertile plains of Piedmont, the Po, the Tanaro, and a multitude of other rivers meandered in the distance; in the horizon a glittering circle of snow and ice bounded the rich valley at its feet. Those gigantic barriers which rose like the limits of another world, which nature had rendered almost impassable, and on which art had lavished all its strength, had yielded as by enchantment. "Hannibal forced the Alps," said Napoleon, eyeing those stupendous mountains, "and we have turned them!"

The army passed the Tanaro, and for the first time found itself in the plains, where the cavalry became necessary. General Stengel, who commanded it, crossed the Corsaglia at Lesagno, on the right bank of that river, near its junction with the Tanaro. On the 20th, Serrurier, while passing the bridge of St Michel to attack the right of Colli's army, as Massena was passing the Tanaro to turn his left, met Colli's troops, who had become sensible of the danger of his situation, and had abandoned it in the night to retire to Mondovì. The French General was repulsed and forced to turn back, partly from the want of discipline in the troops, some of them having taken to pillage. On the 22nd, however, he debouched by the bridge of Torre, Massena by that of St Michel, the General-in-Chief by Lesagno, advancing in three columns on Mondovì, where Colli had intrenched himself. Serrurier carried the redoubt of La Bicoque, and thus decided the battle of Mondovì. The town with all its magazines fell into the power of the victor.



General Stengel, who had advanced too far into the plain with a thousand horse in pursuit of the enemy, was attacked in his turn by the Piedmontese cavalry, which were excellent, and while making his retreat in good order, received a mortal thrust in a charge and fell dead on the spot. Murat came up at the head of three regiments, and put the Piedmontese to flight. General Stengel was a native of Alsace, and an excellent officer, combining the fire and activity of youth with the judgment of age. Two or three days before his death, having been the first to enter Lesango, the General-in-Chief arrived a few hours later, and found that the defiles and fords had been reconnoitred, guides procured, the curate and postmaster questioned, provisions bespoke, and everything he could wish for in readiness. Stengel was short-sighted, and this circumstance proved fatal to him. We can hardly lament those who fell in this early struggle for independence—happier than those who lived to see its end! Death closed their eyes on victory; nor did they think they should fall in vain.

The loss of the Piedmontese in this battle amounted to 3000 slain, eight pieces of cannon, ten stand of colours, and 1500 prisoners, among whom were three generals. After the battle of Mondovì, Napoleon marched on Cherasco, Serrurier advanced on Fossano, and Augereau on Alba. Beauhieu had proceeded from Acqui towards Nizza della Paglia with half his army, to make a diversion in behalf of the Piedmontese, but too late, he fell back on the Po as soon as he heard of the treaty concluded at Cherasco. This last is a fortified place, and supplied the French troops with artillery-magazines. The army then passed the Stura and encamped before the little town of Bra. Serrurier's junction had thrown open the communication with Nice by Ponte-di-Navi; and reinforcements of artillery, with all the stores that could be got ready, also arrived from thence. In the late actions the army had made the acquisition of a great quantity of cannon and horses, and a few days after entering Che-

rasco, the artillery could furnish sixty guns well supplied and horsed. The soldiers, who had been without rations for the last ten days, now received them regularly; pillage and disorder, the usual accompaniments of want and hurried marches, ceased, the appearance of the army was improved and its losses repaired, soldiers pouring in by every road from all the *dépôts* and hospitals of the coast of Genoa on the mere report of the victories gained by the army and of the abundance it enjoyed. The court of Sardinia in these circumstances saw no other alternative but to propose an armistice. Count Latour, a cavalier of the old school, and Colonel Lacoste, an intelligent and liberal-minded man, were charged with the King's powers; and the terms proposed were, that the King of Sardinia should secede from the Coalition, and send a plenipotentiary to Paris to treat for a definitive peace; that Ceva, Coni, and either Tortona or Alexandria should be immediately surrendered to the French army, with all their artillery and stores, that the French should continue to occupy their present positions, and a free communication be allowed them by the military roads to and from France, and that Valenza should be evacuated by the Neapolitans and placed in the hands of the French General till he should have effected the passage of the Po. Colonel Murat, principal aide-de-camp, was dispatched to Paris, by way of Mont Cenis, with this capitulation and twenty-one stand of colours. His errand caused great joy in the capital. Junot, who had been sent forward after the battle of Millesimo by the Nice road, arrived later. In the course of a month from the opening of the campaign, the Legislature had five times decreed that the army of Italy had deserved well of its country.

From this time the Austrians, left to fight their own battles, might be pursued into the interior of Lombardy. But would it be prudent to do so? Many thought it madness to attempt the conquest of Italy with so small an army and with a hostile kingdom in their rear. These persons were for revolutionising

Piedmont before they ventured farther; but Buonaparte saw little danger on this side, now that the fortresses were given up, and was of opinion that the French army ought not to halt till they had reached the Adige, the best line of defence against the Austrian succours, which would soon, no doubt, pour down from the Tyrol and the Frioul. This counsel prevailed. To dare is, in critical circumstances, often the means of success; as to carry into effect what to others appears madness is the surest sign of genius. Ordinary minds are appalled no less by the magnitude than by the danger of an enterprise. Buonaparte's clearness of perception and promptness of resolution were alike conspicuous through the whole of the campaign, and it is the union of these two qualities that distinguishes the hero from the mere speculative dreamer or fool-hardy adventurer. From Cherasco he addressed a proclamation to the army, in which traces may be found of the contrariety of sentiment and the apprehensions that were entertained. "Soldiers, you have in fifteen days gained six victories, taken twenty-one stand of colours, fifty-five pieces of cannon, and several fortresses, and overrun the richest part of Piedmont: you have made 15,000 prisoners, and killed or wounded upwards of 10,000 men. Hitherto you have been fighting for barren rocks, made memorable by your valour, though useless to your country, but your exploits now equal those of the armies of Holland and the Rhine. You were utterly destitute, and you have supplied all your wants. You have gained battles without cannon, passed rivers without bridges, performed forced marches without shoes, and bivouacked without strong liquors, and often without bread. None but republican phalanxes, the soldiers of liberty, could have endured what you have done; thanks to you, soldiers, for your perseverance! Your grateful country owes its safety to you, and if the taking of Toulon was an earnest of the immortal campaign of 1794, your present victories foretel one still more glorious. The two armies

which lately attacked you in full confidence, now fly before you in consternation the perverse men who laughed at your distress and inwardly rejoiced at the triumph of your enemies, are now confounded and trembling But, soldiers, you have yet done nothing, for there still remains much to do Neither Turin nor Milan are yours. the ashes of the conquerors of Tarquin are still trodden under foot by the assassins of Basseville It is said that there are some among you whose courage is shaken, and who would prefer returning to the summits of the Alps and Apennines No, I cannot believe it The victors of Montenotte, Millesimo, Dego, and Mondovì are eager to extend the glory of the French name !”

On the 15th of May the definitive treaty of peace with the court of Sardinia was signed by Count Revel at Paris, by which the fortresses of Alexandria and Coni were surrendered to the army of Italy; Susa, Brunetta, and Exill were to be demolished, and the Alps opened; the King of Sardinia being left with no other fortified places than Turin and Fort Bard, and the Coalition thus deprived of the assistance of a power which could send from fifty to sixty thousand men into the field, and was still more formidable from its situation This treaty must have been extorted by main force, and shows the brilliant success of Napoleon's arms, as the King of Sardinia was father-in-law both to Monsieur and the Count d'Autois, and it was at his court that the first plan of the Coalition was concerted

The gates of the fortresses of Coni, Tortona, and Alexandria were opened to the French in the beginning of May The head-quarters were fixed at Tortona. Beaulieu had retreated beyond the Po, and prepared to defend the passage of that river opposite Valenza An article in the concluding part of the armistice stipulated for the surrender of that town to the French to enable them to pass the Po there This was a *ruse de guerre* With the same view to mislead, scarce had Massena reached Alexandria when he

pushed forward parties in the direction of Valenza. Augereau left Alba to encamp at the mouth of the Scrivia. Serrurier and Laharpe repaired to Tortona, where the grenadiers of the army were assembled to the number of 3500 men. With these choice troops, with the cavalry and twenty-four pieces of cannon, Napoleon, suddenly turning to the right, advanced by forced marches on Placenza, to surprise the passage of the Po. The moment the intended object was unmasked, all the other divisions abandoned their posts and followed him with the utmost expedition. On the 7th of May, at nine o'clock in the morning, he arrived before Placenza, having marched sixteen leagues in thirty-six hours. He proceeded to the bank of the river, where he remained until the passage was effected, and the van was on the opposite side. The ferry-boat of Placenza carried five hundred men or fifty horses, and crossed in half-an-hour. The river is very rapid and about a quarter of a mile in breadth. Lanusse passed first with five hundred grenadiers. Two squadrons of the enemy's hussars in vain opposed their landing. In the night of the 7th the whole army had come up, and on the 9th the bridge was finished.

Liptay's division of the Austrian army, consisting of eight battalions and eight squadrons, arrived during the night from Pavia at Fombio, one league from the bridge of Placenza. On the 8th, in the afternoon, it was discovered that the steeples and houses of the village were embattled and filled with troops, and that cannon were planted on the roads which crossed some rice-fields. It became of the utmost importance to dislodge the Austrian general from Fombio, where he might receive great reinforcements, and it would be unsafe to be compelled to give battle with so large a river in the rear. Napoleon gave orders for such dispositions as the nature of the ground required, and in an hour the village was carried, and the Austrians routed with the loss of their cannon, three standards, and above 2000 prisoners. The wreck of this corps threw

themselves into Pizzighettone, which only a few days before was thought too far from the seat of war to be put in a state of defence. It had been ascertained from the prisoners that Beaulieu was on his march to encamp behind Fombio. It was therefore possible that some of his troops, not knowing what had happened in the afternoon, might advance to Codogno, to take up their quarters there, and the troops were instructed accordingly. Laharpe drew up in front of this place, and Massena took post at the head of the bridge across the Po, to support him in case of need. The General-in-Chief, after giving orders for the most vigilant look-out, returned to his head-quarters at Placenza. What had been foreseen took place. Beaulieu, on hearing of Napoleon's advance on Placenza, put all his troops in motion, in hopes of coming up in time to prevent the passage of the river. A regiment of cavalry that preceded the column in which he was, stumbled on Laharpe's advanced posts, and turned back to give the alarm. The French bivouacs were speedily under arms. after discharging a few shots, they heard no more of the enemy. Laharpe went forward with a piquet and some officers to ascertain what was the matter, but returning by a different path from that by which he set out, the troops who were on the watch took him for the enemy, they received their General with a brisk fire, and he fell dead, pierced by the bullets of his own soldiers. Laharpe was a Swiss of the canton of Vaud. His hatred of the government of Berne had exposed him to persecution, from which he had sought an asylum in France. He was an officer of distinguished bravery, and much beloved by his troops, though of an unquiet temper. It was remarked that during the action of Fombio, on the evening preceding his death, he had appeared absent and dejected, giving no orders, seemingly deprived of his usual faculties, and overwhelmed by some fatal presentiment. The news of this melancholy accident reached head-quarters at four in the morning. Berthier

was instantly dispatched to this division of the vanguard, and found the troops in the greatest distress

On entering the States of Parma, Napoleon at the passage of the Trebbia received envoys from the Prince, suing for peace and his protection. This was granted on condition that the Duke paid two millions in French money, furnished the stores of the army with a quantity of hay and wheat, and supplied 1600 horses for the artillery and cavalry. It was on this occasion also that Napoleon exacted a contribution of works of art to be sent to the Museum at Paris, being the first instance of the kind that occurs in modern history. Parma furnished twenty pictures chosen by the French commissioners, among others the famous St Jerome of Correggio. The Duke offered 80,000*l* to be allowed to keep this picture; the opinion of the army-agents was decidedly in favour of the acceptance of the money. The General-in-Chief said, there would very soon be an end of the two millions of francs, while the possession of such a masterpiece by the city of Paris would remain a proud distinction to that capital, and would produce other *chefs-d'œuvre* of the same kind. Vain hope! Not a ray of the sentiment or beauty contained in this picture dawned upon a French canvas during the twenty years it remained there, nor ever would to the end of time. A collection of works of art is a noble ornament to a city, and attracts strangers, but works of genius do not beget other works of genius, however they may inspire a taste for them and furnish objects for curiosity and admiration. Correggio, it is said, the author of this inimitable performance, scarcely ever saw a picture. Parma, where his works had been treasured up and regarded with idolatry for nearly three hundred years, had produced no other painter like him. A false inference has been drawn from works of science to works of art, as if there could be a perpetual addition and progression both in one and the other but science advances because it never loses any of its former results, which are definable and

mechanical, whereas art is wholly conversant with undefinable and evanescent beauties, and can never get beyond the point to which individual nature and genius have carried it. The accumulation of models and the multiplication of schools, after the first rudiments are conquered, and the language is as it were learnt, only create indolence, distraction, pendency, and mediocrity. No age or nation can ever ape another. The Greek sculptors copied Greek forms; the Italian painters embodied the sentiments of the Roman Catholic religion. How is it possible to arrive at the same excellence without seeing the one or the other? From the time that men begin to borrow from others instead of themselves, and to study rules *instead of nature*, the progress of art ceases. In Italy there has not been a painter worthy of the name for the last hundred and fifty years! It was not amiss, in one point of view, that the triumphs of human genius should be collected together in the Louvre as trophies of human liberty; or to deck out the stern, gaunt form of the Republic which was declared incapable of maintaining the relations of peace and amity with the richest spoils of war. otherwise these works would make most impression and are most likely to give a noble and enthusiastic impulse to the mind in the places which gave them birth, and in connexion with the history and circumstances of those who produced them.—torn from these, they lose half their interest and vital principle. Besides, the French see nothing but what is French. Barbarism and rusticity may perhaps be instructed, but false refinement is incorrigible. They have no turn for the fine arts, music, poetry, painting. They have indeed caricatured and ill-coloured the Greek statues, as they have paraphrased the Greek drama; but that is all. This people are “born to converse, to write, and live with ease,” but they are qualified for nothing that requires the mind to make an arduous effort, or to soar beyond its ordinary flight. Buonaparte could do and did a great deal for France, but



he could not *unmake* the character of the people. Give them David's pictures, and they are satisfied; and no other country will ever quarrel with them for the possession of the prize!\* Still, justice should be done to the taste and judgment with which the selection was made, which was no less striking than the universality of the sources from whence it was drawn. As a gallery, the Louvre was unrivalled: even, the Vatican shrinks before it. Not a first-rate picture is to be met with on the Continent, but it found its way to the Louvre. Among other claims to our gratitude and wonder, it shortened the road to Italy, and it was "a journey like the path to heaven," to visit it for the first time. You walked for a quarter of a mile through works of fine art, the very floors echoed the sounds of immortality. The effect was not broken and frittered by being divided and taken piecemeal, but the whole was collected, heaped, massed together to a gorgeous height, so that the blow stunned you, and could never be forgotten. This was what the art could do, and all other pretensions seemed to sink before it. School called unto school; one great name answered to another, swelling the chorus of universal praise. Instead of robbery and sacrilege, it was the crowning and consecration of art, there was a dream, and a glory, like the coming of the Millennium. These works, instead of being taken from their respective countries, were given to the world, and to the mind and heart of man, from whence they sprang. The shades of those who wrought these miracles might here look

\* This celebrated artist, looking at some fine Caraccis no longer in the Louvre, said to a friend who was with him, "Don't you remember the time when we were sufficiently absurd to admire those daubs?" His own works now fill up the vacancy. The entrance of the Apollo, the Dying Gladiator, and other great works from Rome, at the end of the year, was celebrated by a procession of the two Councils, the Artists, by bands of music, and appropriate inscriptions, by the rehearsing of a long dithyrambic poem, and the chaunting of Horace's *Carmen Seculare*, through the streets of Paris. so oddly do they mix up new and old! Is not this *mélange* to be accounted for from the spirit of the Catholic religion?

down pleased and satisfied to see the pure homage paid to them, not out of courtesy or as a condescension of greatness, but as due to them of right as the "salt of the earth." The load that killed Correggio here first fell off, and Raphael might smile at having missed a cardinal's hat. Art, no longer a bondswoman, was seated on a throne, and her sons were kings. The spirit of man walked erect, and found its true level in the triumph of real over fictitious claims. Whoever felt the sense of beauty or the yearning after excellence haunt his breast, was amply avenged on the injustice of fortune, and might boldly answer those who asked what there was but birth and title in the world that was not base and sordid—"Look around! These are my inheritance, this is the class to which I belong!" He who had the hope, nay, but the earnest wish to achieve anything like the immortal works before him, rose in imagination and in the scale of true desert above principalities and powers. All that it had entered into his mind to conceive, his thought in tangled forests, his vision of the night, was here perfected and accomplished, was acknowledged by the fair and good, honoured with the epithet of *divine*, spoke an intelligible language, thundered over Europe, and received the bended knee of the universe. Those masterpieces were the true handwriting on the wall, which told the great and mighty of the earth that their empire was passed away—that empire of arrogance and frivolity which assumed all superiority to itself, and scoffed at everything that could give a title to it. They might be considered as naturalized and at home in this their adopted country, which set an exclusive value on what could contribute to the public ornament or the public use, and had disallowed all claims to distinction that could insult over or interfere with those of truth, nature, and genius. The Louvre was therefore "a great moral lesson," a school and discipline of humanity! Buonaparte has explained his views on this point in a letter publicly addressed to Oriani, the

celebrated mathematician, where he assures him that all men of genius, all who had distinguished themselves in the republic of letters, were to be accounted natives of France, whatever might be the actual place of their birth. "Hitherto," he says, "the learned in Italy did not enjoy the consideration to which they were entitled—they lived retired in their laboratories and libraries, too happy if they could escape the notice, and consequently the persecution, of kings and priests. It is now no longer thus—there is no longer religious inquisition nor despotic power. Thought is free in Italy. I invite the literary and scientific persons to consult together, and propose to me their ideas on the subject of giving new life and vigour to the fine arts and sciences. All who desire to visit France will be received with distinction by the Government. The people of France have more pride in enrolling among their citizens a skilful mathematician, a painter of reputation, a distinguished man in any class of letters, than in adding to their territories a large and wealthy city." This is the true spirit of Jacobinism, and not the turning the Tuileries into a potato-garden. Once more, as to the charge of plunder and robbery, all the collections in Europe answer it, for they are composed of works by the same masters. If these works were heirlooms, and sacred to the soil where they grew, they could not be removed. What is subject of barter and sale in time of peace, may be reckoned among the spoils of war. The Cartoons, the Elgin Marbles answer it. That these pictures were received in lieu of other contributions is proved by this, that 80,000*l* were offered for the restoration of the St Jerome, and refused. If the army-agents had had their way, we should have heard nothing about the robbery, because we ourselves should have liked to have pocketed the same sum. We who transfer whole peoples and bombard peaceful towns, talk at our ease about rapine and sacrilege committed on statues and pictures, because they offer no temptation to our cupidity.

The population of Parma was 40,000 souls. Its citadel was in bad repair. The duchies of Parma, Placenza, and Guastalla belonged to the Farnese family. Elizabeth, wife of Philip V, brought them into the house of Spain. Don Carlos, his son, possessed them in 1714, who being afterwards called to the throne of Naples, these duchies passed to the House of Austria in 1748, by the treaty of Aix-la-Chapelle: the infant Don Philip was invested with them, whose son Ferdinand succeeded him in 1762. He was Condillac's famous pupil, and died in 1802. He inhabited the castle of Coloino, surrounded with monks, and occupied with the most minute and rigid observance of religious duties.

## CHAPTER X.

## CAMPAIGN IN ITALY—CONTINUED.

Campaign in Italy continued ; brilliant exploit at the bridge of Lodi ; courageous behaviour of Lannes and Napoleon , Buonaparte enters Milan , his order of the day , revolt of Pavia , its suppression ; Napoleon enters the Venetian territory , his proclamation to the people , neutrality stipulated , the Austrians beaten on the Mincio , narrow escape of Buonaparte , he forms a body-guard in consequence , Massena enters Verona , an armistice proposed by Naples , Beaulieu recalled and succeeded by Melas , Lannes enters Arquata , and Murat proceeds to Genoa , Napoleon arrives at Modena—is enthusiastically received , enters Bologna in triumph ; an armistice concluded with Rome , Napoleon passes the Apennines , Murat surprises Leghorn ; seizure of English merchandise ; citadel of Milan capitulates , failure of an attempt by Androsy to surprise Mantua.

ON the 10th of May the French army marched from Casal-Pusterlengo on Lodi, where Beaulieu had effected his junction with Sebottendorf's and Roselmini's divisions, and had directed Colli and Wukassowich northwards on Milan and Cassano, Napoleon's object was to intercept these last troops, if possible, before they could reach their destination; but on the Lodi road he met with a strong rear-guard of Austrian grenadiers, who made a most obstinate resistance, but were at last thrown into disorder, and pursued hotly by the French, who entered the town pell-mell with them, the enemy in vain endeavouring to close the gates. The fugitives rallied on the other side of the bridge, outside the town, where Beaulieu was posted with 12,000 infantry and 4000 cavalry, and between twenty and thirty pieces of cannon. Napoleon, in hopes of still cutting off the division (10,000 strong) which was marching on Cassano, resolved to pass the bridge over the Adda the same day under the enemy's fire, and to astonish

them by so daring an operation. Accordingly, after a few hours' rest in the town, about five o'clock in the evening, he ordered General Beaumont with some cavalry to cross the Adda at a ford half a league above the town, and to open a fire on the enemy's right. At the same time he placed at the entrance of the bridge, and near it, all the disposable artillery of the army, to answer the fire of the enemy's guns, which were ranged on the other side. In the thickest of the cannonade, he himself stepped forward to point two of the guns in such a manner as to render it impossible for any one to approach in order to undermine or blow up the bridge. He had drawn up the grenadiers in close column behind the rampart of the town, on the edge of the Adda, where they were, in fact, nearer the enemy's guns than the line of the Austrian infantry itself, the latter having withdrawn behind a rising ground at some distance to shelter itself from the balls of the French batteries. As soon as Buonaparte perceived the fire of the Austrian artillery slacken, and that General Beaumont had made good his landing on the other side, he ordered the charge to sound, and the head of the column of grenadiers, by a sudden wheel to the left, reached the bridge, which it crossed at a rapid pace in a few seconds, and instantly seized the enemy's cannon. The column had been exposed to the greatest danger at the moment of wheeling to the left to reach the bridge. From the tremendous fire they had to encounter, there was for an instant some hesitation, but Lannes, Berthier, and D'Allemagne, heading the column, hurried them on, so that they soon reached the opposite side of the bridge without any sensible loss, fell upon the Austrian line before they had time to rally, broke it, and forced them to retreat on Crema in the greatest disorder, with the loss of their artillery, several stand of colours, and 2500 prisoners. This operation, conducted in such dangerous circumstances with so much boldness and presence of mind, has always been referred to as one of Buonaparte's

most brilliant exploits It was on this occasion, in compliment to the personal bravery he had shown, that the soldiers gave him the title of the *Little Corporal*\* The French did not lose above 200 men.† Colli and Wukassowich had however crossed the Adda at Cassano, and made their escape by the Brescia road, which determined the French to march on Pizzighettone, and secure that fortress before it could be repaired or victualled Napoleon in his nightly rounds here fell in with a party of prisoners, in which was an old garrulous Hungarian officer, whom he asked how matters went with them? The old captain could not deny but that they went on badly enough, "but," added he, "there is no understanding it at all; we have to do with a young general, who is this moment before us, the next behind us, then again on our flanks; one does not know where to place one's-self This manner of making war is insufferable, and against all rule and custom"

\* A singular custom was established in the army of Italy, in consequence of the youth of the commander, or from some other cause. After each battle, the oldest soldiers used to hold a council, and confer a new rank on their young general, who, when he made his appearance in the camp, was received by the veterans, and saluted with his new title They made him a corporal at Lodi, and a serjeant at Castiglione, and hence the surname of "*Petit Caporal*," which was for a long time applied to Napoleon by the soldiers How subtle is the chain which unites the most trivial circumstances to the most important events! Perhaps this very nickname contributed to his miraculous success on his return in 1815 While he was haranguing the first battalion, which he found it necessary to address, a voice from the ranks exclaimed, "*Vive notre petit Caporal! we will never fight against him*"—*Las Cases*

+ This account has been criticised as inconsistent with his own expression in the original bulletin, where he speaks of the "terrible passage of the bridge of Lodi" But there is no inconsistency, for he speaks in the same place of the smallness of their loss "*If we have lost few men*," he says, "*we owe it to the promptitude of the execution, and to the sudden effect produced on the enemy by the mass and formidable fire of this intrepid column*" Some one having read at St Helena an account of the battle of Lodi, in which it was said that Buonaparte displayed great courage in crossing the bridge, and that Lannes passed it after him—"Before me!" cried Buonaparte, with much warmth, "Lannes passed first, and I only followed him It is necessary to correct that on the spot!" And the correction was accordingly made in the margin of the book.

No French troops had yet entered Milan, although that capital was several days' march in the rear of the army, which had posts at Cremona. But the Austrian authorities, with the Archduke and Duchess, had abandoned it. The municipality and the States of Lombardy sent a deputation, with Melzi at its head, to make a protest of their submission and to implore the clemency of the victor. It was in memory of this mission that the King of Italy afterwards created the duchy of Lodi in favour of Melzi. On the 15th of May, Buonaparte made his entrance into Milan under a triumphal arch, amidst an immense population and the numerous National Guard of the city, clothed in the three colours, green, red, and white. At the head of the corps was the Duke of Serbelloni, whom the members had chosen for their commander. Augereau retrograded to occupy Pavia, Serrurier occupied Lodi and Cremona; and Laharpe's division Como, Cassano, Lucca, and Pizzighettone, which last place was armed and victualled. Napoleon addressed the following order of the day to his men: "Soldiers, you have rushed like a torrent from the top of the Apennines; you have overthrown and scattered all that opposed your march. Piedmont, delivered from Austrian tyranny, indulges her natural sentiments of peace and friendship towards France. Milan is yours, and the Republican flag waves throughout Lombardy. The Dukes of Parma and Modena owe their political existence to your generosity alone. The army which so proudly threatened you can now find no barrier to protect it against your courage; neither the Po, the Ticino, nor the Adda could stop you for a single day. These vaunted bulwarks of Italy opposed you in vain; you passed them as rapidly as the Apennines. These great successes have filled the heart of your country with joy; your Representatives have ordered a festival to commemorate your victories, which has been held in every district of the Republic. There your fathers, your mothers, your wives, sisters, and mistresses rejoiced in your good



fortune, and proudly boasted of belonging to you. Yes, soldiers, you have done much—but remains there nothing more to do? Shall it be said of us that we knew how to conquer, but not how to make use of victory? Shall posterity reproach us with having found Capua in Lombardy? But I see you already hasten to arms. An effeminate repose is tedious to you the days which are lost to glory are lost to your happiness. Well, then, let us set forth! We have still forced marches to make, enemies to subdue, laurels to gather, injuries to revenge. Let those who have sharpened the daggers of civil war in France, who have basely murdered our ministers and burnt our ships at Toulon, tremble. The hour of vengeance has struck; but let the people of all countries be free from apprehension, we are the friends of the people everywhere, and more particularly of the descendants of Brutus and Scipio, and those great men whom we have taken for our models. To restore the Capitol, to replace the statues of the heroes who rendered it illustrious, to rouse the Roman people, stupified by several ages of slavery—such will be the fruit of our victories, they will form an era for posterity, you will have the immortal glory of changing the face of the finest part of Europe. The French people, free and respected by the whole world, will give to Europe a glorious peace, which will indemnify them for the sacrifices of every kind which for the last six years they have been making. You will then return to your homes, and your countrymen will say as they point you out, *He belonged to the army of Italy!*”

The army rested six days at Milan, improving its condition and completing its trains of artillery. Piedmont and the Parmesan had afforded great resources; but those found in Lombardy were even more considerable, and furnished the means of discharging the arrears of pay, supplying the wants of the troops, and establishing regularity in the different branches of the service. The whole of the plain of Lombardy, extending from the Alps to the Apennines, and from

the Mediterranean to the Adriatic, is one entire garden, in which there is scarcely so much as an acre of ground either waste or not cultivated, planted, and watered in the highest degree. On the approach of the French, the Duke of Modena, Reggio, and Mirandola sent his natural brother, the Commander of Este, to conclude an armistice; he paid ten millions of francs, gave horses and provisions of all kinds, and a certain number of works of art. He was a covetous old man, and fled to Venice to preserve his treasure, where he died in 1798. He was the last of the house of Este, so famous in the middle ages, and celebrated with such pomp and elegance by Tasso and Ariosto. His daughter, the Princess Beatrice, was the mother of the Empress of Austria, who died in 1816.

Milan was founded by the Gauls of Autun 580 years before Christ. Its population, latterly, amounted to 120,000 souls, it had ten gates, one hundred and forty convents of men and women, and a hundred confraternities. An hospital, the Ambrosian library, and a great number of fine palaces and beautiful walks adorn this city. The cathedral is the most admired in Italy, after St Peter's, it is Gothic, faced with white marble, of the most elaborate and costly workmanship, was begun by Galeazzo in 1300, and finished by Napoleon in 1810. Under the Roman Empire, Milan was the capital of Cisalpine Gaul, and in the middle ages was the stronghold of the Guelphs, as Pavia was the chief seat of the Ghibelline faction. In the beginning of the French Revolution, it found strong partisans here, and excited the warmest enthusiasm, as in most of the other capitals of Europe, but the scenes acted during the reign of terror had thrown a damp on this feeling. The Austrian Government was however far from being popular, and was accused of conniving at all sorts of exactions and depredations. The citadel was in a good state of defence, Beaulieu had left 2500 men in it. General Despinous was entrusted with the command of Milan and the blockade

of the citadel Not long after, the revolt of Pavia broke out The peasants of that province had risen to the number of several thousand, and surprised the citadel of the town, which was in the hands of the French. Buonaparte sent the Archbishop of Milan to appease them, whose remonstrances had no effect. The insurgents of Pavia, who were to have been seconded by the garrison of Milan, pushed a vanguard of 800 men as far as Binasco, where they were met by Lannes, who repulsed them, took the village, pillaged and set fire to it It was hoped that the conflagration, which was visible from the walls of Pavia, would overawe that city. But this was not the case Napoleon made haste there with 1500 men and six field-pieces These hardly seemed enough to storm a city containing 30,000 souls in a state of insurrection; but the circumstances were critical; the tocsin was sounding throughout the adjacent country. the least check might have proved fatal to the French, and Napoleon risked the attack. The field-pieces dislodged the peasants from the ramparts, where they did all they could to annoy the troops; and the soldiers with their axes then broke down the gates They entered the town and stationed themselves in the houses at the tops of the streets The peasantry got alarmed, fled the city, and gained the fields, where the cavalry pursued them, and put a great number to the sword The 300 French who had been taken prisoners in the citadel liberated themselves, and made their appearance without arms and in a bad plight. The General's first impulse was to have the garrison decimated. "Cowards," he exclaimed, "I entrusted you with a post essential to the safety of the army, and you have abandoned it to a mob of wretched peasants, without offering the least resistance." The captain attempted to justify himself by an order from General Haquin, whom the insurgents had stopped while changing horses on his way from Paris, and presenting a pistol to his breast, threatened to shoot him unless he caused the citadel to surrender.

His conduct did not excuse the commander of the fort, who was not under his orders, and even if he had been, should have ceased to obey the moment the other was taken prisoner. He was delivered over to a council of war and shot. The confusion in the city was extreme, but the pillage, which was afterwards much exaggerated, was confined chiefly to the goldsmiths' shops. The suppression of this revolt was a salutary lesson to the rest of Italy. Hostages were also taken from the principal families of Lombardy, who were recommended to visit France, and came back with a favourable impression. The insurrection was immediately owing to an extraordinary contribution of a million sterling, which had been just laid on, and to some individual instances of oppression. If France could have maintained her own armies, it would have been no difficult task to have made friends of the Italians, but they did not understand taking their money from them and giving them liberty in exchange. It was wonderful how Napoleon managed so well as he did, placed in such circumstances.

In the mean time, the French army continued its march on the Oglio under the command of Berthier, who had succeeded Laharpe. the General-in-Chief rejoined it at Soncino, and on the 28th marched with it into Brescia, one of the largest towns of the Venetian Terra Firma, the inhabitants of which were discontented with the government of the Venetian nobles. It had submitted to the Republic of Venice in 1426. Its inhabitants amount to 50,000, those of the whole province to 500,000, some living in the mountains, others cultivating rich plains. The following proclamation was posted. "It is to deliver the finest country in Europe from the iron yoke of the proud House of Austria, that the French army has braved the most formidable obstacles. Victory, siding with justice, has crowned its efforts with success, the wreck of the enemy's army has retreated behind the Mincio. In order to pursue them, the French army

enters the territory of the Republic of Venice ; but it will not forget that the two Republics are united by ancient friendship Religion, government, and customs shall be respected Let the people be free from apprehension, the severest discipline will be kept up ; whatever the army is supplied with shall be punctually paid for in money The General-in-Chief invites the officers of the Republic of Venice, the magistrates, and priests to make known his sentiments to the people, in order that the friendship which has so long subsisted between the two nations may be cemented by confidence Faithful in the path of honour as in that of victory, the French soldier is terrible only to the enemies of his liberty and his government."

The Senate sent *Proveditores* to meet the army and make protestations of its neutrality It was agreed that the Senate should supply all ordinary provisions to be afterwards paid for Beauhieu had received strong reinforcements on the Mincio, which river runs from the Lake of Garda into the Po by Mantua. Disregarding the remonstrances of the Venetians, he had forced the gates of the fortress of Peschiera on the Lake, and made that place the support of his right, which was commanded by General Liptay ; his centre was at Valeggio and Borghetto with Pittony's division, Sebottendorf and Colli were at Pozzuolo and Gorto, the reserve under Melas, 15,000 strong, was encamped at Villa-Franca in the rear or between the Mincio and the Adige On the 29th of May, the French army was posted at Dezenzano, Montebelluna, and Castiglione, leaving Mantua to its right On the 30th at daybreak, it marched on Borghetto, after having led the enemy to suppose it would pass the Mincio at Peschiera and drawn his reserve to that place Near Borghetto, the French vanguard fell in with 3000 Austrian and Neapolitan cavalry in the plain. they were attacked by General Murat, who obtained an important success in this action, together with a number of cannon and prisoners, among whom was the Prince of Cuto, who commanded the Nea-

politan cavalry This was the first time that the French cavalry had measured its strength to advantage with the Austrian, and from that time forward it emulated the infantry Colonel Gardane, at the head of the grenadiers, charged into Borghetto, the enemy burnt the bridge, which could not be restored under the fire from the neighbouring heights of Velaggio Gardane threw himself into the river the Austrians were struck with the recollection of the terrible column of Lodi, and beat a retreat Velaggio was carried. The bridge was re-constructed by noon, and the French army passed the Mincio, Augereau advancing up the left bank on Peschiera, and Serrurier pursuing the Austrian troops, who were retiring on Villa-Franca. The General-in-Chief accompanied this division as long as the enemy was in sight; but as they avoided an engagement, he returned back to Velaggio, where he narrowly escaped being taken prisoner through an accident. Massena's division, appointed to guard Velaggio, was preparing dinner on the right bank of the river, not having yet passed the bridge Sebottendorf's division, having heard the cannonade at Velaggio, had begun its march up the left bank of the river, and their scouts having approached quite near without meeting any one, they entered the town, and had proceeded as far as the lodgings where the General-in-Chief was his piquet guard had barely time to shut the carriage gateway and cry *To arms*, which afforded him an opportunity of mounting his horse, and escaping through the gardens behind the house Massena's soldiers, hearing the alarm, overturned their soup-kettles and passed the bridge The sound of the drums put the Austrian hussars to flight.

The danger which Napoleon had incurred convinced him of the necessity of having a guard of picked men trained to the service, and especially charged to watch over his personal safety He formed a corps to which he gave the name of *Guides* Major Bessieres had he charge of it This corps thenceforth wore

the uniform which was afterwards worn by the Chasseurs of the Guard, of which it was the germ. It was composed of picked men who had been in the army ten years at least, and had rendered eminent services in the field. Thirty or forty of these resolute fellows, opportunely set on, often produced the most unexpected results. The Guides had the same effect in a battle as the squadrons on duty afterwards had under the Emperor: both were under his immediate eye, and he ordered them on at critical junctures. Bessieres, who was a native of Languedoc, and had served originally in the army of the Eastern Pyrenees, possessed a cool species of bravery, calm and undisturbed amidst the enemy's fire, his sight was quick, and he was much accustomed to cavalry movements. He and Murat were the best cavalry officers in the army, but of very opposite qualities. Murat was a good vanguard officer, adventurous, impetuous; Bessieres was better adapted for a reserve, being full of vigour, but prudent and circumspect. From the period of the enrolment of the Guides, he was exclusively entrusted with the duty of guarding the General-in-Chief and the head-quarters. He was afterwards Duke of Istria, and a marshal of the Empire.

In order to cover Italy and the siege of Mantua (which was Napoleon's present object) it was necessary to occupy the line of the Adige, and to gain possession of the bridges of Verona and Legnago over it. The Adige runs only a short distance between the Lake of Garda, the mountains, and the sea on the north-eastern side of Italy, and consequently limited the approach of the Austrian army to a narrow interval and a few difficult points. All the insinuations of the Proveditore Foscarelli against marching on Verona were therefore of no avail. On the 3rd of June, Massena took possession of that fine city, which contains not less than 60,000 inhabitants, its walls extend to both sides of the river. The great object of the march of the French was thus attained. the

tri-coloured flag waved on the passes of the Tyrol. It was now time to force Mantua, and tear that shield from Austria. Hopes were entertained in the French camp of accomplishing this event before the arrival of the new Austrian army; but what battles, what obstacles, what dangers, were to be encountered first! Mantua is situated between three lakes, formed by the waters of the Mincio, which runs from the lake of Garda by this city to discharge itself into the Po near Governolo. It is accessible by five dikes or causeways, of which that of La Favorita or Roverbella is the only one defended by a citadel, the rest are without any defence, so that a handful of men placed at the extremity of each of these causeways could blockade the garrison. Since then, indeed, in the time of the kingdom of Italy, there being an intention to complete this grand fortress, it was a preliminary step to occupy all the outlets of the dikes with fortifications. Thus after forcing the heads of the four dikes, and taking the *faubourg* of San Giorgio (which happened on the 4th of June, under the direction of the General-in-Chief, who was near entering the city at the same time), Serrurier, who was left in command with an army of 8000 soldiers, actually blockaded a garrison containing 14,000 effective troops. A dozen gun-boats manned with French seamen cruised on the lakes. It was just at this period that the King of Naples sent to propose an armistice, by which 2500 horse would be withdrawn from the Austrian force. He could also send 60,000 troops into the field, which in the approaching contest must have made an important diversion in favour of the enemy. Beauhieu, after all these disasters, fell into disgrace with his court, he was recalled, and Melas took the command in his stead, till Marshal Wurmser could arrive from the army of the Upper Rhine. The Directory, on the other hand, intoxicated with such repeated and unexpected success, wanted to ruin everything by sending Napoleon on with half the army to revolutionise Rome and Naples, and leaving



the command of the remainder to Kellermann. Buonaparte, foreseeing the utter destruction that must follow on the execution of this scheme, indignantly resigned the Directory became sensible of their error, and from that time meddled no farther with the army of Italy than to approve of all that Napoleon did or suggested.

In the mean time, the citadel of Milan held out longer than was expected or convenient, as the cannon were wanted for the siege of Mantua: Girola, the Austrian minister at Genoa, excited the Imperialists to insurrection and organized companies of disbanded soldiers and freebooters to intercept the reinforcements of the French army; the Court of Rome was preparing for war, and a number of English troops were collected in Corsica, ready to embark for Leghorn. Marshal Wurmser, who had quitted the Rhine with 30,000 choice troops, was marching on Italy, where, however, he could not arrive before the middle of July. It was now the beginning of June. There was therefore an interval of thirty or forty days, during which the necessary detachments might be spared to correct the partial grievances complained of, so as to return to the Adige by the middle of July. Napoleon then repaired to Milan, where he saw the trenches opened before the citadel, proceeded thence to Tortona, and directed a column of 1200 men, commanded by Colonel Lannes, to march into the Imperial fiefs. Lannes entered Arquata after an obstinate resistance; shot the banditti who had slaughtered 150 French, and demolished the castle of the Marquis de Spinola, the principal instigator of these disturbances. At the same time, Murat proceeded to Genoa, and being introduced into the Senate by the Minister of the Republic Faypoult, demanded and obtained the dismissal of the Governor of Novi, the expulsion of the Austrian agents, of the ambassador Girola, and the establishment of columns of Genoese troops at the different halting-places, to escort the French convoys and to keep the communi-

cation open General Augereau passed the Po on the 14th of June at Borgo-Forte, reached Bologna and Ferrara in four marches, and took possession of these two legations which belonged to the Pope General Vaubois collected a brigade of 4000 men and 700 horses at Modena. Napoleon left Tortona, passed through Placenza, Parma, and Reggio, and on the 19th arrived at Modena. His presence produced an electrical effect on the people, who called loudly for liberty. He did all he could to allay the ferment and to ensure obedience to the Regency. The old duke had already fled with his treasures to Venice. The road from Modena to Bologna runs along under the glacis of Fort Uibino, belonging to the Pope. it was armed, victualled, and defended by a strong garrison. Augereau's division had passed by it the preceding day without stopping to summon it. Colonel Vignolles advanced against it with 200 Guides, and made the garrison surrender as prisoners of war. This fort was lined with sixty pieces of cannon, half of which were forwarded to Borgo-Forte. In the citadel of Ferrara a hundred and twelve guns had been taken, forty of which were also sent to Borgo-Forte.

At Bologna, Augereau's division found a cardinal at the head of 400 men, whom he took prisoners. The cardinal obtained leave to go to Rome on his parole; behaving very ill, and being desired to return, he sent a very specious answer that he was released from his parole by a brief from his Holiness, which caused a good deal of laughing in the army. Bologna is surnamed the *Learned*. It is situated at the foot of the Apennines, and contains 50,000 or 60,000 inhabitants. Its noble streets are adorned with porticos formed into arcades for the convenience of foot-passengers. its academy is the most renowned in Italy. The people were dissatisfied with the Papal government, and complained of being subjected to a set of priests, men devoted to celibacy, and who sacrificed everything to the interests of their order. The entrance of the army was a triumph. Caprara, Marescalchi,

and Aldini did the honours to the victor, and brought their *Golden Book* to show him the names of his ancestors inscribed among the list of their senators. There were three or four hundred Spanish Jesuits at Bologna at this period, they were much alarmed, but no disrespect was shown them. In the course of the few days that Napoleon remained here, the appearance of the city was entirely changed. All but the priests assumed the military dress and sword; and even a great number of ecclesiastics were drawn in by the spirit that animated the people. The French General showed himself constantly in public, and went to the theatre every night, escorted only by the Bolognese. The Vatican now felt alarm, and the Spanish minister, Azzara, was dispatched with full powers to grant an armistice till peace could be concluded, according to which Bologna and Ferrara were to remain in possession of the French, who were to garrison Ancona, and the Pope was to pay the value of twenty one millions in money, horses, and provisions, and to give up one hundred works of art for the Museum at Paris. The philosophers and enemies of the Holy See were by no means pleased with this suspension of hostilities, and the people of Bologna, more particularly, were apprehensive of returning under the Papal jurisdiction. Having made this arrangement, which secured the flanks of the army from molestation, and tended to conciliate the minds of the faithful, Napoleon passed the Apennines, and on the 26th of June joined Vaubois' division at Pistoia. He was here waited on by Manfredini, prime minister of the Grand Duke of Tuscany, who was assured of the friendly disposition of the French, and that they only wished to pass on to Siena. On the 30th, Murat, who led the vanguard, turned short from Firenzuola on Leghorn, hoping to surprise a hundred English ships which were laden in the port, but they received timely notice and set sail for Corsica. The English were driven from Corsica in the month of October following, as Sir Gilbert Elliot, the viceroy,

had not sufficient strength to resist the attacks of the insurgents and refugees who flocked over under Gentili. The English merchandise seized at Leghorn brought twelve millions of francs into the army-chest. Vaubois was left here with a garrison of 2000 men; the rest returned to the Adige. Napoleon crossed over from Leghorn to Florence, on an invitation from the Grand Duke. He was without any escort, was much pleased with his reception by the Grand Duke, and visited every object of interest or curiosity in this ancient and renowned capital. While at dinner with the Grand Duke, Napoleon received the news of the taking of the citadel of Milan, which had capitulated on the 29th. Manfredini, his prime minister, had been preceptor to this prince, as well as to the Archduke Charles, he was an enlightened and liberal man, attached to the philosophical principles of the French Revolution, though he blamed its excesses, and a zealous friend to the independence of Italy. On his arrival at Bologna, Napoleon found that Lugo had revolted. The Bishop of Imola, afterwards Pius VII, in whose diocese the insurrection had broken out, published a mandate to open the eyes of the peasants, who had committed several excesses, and submitted only to force. It was on his journey across the country to Pistoia that Buonaparte stopped with his military staff at San Miniato, at the house of his uncle, an old canon, who amused them by insisting on the canonization of one Father Bonaventura Buonaparte, a Capuchin friar and a member of the family, who had worked miracles a century before. Pope Pius VII, as has already been mentioned, was solicitous to add this saint to the calendar.

On Napoleon's return to Mantua, an attempt was made to surprise the place, but failed. Colonel Andreossi collected a number of boats on the lake, in which a hundred grenadiers were embarked. They were to land at two in the morning under the battery and bastion of the palace, to seize the postern-gate and let down the drawbridges of the causeway of San

Giorgio, by which the army were to enter the city. But the Po having fallen considerably, and the waters of the lake having run off with great rapidity, there was not sufficient water for the boats, which were obliged to get among the reeds, to avoid being perceived from the walls, they grounded there during the night, and it was impossible to get them off. The next night the waters abated still more, and the attempt was altogether abandoned. On the 18th of July, all the natural obstacles to the approach of the fortress were removed. on the 22nd, General Chasseloup (of the engineers) opened the trenches round the town, and the siege became an ordinary one. Napoleon's mind being made easy on the subject, and an understanding being established with the Count de St Marsan, the King of Sardinia's agent at Milan, an intelligent and able man, he prepared to meet the storm that was ready to burst over the Tyrol.

## CHAPTER XI

## THE TAKING OF MANTUA.

Advance of the Austrians under General Wurmser to the Tyrol, masterly manœuvres of Napoleon, battle of Lonato, battle of Castiglione, retreat of the Austrians, Mantua blockaded by the French, general disposition of their armies, desert of Wurmser at Roveredo, battle of Bassano, critical situation of Wurmser, battle of San Giorgio, the town blockaded by the French, Operations of the campaign in Germany, Piciegru driven back by the Austrians, an armistice signed, Piciegru removed, and Moreau appointed to succeed him, renewal of hostilities on the Rhine, successes of Moreau and Dessaux, surrender of Frankfort, Koenigstein, and Wurtzburgh to Jourdan; the Saxon troops abandon the Austrians, battle of Neresheim, retreat of the Archduke Charles, passes the Danube, and defeats Jourdan, the French divisions cross the Danube, Latour driven from Friedburg by the Austrians, celebrated retreat of Moreau, Kehl and Huningue taken by the Austrians, Alvinzi appointed to the command in Italy, is defeated by Napoleon at Vicenza, Vaubois repulsed on the Avisio, and the French fall back to support him, Napoleon's reproof to Vaubois' division, its effect; battle of Caldiero, Alvinzi victorious, and Napoleon returns to Verona, difficult situation of the armies, murmurs of the soldiery, Napoleon answers them, masterly movement of Napoleon, is successful at all points, his bravery at the bridge of Arcola, Alvinzi abandons Caldiero, the French army re enter Verona in triumph, formidable preparations for a new campaign, hostilities again commenced, battle of Rivoli, signal defeat of the Austrians, Buonaparte wounded, Moreau endeavours to relieve Mantua, is followed by Napoleon, deserted, and compelled to capitulate, proposal for the surrender of Mantua, Buonaparte's generosity to Wurmser, fall of Mantua.

MASTERLY as were the manœuvres in the former battles of this campaign, those which followed were no less so. The comprehension of the whole, the attention to the details, the previous calculations, the sudden expedients, the clearness of head and boldness of hand were alike conspicuous and admirable. Buonaparte, instead of being discouraged by partial

reverses or straitened resources, turned the former to advantage, and made up by unabated and indefatigable activity for the narrowness of his means. Instead of reposing on immediate success, he made one victory serve as a stepping-stone to another. It is the fault of most generals that after a great battle gained, they are at a loss what to do, as if confounded by their own good luck, and unwilling to push their advantage to the utmost. They make a sort of truce with fortune, and indulge in a holiday of self-congratulation and triumphant retrospect to the escape they have had, before they trust the slippery goddess again. Buonaparte had none of this timidity or doubt of her plenary and unbounded favours. He thought nothing done while anything remained to do, and redoubled his blows (never thinking any attempt or any success too great) till he had fairly laid his adversary prostrate at his feet, and disabled him from farther resistance. He did not interpose either through indolence or irresolution a single moment's delay, or the scruple of a doubt between the first prospect of victory and its final completion. The real clue to his brilliant ascendancy over others, and almost over fortune, was a high-spirited and heroic daring that looked danger in the face, and ran to meet it wherever it showed itself most formidable, thus by one decisive blow striking at the superiority and staggering the confidence of the enemy at first, whereas, by a contrary and more cautious method, he would have exhausted his strength in gaining trifling advantages, and have had to encounter the principal difficulties at last with diminished means and jaded ardour. Wherever his presence was most wanted, thither he was attracted by the irresistible impulse of conscious power to contend with an occasion worthy of it; and his spirit flamed in every part of the theatre of war, as the lightning illumines the thunder-cloud. A question has been idly raised of Buonaparte's personal courage, and many instances have been cited in proof of it. He himself considered

Garda, advance on Brescia, and turn the rear of the whole French army, which being thus separated from Milan, would have its retreat cut off and be entirely destroyed. Wurmser, proud of his great superiority of force, meditated not how to conquer, but how to take advantage of his supposed victory, and render it decisive and fatal to the enemy. Napoleon was at Milan when he heard of the movements in the Tyrol, he repaired with all possible speed to Castel-Novo, a little town, where he was within equal reach of the mountains, Montebaldo, and Verona. News came in the course of the day and night of the 29th of July, that Corona and Brescia had been attacked; that the valleys on both sides of the lake, that of the Adige and that of Chiesa, swarmed with Austrian troops, and that one of the routes to Milan had been cut off.

Wurmser's plan was now unmasked, he had taken the lead in moving, and hoped to keep it. He considered his adversary as fixed about Mantua, and imagined that by surrounding this fixed point he should surround the French army. In order to counteract his schemes, it was necessary for the French commander himself to take the lead, to render the army moveable by raising the siege of Mantua, abandoning the trenches and the besieging train (a dreadful sacrifice) for the purpose of advancing rapidly, with the whole army in junction, upon one of the enemy's corps, and afterwards against the other two in succession. The Austrians had the advantage in numbers, in the proportion of five to two, but if the three corps could be attacked separately by the whole French army, the latter would be superior in number on the field of battle. The enemy's right under Quasdanowich, which had debouched on Brescia by the Chiesa, was the farthest advanced, Napoleon, therefore, determined to march against this corps first. Serrurier's division burned the carriages of their besieging trains and their platforms, threw their powder into the water, buried their shot, spiked their cannon, and raised the siege of



Mantua on the night of the 31st of July. Augereau's division proceeded from Legnago (where it had been stationed) to Borghetto on the Mincio, Massena's troops defended the heights between the Adige and the lake of Garda during the whole of the 30th, to prevent Wurmser from advancing on that side. D'Allemagne's brigade directed its march from the Adige on Lonato. Sauret was ordered to fall back on Salò, in order to disengage General Gueux, who had been left in a disadvantageous position there; nevertheless, he fought with a whole division of the enemy's troops for forty-eight hours, and repulsed them five times. Sauret came up when they were making a final attack, fell on their flanks, and totally defeated them. At the same time General Ocskay's Austrian division had advanced from Gavardo on Lonato to effect its junction with Wurmser, but was driven back by D'Allemagne's brigade, which Napoleon led in person. Wurmser had now passed the Adige, and occupied the country between that and the lake of Garda. He placed one of his divisions at Peschiera, directed two others on Borghetto to seize the bridge over the Mincio, and establish a communication with his right, and with two other divisions marched on Mantua to force the French to raise the siege of that place, but it had already been raised twenty-four hours, and the whole camp left in a state of disorder, which indicated a precipitate flight rather than a deliberate retreat. Massena, having kept the enemy in check throughout the 30th, pushed forward in the night for Brescia. Pigeon, who brought up Massena's rearguard, had orders to dispute the passage of the Mincio with the Austrians as long as he could, and when forced, to fall back on Lonato. Augereau set out for Brescia, leaving a rearguard to line the right of this river, with orders to fall back on Castiglione when it could defend it no longer. Napoleon marched the whole night of the 31st of July with Augereau's and Massena's divisions on Brescia, which he reached at ten o'clock in the

morning. The Austrian division under Quasdanowich and Ocskay, learning that the French army was debouching upon it by all the roads, hastily retreated. General Despinis and Adjutant-General Herbin went in pursuit of the enemy towards St Ozzetto and the passes of the Chiesa; while Buonaparte returned by a rapid counter-march to the Mincio, with Augereau's and Massena's two divisions, to rejoin their rearguards which had been left there, and which by this counter-march became their vanguards. On the 2nd of August Augereau was on the right at Montechiaro, Massena had charge of the centre at Ponte di San Marco, connecting his line with Sauret, who was on the left between Salo and Dezenzano, to watch the right of the Austrian army. The two rearguards left on the Mincio had retreated before the enemy, who had forced that river as had been expected. That of Augereau, which had orders to join at Castiglione, quitted its post too soon and in disorder (for which its general, Valette, was cashiered before the troops), and thus enabled the enemy to take possession of Castiglione. General Pigeon, with Massena's rearguard, reached Lonato in good order, and established himself there. On the 3rd the battle of Lonato took place with the two Austrian divisions (Liptay's being one) that had passed at Borghetto, and that of Bayalitsch, which had been left at Peschiera, amounting, with the cavalry, to 30,000 men on one side, against 20,000 or 22,000 French on the other. Neither Wurmser, who had proceeded with two divisions of infantry and his cavalry to Mantua, nor Quasdanowich, who was still retreating, could be present at this battle. In consequence of this separation of the Austrian forces, the victory was scarcely doubtful.

At daybreak the enemy advanced on Lonato, and commenced a vigorous attack, intending to effect his junction with his right, concerning which he now began to feel anxious. Massena's vanguard was overthrown, and Lonato was taken. The General-in-Chief, who was at Ponte di San Marco, placed himself

at the head of the troops. The Austrian general having extended his line too far to his right, in hopes of opening a communication with Salo, his centre was broken, Lonato was retaken by assault, and the enemy's line intersected. One part fell back on the Mincio, and the other attempted to throw themselves into Salo, but the latter being taken in front by General Sauret, whom they met, and in the rear by General St Hilaire, and turned on every side, were obliged to lay down their arms. The French had been attacked in the centre; on the right they were assailants. Augereau encountered Liptay's division before Castiglione, broke it after an obstinate action, and forced it to retreat on Mantua, where some reinforcements reached it too late. Augereau's division lost many brave men in this hard-fought action, among others General Beyrand and Colonel Pourailles, highly meritorious officers.

During the night, Quasdanowich was informed of the result of the battle of Lonato. He had heard the cannon all day, but could do nothing to extricate himself. He thought he was surrounded in all directions. Wurmser had sent part of his troops from Mantua towards Marcana in pursuit of Serrurier, and had now to recall them to Castiglione. On the 4th he was not ready for action. Napoleon, about two or three o'clock in the afternoon, reconnoitred the Austrian line of battle, which he found to be formidable, as it still presented from 25,000 to 30,000 combatants. He ordered Castiglione to be entrenched, rectified the position taken up by Augereau, and set out for Lonato to superintend the movements of all the troops, which it became of the utmost importance to assemble in the course of the night round Castiglione. Throughout the day, Sauret and Herbin on the one side, and D'Allemagne and St. Hilaire on the other, had followed the march of the enemy's right, and of those cut off from the centre at Lonato, and had taken many prisoners. whole battalions laid down their arms at Ozetto and at Gavardo, others were still wan-

dering in the neighbouring valleys. Four or five thousand men having been told by the peasants that there were only 1200 French in Lonato, marched thither in hopes of opening a road towards Mantua. It was five o'clock in the evening. Napoleon was entering Lonato at the same time, coming from Castiglione. A flag of truce was brought to him, summoning the town to surrender. But as he was still master of Salo and Garvado, it was evident that these could be only straggling columns that wanted to clear themselves a passage. He ordered his numerous staff to mount, had the officer who came with the flag of truce brought in, and ordered the bandage to be taken off his eyes in the midst of all the bustle of the headquarters of a Commander-in-Chief. "Go and tell your General," said he, "that I give him eight minutes to lay down his arms, he is in the midst of the French army, after that time there are no hopes for him." These four or five thousand men, who had been strolling about for three days uncertain of their fate, believing they had been deceived by the peasants, laid down their arms. This circumstance may convey some idea of the confusion and disorder that prevailed among these columns which had been cut off from the main body of the Austrian army. The rest of the 4th, and the whole night, were spent in rallying the troops, and concentrating them on Castiglione.

Before daybreak on the 5th, the French army, 20,000 strong, occupied the heights of Castiglione, an excellent position. Serrurier's division of 5000 men had received orders to set out from Marcaria, to march all night, and to attack Wurmser's left in the rear at daylight. The firing of this division was to be the signal for the battle. A great deal was expected from this unlooked-for attack; and in order to give it greater effect, the French army made a feint of falling back, but on the report of the first cannon fired by Serrurier's division (who being ill, his place was supplied by General Fiorella) the troops wheeled suddenly round and faced the enemy, whose confidence

was already shaken. The hill of Medole, in the midst of the plain, supported the enemy's left; Verdier and Maimont were ordered to attack it, and this post after a time was carried. Massena attacked the right, Augereau the centre, and Fiorella took the left in rear. The light cavalry surprised the Austrian headquarters and were very near taking Wurmser. The enemy retreated from every point. Nothing but the excessive fatigue of the French troops could have saved Wurmser's army, which reached the left bank of the Mincio in great disorder, hoping to rally and make a stand there. But in this he was mistaken: the French retook all their former positions on the Adige; General St. Hilaire drove Quasdanowich from the valley of the Idro, and took Lodrone and Rocca d'Anfo, and Wurmser was compelled to return to Trent and Roveredo. The French were glad to take some repose. The Austrians were still 40,000 strong, but their confidence of success had wholly abandoned them since the commencement of the campaign. Wurmser had indeed relieved and re-victualled Mantua, but he had lost half his army. This failure was to be attributed, not merely to the activity and presence of mind of Napoleon, but to an original defect in the plan of the Austrian general in making corps, which had no means of communication with each other, act separately in the face of an army which was centrally situated, and whose communications were easy. A second error consisted in subdividing the corps of the right, one went to Brescia, where it found nobody, and the other reached Lonato in an evil hour. The troops that came from the Rhine with Wurmser were excellent, and in high spirits, but the wreck of Beauharnais's army was much disheartened by its previous defeats. In the different battles and skirmishes, from the 29th July to 12th August, the French army took 15,000 prisoners, seventy pieces of cannon, nine standards of colours, and killed and wounded 25,000 men, their own loss was about 7000 men.

The garrison of Mantua employed the first few

days after the raising of the siege in destroying the works and getting in the stores and guns which the besiegers had abandoned, but the French were soon before the place again. The loss of their artillery, however, left them no means of resuming the siege, which was turned into a blockade, under the direction of General Sahuguet. Had Napoleon brought together a new train of artillery to attack the fortress, he might have lost it again on the arrival of a new army before the place had surrendered. The French troops employed in the blockade suffered greatly from the ravages of disease. On the first rumours of the reverses of the French, the Italians of the different states discovered their real inclinations. The Milanese showed great firmness and attachment to their new allies, and Buonaparte addressed a proclamation to them, expressive of his satisfaction. At Rome the French were insulted in the streets and the armistice broken, and Cardinal Mattei incited the people to revolt at Ferrara, and hoisted the colours of the Church in the citadel. Afterwards, when brought before the Commander-in-Chief, and interrogated as to the motives of his conduct, the old man answered only by the word *Peccavi*, which disarmed the victor, who merely confined him for three months in a religious seminary. After the death of Pius VI great interest was made by Austria to get him elected Pope; but Chiaramonti, Bishop of Imola, gained the election, and took the name of Pius VII. It was to reward Augereau's good conduct at the battle of Lonato, where he commanded the right and was ordered to attack Castiglione, that he was afterwards made a duke with that title. That day was the most glorious of General Augereau's life, nor did Napoleon ever forget it. He himself, it seems, did! His character, as drawn by Buonaparte, forms a striking contrast to that of Massena. Both were men of low origin, and had the same courage and skill in battle, but the one never despaired, and the other always did. Massena fought on under the most disadvan-

tageous circumstances, and after losing a battle began again as if nothing had happened, Augereau, after the most brilliant success, despaired of the events of the next day. The one was as sanguine and obstinate as the other was irresolute and desponding. Massena was as fond of money as Augereau was of meddling in politics.

The armies of the Sambre and Meuse and of the Rhine and Moselle, belonging to France, had at length passed the Rhine and were advancing rapidly into the heart of Germany. Wurmser, recruited with 20,000 men, was in the Tyrol: he was preparing to march with 30,000 men to the relief of Mantua by the Lower Adige, leaving Davidowich with 25,000 in charge of the Tyrol. Napoleon, anxious to prevent his detaching any troops against the army of the Rhine, resolved instantly to resume the offensive, and if possible to complete the destruction of the Austrian army. Kilmaine, with a corps of 2500 or 3000 soldiers of all weapons, guarded the Adige, covering the blockade of Mantua; the wall of Verona on the left bank of the Adige had been restored and put in a state of defence. In the instructions given to Kilmaine for his conduct, all the circumstances which afterwards took place were provided for. On the 1st of September, Wurmser still had his head-quarters at Trent; Davidowich was at Roveredo, covering the Tyrol with Wukassowich's and Reuss's divisions, the three divisions and the cavalry with which Wurmser wished to operate on the Adige were on their march between Trent and Bassano. On the 1st of September, Vaubois' division, forming the left of the French army, marched up the Chiesa from Lodrone on Trent. Massena's division, and soon after Augereau's, setting out from Verona, marched up the left bank of the Adige in the same direction, towards the head of the lake of Garda. General St Hilaire, who commanded the vanguard of Vaubois' division, came up with the Prince of Reuss at the bridge of Sarco, attacked him furiously, carried the bridge at the point

of the bayonet, and drove him back on his camp at Mori. General Pigeon, with Massena's vanguard, overthrew Wukassowich at Sciravalle and pursued him to the camp of San Marco. The two armies came in sight on the 4th of September at daybreak, on each side of the Adige. The attack was desperate; the resistance obstinate. As soon as Napoleon perceived some hesitation in the Austrian line, he made General Dubois charge with 500 horse; the charge was successful, but the brave officer who made it received three bullets and fell dead on the spot. The French troops entered Roveredo intermixed with the enemy, who were unable to rally till they reached the defile before Cabano, where the Adige is enclosed between two steep mountains. The entrance is narrow and defended by fortifications. General Davidowich was posted there with a reserve. While the skirmishers engaged on the sides of the mountain, nine battalions in close column rushed into the defile, attacked and overthrew the enemy, the artillery, cavalry, and infantry were all thrown into confusion. Several pieces of cannon and some hundred prisoners were taken by the French. General Vaubois on the right side of the river forced the camp at Mori, and pushed briskly on in the direction of Trent. An aide-de-camp of the General-in-Chief, Le Marrois, had been grievously wounded in a daring and brilliant charge at Roveredo. He came from the department of La Manche, and was of a very ardent temperament. On the 5th, at daybreak, the army entered Trent. In the evening, Vaubois' division took up a position three leagues beyond Trent on the Avisio, behind which river the wrecks of Davidowich's army were. Napoleon ordered the general of the cavalry with three squadrons to ford the river a little above, and to take the enemy's troops which defended it in rear, whilst he caused them to be charged in front. The success of this manœuvre was complete, Davidowich hastily abandoned his position, and Vaubois established himself on both banks of the Avisio.



The loss of the battle of Roveredo, instead of stopping Wurmser's movement on Bassano, accelerated it; indeed, being cut off from Trent and the Tyrol, it was necessary for him to get out of the defiles, and reach Bassano and the Frioul as fast as possible. But he had also another motive, which was that he had suffered himself to be persuaded that Buonaparte's intention was to march on Inspruck to join the army of the Rhine, which had just then arrived in Bavaria; and on this false supposition he ordered Mezas's division forward to Mantua. In the night of the 5th of September, Napoleon heard that this division was approaching Verona; he instantly conceived the idea of hemming in Wurmser between the Brenta and the Adige, or if he should not do that, at least of surrounding and taking Mezas's division, which was already compromised. Before leaving Trent, he addressed a proclamation to the Tyrolese, in which he roundly taxed the Emperor's ministers with being purchased by English gold to betray their master. This had sufficient truth and might have some effect. On the 6th, at daybreak, Napoleon commenced his march with Augereau's and Massena's divisions, and the reserve (Vaubois' division having been left on the Avisio) to proceed on Bassano with all possible speed. It was necessary to perform a march of twenty leagues over a difficult road in two days at the utmost. In the evening, the head-quarters were at Borgo-Val-Sugagna. On the 7th he recommenced his march, his van soon fell in with part of Wurmser's army behind Primolano, in an almost impregnable position; but the 5th light infantry, supported by three battalions of the 4th of the line in three close columns, broke the double line of the Austrians. The 5th dragoons, commanded by Colonel Milhaud, cut off the road. Most of the enemy's vanguard laid down their arms, the artillery, colours, and baggage were taken. The little fort of Covolo, which in vain attempted to hold out, was turned and taken. At night the French army bivouacked in the village

of Cismone, where Napoleon took up his head-quarters without attendants or baggage, and passed the night, half-dead with hunger and fatigue. A soldier (who afterwards reminded him of the circumstance at the camp of Boulogne in 1805 when he was Emperor) shared his ration of bread with him. The same evening, Mezaros' division had attacked Verona, but without success. Kilmaine expected Mezaros, and showered grape-shot on the Austrian general, so that he was repulsed with loss and sent to Wurmser for reinforcements, who in his turn being surprised and menaced at Bassano, ordered him to fall back and join him with all possible expedition. He was, however, too late. Mezaros' division did not reach Montebello (less than half-way) till the 8th, the day on which the battle of Bassano was fought.

On the 8th of September, before daylight, the French general was at the advanced posts, at six o'clock, the vanguard attacked and overthrew six Austrian battalions stationed in the passes on the two banks of the Brenta. Their remaining force fell back on the line of battle, about 20,000 strong, but made only a feeble resistance. Augereau attacked the left, Massena the right, the enemy was broken and driven back on the town of Bassano. The fourth of the line in a close column crossed the bridge as at Lodi. At three o'clock the army entered Bassano, and took a great number of prisoners and a great quantity of stores and ammunition of all kinds. Wurmser, cut off from the Piave, retreated to Vicenza, where he rallied Mezaros' division, and whence, having lost the line of his communication with Austria, he was forced to proceed to Mantua. Quasdanowich with 3000 men, not being able to reach Bassano, fell back on the Frioul. Wurmser himself, out of an army of 60,000 men, had now not more than 16,000 in junction under him. Never was there a more critical situation. He himself was alarmed, and the French were every hour in hopes of seeing him lay down his arms. Of these 16,000 troops, 6000 were cavalry, fresh, and of good quality. these horsemen scoured the country to discover a passage

across the Adige Two squadrons of them passed to the right bank of the ferry of Albaredo to reconnoitre, but it was impossible for the whole army to pass, closely watched as it was by the French. Wurmser's position was become desperate, when the French evacuated Legnago without destroying the bridge, which error, committed by a lieutenant-colonel, who had been led to suppose that the whole Austrian army had passed at Albaredo and were about to cut off his retreat, saved them Napoleon, who was at Arcole, on receiving this vexatious intelligence, proceeded to Ronco, sent Massena over to the right bank, and ordered Augereau to march from Padua on Legnago, still entertaining hopes of surrounding the Marshal at last by reaching the Molinella before him Wurmser, on hearing that Augereau was at Montagnana, set out for Mantua by the high road through Cerea and Sanguinetto He was stopped at Cerea by Murat and Pigeon, coming from Ronco, who drew up in a line behind the rivulet to intercept him He was compelled to engage his whole army, forced a passage, broke through the French vanguard, and continued his march on Sanguinetto It was during the conflict at Cerea that the General-in-Chief, having galloped up to the village just as his vanguard was routed, had only time enough to turn round, clap spurs to his horse, and get clear off Wurmser came up a few minutes after to the very spot where he had been, and learning the circumstance from an old woman sent in pursuit of him in every direction, particularly recommending that he should be brought in alive After reaching Sanguinetto, Wurmser marched all night Understanding that Sahuguet's and Kilmaine's reserves were waiting for him at the Molinella, he turned off from the high road to Villamperenta, where General Charlton with 500 men from the army before Mantua was left dead on the field, and his detachment surrendered These and other slight successes encouraged Wurmser to keep the field The garrison of Mantua came out to meet him, and he encamped his army between San Giorgio and the

citadel. He had now 25,000 men under his command. The French army which had come up from different quarters amounted to 24,000. The two armies were nearly equal, except in confidence. On the 19th General Bon, commanding Augereau's division, commenced the attack on San Giorgio, but was repulsed and forced to give ground. Sahuguet engaged on the right, the enemy thought the whole line was in action, when Massena debouched in column on the centre, and carried disorder into the Austrian army, which retreated precipitately into the town, after having lost 3000 prisoners, among whom was a regiment of cuirassiers completely mounted, with their standards and eleven pieces of cannon. After the battle of San Giorgio, Wurmser spread his troops throughout the Seraglio, threw a bridge over the Po, and got provisions into the place. At length, on the 1st of October, Kilmaine completely blockaded the place. From the 1st of June to the 18th of September the Austrians lost 27,000 men, of whom 18,000 were taken prisoners, 3000 killed, and 6000 wounded. 10,000 men of the army escaped into the Tyrol and Frioul under Davidowich and Quasdanowich. The loss of the French amounted to 1400 prisoners, 1800 killed, and 4300 wounded.

The army stood in need of repose, and had at present no enemy before them. Vaubois was at Trent, Massena at Bassano, Augereau at Verona, Kilmaine blockaded Mantua. The garrison made several ineffectual sorties, reverses and sickness had abated its ardour. The Regency of Modena, which was hostile to the French, had sent in convoys of provisions, which put the place in a condition to hold out longer than had been expected. Contrary to all probability, and to the opinion of all Italy, the French army was yet to gain more sanguinary and arduous victories, and Austria was yet to levy and to lose two armies, before this bulwark of Italy was destined to fall.

It will be proper here to turn aside to give some

forced him one march back, while the third column, under General Froelich, passed the Danube at Ulm, and took the French army in the rear. The French head-quarters, the parks, and the civil lists being driven from Heidenheim, fled to Aalen. Thus, at the very beginning of the battle the French army was turned and cut, deprived of its line of operations, and its parks and reserves thrown into confusion. The three columns which were employed to produce this result were, however, carried too far to take any share in the action. The three columns of the centre which made the principal attack were directed by the Archduke in person. They debouched from Aufhausen, and overthrew St Cyr's posts, who did not expect so abrupt an attack, and was still where he was the preceding evening after the action of Eglingen. He rallied on the heights of Dunstelingen; and throughout the remainder of the day all the Archduke's efforts to force him from his position were unavailing. The loss on each side in this gallantly fought action was upwards of 6000 men. At night the Archduke drew back his right on the road between Nordlingen and Donawerth, and his left to Dillingen on the Danube. His centre passed the night in the field; but the line of communication of the French army, with its reserve, having been restored, Moreau was induced to remain on the field of battle to collect his wounded, prepare for his retreat, or march forward, according to the intelligence he should receive. This was favourable, he learned that the army of the Sambre and Meuse had already passed the Rednitz, and appeared to direct its march by Amberg on Ratisbon. It was some marches in advance of Prince Charles, who, not having been able in the action of the 11th to overthrow the French army, and drive it into the defiles of the mountains of the Alb, had not now a moment to lose to avoid being surrounded. He made his retreat in the course of the night, considering the junction of the two armies as effected, and relinquish-

ing all thoughts of opposing it, for he abandoned to them the left bank of the Danube, the Warwitz, and the Altmulh, and repassed the Danube and the Lech the Austrians seemed to have lost the campaign

But Moreau, instead of following up his advantage, remained for some days on the field of battle, at length he advanced on Donawerth, but still did not attempt, by sending forward a part of his cavalry, to effect his junction with Jourdan. This hesitation and want of precaution encouraged the Archduke to oppose the junction of the two armies, which he had despaired of being able to do. Having left General Latour to watch and keep the army of the Rhine in check, he passed the Danube, and advanced on the Nuernberg road with 30,000 troops. On the 22nd he attacked Bernadotte before Neumaick, and forced him to fall back on Forzheim. General Wartensleben immediately repassed the Naab. The army of the Sambre and Meuse retreated on Amberg and Salzbach, but being attacked in this position, in front by Wartensleben, and in flank and rear by a detachment from Prince Charles's army, its general did not think it expedient to risk a serious affair. His retreat became exceedingly difficult, and he did not reach Schweinfurth, constantly pursued by the Archduke, and then by forcing a passage at the point of the bayonet, till the 31st. In this town the troops halted, as they needed rest. Jourdan took advantage of the scattered state of the enemy's army, and resolved to open himself a way to Wurtzburg, which was occupied by General Hotze. On the 2nd of September, in the forenoon, he recommenced his march and attacked Prince Charles on the following day, but Kray and Wartensleben came up with 40,000 infantry and 12,000 cavalry during the fight, and he lost the battle. Lefebvre's division was left at Schweinfurth, he himself reached Arnstein on the Lahn with much difficulty on the 11th. Here Moreau joined him with 10,000 men from Holland,

and he might still have retrieved his affairs and changed the fortune of the campaign. But though he formed a just conception of what was fit to be done, he was wanting in activity and resolution to put it in practice. He suffered himself to be anticipated on the Lahn and driven beyond the Rhine. The brave Maiceau was killed in an action at Altenkirchen, Kleber and Collaud were dismissed for insubordination. Jourdan himself was soon after superseded by Beurnonville, who was scarcely capable of manœuvring a battalion. The Archduke quitted the banks of the Lahn with 12,000 men to advance against the army of the Rhine and Moselle, leaving General Weineck with 50,000 men to observe the army of the Sambre and Meuse.

On the 23<sup>d</sup> of August, twelve days after the battle of Neereshem, Moreau passed the Danube, and marched on the Lech. On the 24<sup>th</sup>, General Feino, who, having crossed the Black Forest, and taken Landau and Biegentz on the Lake of Constance, had returned by the Tyrol and Memmingen, forced the passage of the Lech at the ford of Hanstetten, St Cyr passed at the ford of Lech-Hausen before Augsburg, and Desaix at the ford of Langwied. The bridges of Augsburg were repaired; and after a brave resistance, General Latour was driven from the fine positions of Friedberg, leaving seventeen pieces of cannon and 1500 prisoners in the hands of the victor. After the passage of the Lech, the right of the French army advanced on Dachau, near Munich, with its vanguard under the walls of that city, the centre on Geissenfeld, with a corps of observation on Ingoldstadt. The Austrian General removed his head-quarters to Landshut on the Iser, where he assembled his principal forces. Condé's corps occupied Munich, where he waited several days for the movement of the enemy, and finding that he made none, suspected that he had gone in search of the Archduke's army. He accordingly set out in pursuit of him, but was soon repulsed and found that he had not started. On the 7<sup>th</sup> of

September, Moreau determined, without any particular object, to move forward. On the 8th he reached Neustadt, and it was expected he would advance on Ratisbon, but on the 10th he returned, in order to resume his old positions, and detached Desaix with 12,000 men to seek for the army of the Sambre and Meuse, which was then eighty leagues distant from him. On the 16th, hearing what had passed, he rejoined the army on the Danube.

The Archduke, on reaching the Lahn, immediately detached General Petrasch with nine battalions for Mannheim and Philipsburg, to get possession of Kehl and Huningen. General Scheib, who was at Bruchsal, having received notice from deserters, got to Kehl time enough to defend it against this attack with the help of the National Guard of Strasburg. Moreau, alarmed at this attempt, which had nearly intercepted his communication with France, felt the necessity of approaching the Rhine, and commenced his celebrated retreat, which he effected after several obstinate actions and narrow escapes, by passing through Ulm, which was fortunately occupied by a detachment under Montrichard, by Biberach, where he obtained a victory over the enemy, taking some standards and 4000 or 5000 prisoners, and by the terrible defiles of the Black Valley, which the army passed on the 13th, 14th and 15th, and entered France over the bridges of New Bâsach and Huningen. Thus Moreau lost all the advantages of the campaign that must have resulted from his junction with Jourdan, by not striking while the iron was hot, or by waiting to do that at the rebound which should have been done at once, so that nothing remained in the hands of the French on the right bank of the Rhine but the fortress of Dusseldorf and the *têtes-de-pont* of Kehl and Huningen. Dusseldorf was too far north to give the Austrians much uneasiness, but the fortress of Kehl and that of Huningen enabled the French army to winter on the left bank, and to harass Germany, they therefore resolved to take possession of these two points. They accord-



ingly invested them with 40,000 men, and after immense preparations for their defence, and immense sacrifices on the part of the besiegers, at length carried them in the beginning of January General Abbaticci, a young officer of great bravery and promise, fell mortally wounded in a sortie at the head of the garrison of Huninguen. The success of these two operations enabled Prince Charles to take up his winter-quarters on the right bank of the Rhine in Biscgau and the country of Baden, and to detach powerful succours to the army which was assembling behind the Piave, and of which he took the command in February. This army was intended to avenge Beaulieu, Wurmser, and Alvinzi, and to reconquer Mantua, Lombardy, and Italy To return

All the couriers which reached Vienna with news of Prince Charles's successes, were followed by couriers from Wurmser, bringing accounts of his disasters. The Court passed the whole of the month of September in these alternations of joy and sorrow. The satisfaction derived from its triumphs did not compensate for the consternation caused by its defeats. Germany was saved, but Italy was lost: the army which guarded that frontier had disappeared. Its remains, with its veteran general at its head, had only been able to find temporary safety by shutting themselves up in Mantua, which place was reduced to the last extremity. The Aulic Council felt the necessity of doing something. It assembled two armies, one in the Frioul, the other in the Tyrol, appointed Marshal Alvinzi to the command, and ordered him to march to save Mantua, and deliver Wurmser. The Directory, on their part, promised much, but performed little, they sent, however, twelve battalions drafted from the army of La Vendée, which reached Milan in the course of September and October; as care was taken to make them march in twelve columns, the notion was spread abroad that each of these columns was a regiment, and had its full complement of men, which would have been a very considerable

reinforcement It is true, the French soldiers did not need encouragement; they were in excellent condition and spirits, and were full of confidence in themselves and their chief. Public opinion was also decidedly in their favour. The popular feeling in the states beyond the Po, Bologna, Modena, and Reggio, was such that they might be depended on for repulsing the Pope's army themselves, should it enter their territories according to the threat held out.

In the beginning of October, Marshal Alvinzi was still with his army before the Isonzo, but at the end of that month he removed his head-quarters to Conegliano behind the Piave. Massena was watching his movements at Bassano. Davidowich had assembled a corps of 18,000 men in the Tyrol, including the Tyrolese militia. Vaubois covered Trent with 12,000 men, Augereau's division, the reserve of cavalry, and the head-quarters of the French army were at Verona. Alvinzi's plan was, to be joined by Davidowich in Verona, and to march thence on Mantua. On the 1st of November, he threw two bridges over the Piave, and marched towards the Bienta. Massena, finding that his army amounted to upwards of 40,000 men, raised his camp at Bassano, and approached Vicenza, where Napoleon joined him with Augereau's division and the reserve, and on the 6th, at daybreak, advanced to give battle to Alvinzi, who had followed Massena's movement. After an action of several hours, Massena drove back the van under General Liptay and Provera's division to the left bank of the river, killing a great number of men, and making many prisoners. Napoleon advanced against Quasdanowich, and drove him from Lenove upon Bassano. It was four o'clock in the afternoon, he considered the passage of the bridge and the taking of the town on this day as of the utmost importance; but having ordered up the reserve for this purpose, a battalion of 900 Croats, which had been previously cut off, threw themselves into a village on the high road; and as the head of the reserve appeared to cross the

village, fired upon them. It became necessary to bring up howitzers, the village was taken, and the Croats shot; but a delay of two hours had taken place, and it was impossible to reach the bridge that night.

Vaubois had received orders to attack the enemy's positions on the right bank of the Avisio. He did so, and failed. He was himself attacked in turn, and obliged to abandon Tient; nor could he make good the position he had taken up at Caliano, but was outflanked by Landon with his Tyrolese, who appeared to be advancing on Montebaldo and Rivoli. This news reached the French head-quarters at two in the morning. There was now no room for hesitation, it was indispensably necessary to hasten back to Verona. Colonel Vignolles, a confidential officer, was dispatched to collect all the troops he could muster there, and march with them on La Corona and Rivoli. He found a battalion of the 40th just arrived from La Vendée, the next day Joubert reached the same important position with the 4th light demi-brigade, brought from the blockade of Mantua. At the same time, Vaubois returned to the right bank of the Adige, and occupied La Corona and Rivoli in force. From the Brenta the French army filed through Vicenza during the whole of the 7th. The inhabitants, who had witnessed the victory of the day before, could not account for this retreat. Alvinzi, who was preparing to pass the Piave, no sooner heard the intelligence than he returned to the Brenta, and passed that river, in order to follow his antagonist's movement.

Napoleon had Vaubois' division assembled on the plain of Rivoli, and addressed them thus: "Soldiers, I am not satisfied with you; you have shown neither bravery, discipline, nor perseverance. No position could rally you; you abandoned yourselves to a panic-terror, you suffered yourselves to be driven from situations where a handful of brave men might have stopped an army. Soldiers of the 39th and 85th, you are not French soldiers. Quartermaster-general, let

it be inscribed on their colours, *They no longer form part of the army of Italy!*' This harangue, pronounced in a severe tone, drew tears from these old soldiers: the rules of discipline could not restrain their grief; several grenadiers, who had received honorary arms, cried out, "General, we have been calumniated; place us in the van, and you shall see whether the 39th and 85th belong to the army of Italy." Napoleon having produced the effect he wished, then addressed a few words of consolation to them. These two regiments a few days after highly distinguished themselves.

Alvinzi was posted on the heights of Caldiero, to the left of Villa-Nuova, on the road to Vicenza. Napoleon determined to attack him there, and on the 11th, at two in the afternoon, the army passed the bridges of Verona for that purpose. Verdier's brigade, which was at the head, overthrew the enemy's van, made a number of prisoners, and encamped at night at the foot of Caldiero. The fires of the bivouacs, as well as the reports of spies and prisoners, left no doubt that Alvinzi meant to receive battle, and had fixed himself firmly in these fine positions, resting his left on the marsh of Arcola and his right on Mont Oliveto and the village of Colognola. At daybreak Massena received orders to take possession of a hill which outflanked the enemy's right, and which the latter had neglected to occupy. Brigadier-General Launay intrepidly climbed the acclivity at the head of a corps of skirmishers, but having advanced too far, was repulsed and taken prisoner. In the meantime, the whole line had engaged, and the fire was maintained throughout the day. The rain fell in torrents, the ground was so completely soaked, that the French artillery could not move, whilst that of the Austrians, being advantageously placed, produced its full effect. The loss in this affair was pretty equal on both sides, the enemy, not without reason, claimed the victory, as its advanced posts approached San Michele, and the situation of the French was become

truly hazardous The General-in-Chief judged it expedient to return to his camp before Verona

Vaubois had suffered considerable loss in this last battle, and had not now above 8000 men left The other two divisions, after having fought valiantly on the Brenta, and failed in their attempt on Caldiero, did not amount to more than 13,000 men under arms. The idea of the superior strength of the enemy pervaded every mind Vaubois' soldiers, in excuse for their retreat, declared that the Austrians were three to one against them The enemy too had counted the small number of the French at his ease; and had no longer any doubt of the deliverance of Mantua or of the conquest of Italy. The garrison of Mantua made frequent sorties on the besiegers The French knew not which way to turn themselves, they were checked on one side by the position of Caldiero, and on the other by the defiles of the Tyrol A great number of the bravest men had been wounded two or three times in different battles since the army entered Italy Discontent began to show itself "We cannot," said the men, "do everybody's duty Alvinzi's army, now present, is the same that the armies of the Rhine and of the Sambre and Meuse retreated before, and they are now idle, why are we to perform their work? If we are beaten, we must make for the Alps as fugitives and without honour if, on the contrary, we conquer, what will be the result? We shall be opposed by another army like that of Alvinzi, as Alvinzi himself succeeded Wurmser, and as Wurmser succeeded Beauharnais, and in this unequal contest we must be overwhelmed at last" To these murmurs Napoleon caused the following answer to be given "We have but one more effort to make and Italy is our own The enemy is, no doubt, more numerous than we are, but half his troops are recruits, when he is beaten Mantua must fall, and we shall remain masters of all, our labours will be at an end, for not only Italy, but a general peace is in Mantua You talk of returning to the Alps, but you are no

longer capable of doing so From the dry and frozen bivouacs of those sterile rocks, you could very well conquer the delicious plains of Lombardy ; but from the smiling flowery bivouacs of Italy you cannot return to the Alpine snows Succours have reached us , there are more on the road ; let not those who are unwilling to fight seek vain pretences ; for only beat Alvinzi, and I will answer for your future welfare” These words, repeated from mouth to mouth, revived the spirits of the troops, and brought them over to a more hopeful way of thinking Those who before talked of retreating, were now eager to advance “Shall the soldiers of Italy,” they said, “patiently endure the taunts and provocations of these slaves?” When it became known at Brescia, Bergamo, Milan, Cremona, Lodi, Pavia, and Bologna, that the army had sustained a check, the wounded and sick left the hospitals before they were well cured to resume their stations in the ranks , the wounds of many of these brave men were still bleeding This affecting sight filled the army with the most lively emotion From this situation of doubt and danger, Napoleon extricated them by one of those unforeseen movements which stamp him for a consummate master in his art

At length on the 14th of November, at night-fall, the camp of Verona got under arms Three columns began their march in the deepest silence, crossed the city, passed the Adige by the three bridges, and formed on the right bank The hour of departure, the direction taken, the silence observed in the order of the day, contrary to the invariable custom of announcing an engagement when it is to take place, the state of affairs, everything, in short, indicated that the army was retreating The first step in retreat would necessarily be followed by the raising of the siege of Mantua, and foreboded the loss of Italy. Those amongst the inhabitants who placed the hopes of their future lot in the success of the French, followed with anxious and aching

hearts the movement of this army, which was depriving them of every hope. But the army, instead of keeping the Peschiera road, suddenly turned to the left, marched down the Adige, and arrived before daylight at Ronco, where Andreossi had been ordered to construct a bridge. By the first rays of the sun, the troops were astonished to find themselves, by merely facing about, on the opposite shore. The officers and soldiers who had traversed this country before when in pursuit of Wurmser, now began to guess the General's plan: he intended to turn Caldiero, which he had not been able to carry by an attack in front. He could not, with 13,000 men, withstand 40,000 in the plain, and was removing his field of battle to roads surrounded by vast marshes, where numbers would be unavailing, but where the courage of the heads of the columns would decide everything. The hopes of victory now animated every breast, and every man vowed to surpass himself in order to second so fine and daring a plan. Kilmaine had remained in Verona with 1500 men of all arms, with the gates closed, and all communication strictly prohibited; the enemy was therefore completely ignorant of this movement. The bridge of Ronco was constructed on the right of the Alpon, about a quarter of a league from its mouth, which situation has been censured by ill-informed military men. In fact, if (as has been proposed) the bridge had been carried to the left bank opposite Albaredo, all the advantages which were insured would have been lost. Three roads branch out from the bridge of Ronco, the first on the left hand goes up the Adige towards Verona, passes the villages of Bionde and Poisl, where it opens into a plain, and where Alvinzi's head-quarters were, the second and centre one leads to Villa-Nuova, and runs through the village of Arcola, crossing the Alpon by a little stone bridge; the third to the right runs down the Adige and leads to Albaredo.

Three columns entered upon these three roads, the

left one marched up the Adige as far as the extremity of the marshes at the village of Porcil, whence the soldiers perceived the steeples of Verona it was thenceforth impossible for the Austrians to march upon that city. The centre column proceeded to Arcola, where the French skirmishers got as far as the bridge unperceived. Two battalions of Croats with two pieces of cannon had bivouacs there for the purpose of guarding the rear of the army and watching any parties which the garrison of Legnago (only three leagues off) might send in that direction. The ground between Arcola and the Adige was not guarded, Alvinzi having contented himself with ordering out patrols of hussars, who visited the dikes thice every day. The Croats were stationed on the opposite bank of the little river Alpon, along which the French had to pass before reaching the bridge, which turns at right angles into Arcola. By firing in front they therefore took the column which was advancing on Arcola in flank. The soldiers fell back precipitately as far as the point in the road, where they ceased to be exposed to this dangerous fire. Augereau, indignant at this retrograde movement of his troops, rushed towards the bridge at the head of two battalions of grenadiers, but was received by a brisk flank fire, and driven back on his division. Alvinzi being informed of this attack, could not at first comprehend it, but he was soon after enabled to observe the movements of the French from the neighbouring steeples. He then plainly saw that they had passed the Adige, and were in his rear. But he still believed it impossible that a whole army could have been thus thrown into impassable marshes, and conceived it could be only some light troops which had been sent in this direction to alarm him and to mask a real attack on the Verona side. His reconnoitring parties, however, having brought him word that all was quiet towards Verona, he thought it important to drive these light troops from the marshes. He therefore ordered a division commanded by Metrouski on the dike of Arcola, and



another commanded by Piovera on the left dike Towards nine o'clock in the morning they attacked with impetuosity Massena, who was entrusted with the defence of the left dike, having allowed the enemy to get fairly upon it, made a desperate charge, broke his columns, repulsed him with great loss, and took a number of prisoners. The same thing happened on the dike of Arcola. As soon as the enemy had passed the elbow of the road he was charged and routed by Augereau, leaving prisoners and cannon in the victor's hands. the marsh was covered with dead It became of the utmost importance to gain possession of Arcola, for by debouching thence in the enemy's rear, the French would be able to seize the bridge of Villa Nuova over the Alpon, that was his only retreat. But Arcola withstood several attacks. Napoleon resolved to try a last effort in person he seized a flag, rushed on the bridge, and there planted it. the column he commanded had reached the middle of the bridge when the flanking fire and the arrival of a division of the enemy frustrated the attack. The grenadiers at the head of the columns, finding themselves abandoned by the rear, hesitated at first; but being hurried away in the confusion, they still persisted in keeping possession of their general They seized him by his arms and clothes, and dragged him along with them amidst the dead, the dying, and the smoke, he was precipitated into a morass, in which he sunk up to the middle, surrounded by the enemy The grenadiers, perceiving the danger of their general, a cry was raised, "Forward, soldiers, to save the general!" They immediately turned back, rushed upon the enemy, drove him beyond the bridge, and Napoleon was rescued\* This was a day filled with

\* The passage of the bridge of Arcola may be esteemed the height of boldness. Thousands of men and musketry served to defend the approach to this particular spot, which was completely fenced by cannon in every direction. Thrice had General Bonaparte commanded the charge in person, and thrice had his followers, disdaining to retreat, fallen sacrifices to their temerity, the death dealing bullets continued their destructive career, levelling all those who

examples of military devotedness Lannes, who had been wounded at Governolo, had hastened from Milan, though still suffering, he threw himself between the enemy and Napoleon, covering him with his body, and received three wounds, determined never to abandon him. Muiron, his aide-de-camp, fell dead at his feet in attempting to cover his general with his own body. Belhaid and Vignolles were wounded in rallying the troops forward, General Robert was killed, he was a soldier who never shrunk from the enemy's fire.

General Guyeux having passed the Adige with a brigade at the ferry of Albaredo, Arcola was taken in the rear. In the meantime, Alvinzi had become fully sensible of the danger of his situation. He had abandoned Caldiero hastily, destroyed his batteries, and made all his parks of artillery and his reserves re-pass the bridge. From the top of the steeple of Ronco, the French saw this fine booty escape them: and it was only by witnessing the disorderly movements of the enemy that the whole extent and consequences of Napoleon's plan could be comprehended. General Guyeux was not able to reach Arcola till near four o'clock, the village was carried without striking a blow, but it was now of little importance, Arcola being at present only an intermediate post between the fronts of the two armies, whereas in the morning it had been in the rear of the enemy. The day was however crowned with the most important results. Caldiero was evacuated, Verona was no longer in danger, two divisions of Alvinzi's army had been de-

clared to encounter their vengeful flight. Napoleon, at length, growing indignant, gave utterance to an exclamation of fury, and instantly tearing one of the standards from the grasp of an ensign, sprang upon this bridge, the scene of carnage and slaughter, when, planting the flag in defiance of destiny itself, which seemed to oppose him, he thus addressed his soldiers—"Frenchmen! Grenadiers! will you, then, abandon your colours?" This appeal seemed to convey a reproach ill adapted to the spirit of such courageous men, wherefore, before the general was enabled to repeat them, all thought of danger had vanished, death was faced in every direction, the bridge of Arcola was forced, and victory once more crowned the republican standard.—*Fouché*

feated with considerable loss, numerous columns of prisoners and a great number of trophies filed off through the camp, and filled the officers and soldiers with enthusiasm the troops regained their spirits and their confidence of victory

In the meantime Davidowich with the Tyrolese corps had attacked and taken Corona, and was at Rivoli Vaubois was at Bussolengo in considerable peril if he should be attacked and beaten, the French would be obliged to raise the siege of Mantua, and the retreat of the head-quarters and of the army would be cut off To prevent the possibility of this result, Buonaparte determined to march at daybreak and attack Davidowich, in case he should have advanced from Rivoli towards Mantua. He therefore evacuated Arcola and fell back to the right bank of the Adige, leaving fires lighted all night to deceive the enemy But Alvinzi, apprised of the retrograde movement of the French, followed them; they had to cross the bridge of Ronco again, and a severe action ensued which lasted the whole day The General-in-Chief learned that Davidowich had not stirred the preceding evening Alvinzi, deceived by a spy, who assured him that the French were in full march upon Mantua, again debouched from his camp before dawn The same thing happened as on the day before The two armies met half-way up the dikes leading from Ronco; the action was obstinate and at one time doubtful, the 75th having been broken The French General placed the 32nd in ambush, lying on their faces in a little wood of willows near the bridge, they rose at the proper moment, fired a volley, charged with the bayonet, and overthrew into the morass a close column of 3000 Croats, who perished there Massena on the left, after experiencing some vicissitudes, placed himself at the head of his troops, with his hat at the end of his sword by way of standard, and made dreadful carnage of the division opposed to him. In the afternoon the General-in-Chief conceived that the decisive moment had arrived for attacking

the enemy in the plain and repulsing him beyond Villa-Nuova. He had the prisoners carefully counted, and calculated the number of the slain; and he found that the enemy did not exceed his own troops by above a third. Then ranks were not only thinned, but then confidence was abated by these three days' battles. At two o'clock the French drew up in line between Arcole and the road to Porto-Legnago, with the Austrians in front. Adjutant-General Lorset had come out of Legnago with 600 or 700 men, some cavalry, and four pieces of cannon, in order to turn the enemy's left in the marshes. Major Hercule was at the same time ordered to proceed with twenty-five Guides and four trumpets across the reeds, and to charge the extreme left of the enemy as soon as the garrison of Legnago should begin to cannonade in the rear. This manœuvre was ably executed, and contributed mainly to the success of the day. The line was broken, and the enemy retreated with considerable loss. The next day, when it was doubtful what course the army would have to take, the Austrians were seen at daybreak in retreat upon Vicenza, and were pursued beyond Villa-Nuova.

In the course of the day, the General-in-Chief had entered the convent of St. Boniface, the church of which had served for an hospital, between 400 and 500 wounded had been crowded into it, the greater part of whom were dead. A cadaverous smell issued from the place. Napoleon was retiring, struck with horror, when he heard himself called by name. Two unfortunate soldiers had been left three days among the dead, without having had their wounds dressed, they had despaired of relief, and were recalled to life at the sight of their general. Every assistance was afforded them.

Having ascertained by the reports that the enemy was in the utmost confusion, was making no stand in any direction, and had already got beyond Montebello, Napoleon faced to the left, and proceeded by Verona to attack the army of the Tyrol. The scouts

captured a staff-officer sent to Alvinzi by Davidowich, who was ignorant of all that had happened. Alvinzi in the last three days had lost 18,000 men, of whom 6000 were prisoners. The French army re-entered Verona in triumph by the Venice gate, three days after having quitted that city almost clandestinely by the Milan gate. It would be difficult to describe the astonishment and enthusiasm of the inhabitants. The army, however, made no stay there, but passed the Adige, and advanced on Davidowich, who had attacked Bussolengo on the 17th, and driven Vaubois on Castel-Nuovo. Massena marched thither, joined Vaubois, and attacked Rivoli, while Angereau proceeded to Dolce on the left bank of the Adige, and gained some capital advantages. The Austrians stood in need of repose. It was to be expected that Mantua would open its gates before the Austrian general could collect another army. The garrison were reduced to half rations, desertion became frequent, and diseases daily swept off more men than would have sufficed to win a great battle.

While the animosity of the Senate of Venice against the French hourly increased, and the negotiations with Rome were broken off from a conviction that nothing was to be done with that court but by an armed force, Alvinzi was receiving daily reinforcements. Austria employed the two months which elapsed after the battle of Arcole, in bringing into the Frioul divisions drafted from the banks of the Rhine, where the French armies were in winter-quarters. Several battalions of excellent sharpshooters were raised in the Tyrol. A powerful impulse had been given to the whole monarchy. The successes in Germany encouraged, while the defeats in Italy irritated them. The large towns offered battalions of volunteers. Vienna raised four battalions, who received their colours from the Empress, embroidered with her own hands. They lost them, but not without a struggle. At the beginning

of January the Austrian army in Italy amounted to 65,000 or 70,000 fighting men, besides 6000 Tyrolese and the garrison of Mantua. The French army had been reinforced by two demi-brigades of infantry from the coast of Provence, and by a regiment of cavalry, that is by 7000 men, and was formed in five divisions, amounting to 45,000 men. Joubert had covered La Corona with entrenchments, the other fortresses were in a good state of defence, and the Lakes of Garda, Como, Lugano, and Maggiore were manned by French gun-boats.

The two former plans under Wurmser and Alvinzi having failed, the court of Vienna adopted a new one in concert with Rome, and ordered two grand attacks to be made, one by Monte-Baldo, the other by the Lower Adige. Both armies were to meet under the walls of Mantua. A very intelligent secret agent sent from Vienna to Mantua was arrested by a sentinel as he was passing the last post of the blockading army. He was forced to give up his dispatches, though he had swallowed them. They were enclosed in a ball of sealing-wax, and consisted of a small letter written in a very minute hand, and signed by the Emperor Francis. He informed Wurmser that he would be relieved without delay, at all events he charged him not to capitulate, but rather to evacuate the place, pass the Po, and proceed into the Pope's territories, and there take the command of the army of the Holy See.

Alvinzi commanded the principal attack on the Tyrolese side at the head of 50,000 men, and advanced his head-quarters from Bassano to Roveredo. General Provera took the command of the army on the Lower Adige, which was 20,000 strong. Its head-quarters were at Padua. A great many troops appeared on different points, and some spirited actions also took place in the course of the 12th and 13th, but the enemy had not fully unmasked his plans, so that the moment for adopting a decisive course had not yet arrived. On the 13th it rained very heavily, and

Napoleon had not resolved in what direction to march, whether up or down the Adige. At ten in the evening, the accounts from Joubert at La Corona determined him. It was plain that the Austrians were operating with two independent corps, the principal attack being intended against Monte-Baldo, the minor one on the Lower Adige. Augereau's division appeared sufficient to dispute the passage of the river with Provera. but on the Monte-Baldo side the danger was imminent. There was not a moment to lose, for the enemy was about to effect a junction with his artillery and cavalry, by taking possession of the level of Rivoli; and if he could be attacked before he could gain that important point, he would be obliged to fight without artillery or cavalry. All the troops were therefore put in motion from the head-quarters at Verona to reach Rivoli before day-break: the General-in-Chief proceeded to the same point, and arrived there at two in the morning.

The weather had cleared up, the moon shone brilliantly the General ascended several heights, and observed the lines of the enemy's fires, which filled the whole country between the Adige and the Lake of Garda, and reddened the atmosphere. He clearly distinguished five camps, each composed of a column which had marched from different routes the preceding day, and were still dispersed at some distance from each other and from the place of destination. The Austrians amounted to 40,000 or 45,000 men: the French could not bring more than 22,000 into action, but then they had the advantage of sixty pieces of cannon and several regiments of cavalry. From the position of the different bivouacs it seemed evident that Alvinzi could not unite his forces before ten o'clock. On this presumption Napoleon ordered Joubert, who had evacuated St Mark's chapel on Monte Magnone, and who now occupied the level of Rivoli only with a rearguard, to resume the offensive forthwith, to regain possession of the chapel without waiting for daylight, and to drive back the fourth column

(that under D'Ocskay) as far as possible. Ten Croats having been informed of the evacuation of St Mark's chapel by a prisoner, had just entered the chapel, when Joubert sent General Vial up to it about four o'clock in the morning, and retook it. The firing began with a regiment of Croats, and successively with the whole of D'Ocskay's column, which before daylight was repulsed as far as the middle of the ridge of Monte Magnone. The third Austrian column, that of Koblos, then hastened its march, and reached the heights on the left of the level of Rivoli a little before nine o'clock, but without artillery. The 14th and 85th French demi-brigades, which were in line in this position, had each a battery. The 14th, which occupied the right, repulsed the enemy's attacks, the 85th was outflanked and broken. The General-in-Chief hastened to Massena's division, which having marched all night, was taking a little rest in the village of Rivoli, led it against the enemy, and in less than half an hour the column was beaten and put to flight. Lipsay's column came up to the aid of that of Koblos. Quasdanowich, who was at the bottom of the valley, perceiving that Joubert had left no troops in St Mark's chapel in the heat of his pursuit of Ocskay, detached three battalions to climb the heights of the chapel, but Joubert, aware of this movement and its great importance, ordered his men to run back, who reached the chapel before those of the enemy, and repulsed them to the bottom of the valley. The French battery of fifteen pieces of cannon, placed on the edge of the level of Rivoli, overwhelmed all who offered to come within its reach. Colonel Leclerc and Major Lasalle by a brilliant charge with 300 horse in platoons and 200 hussars contributed greatly to the success of the day. The Austrians were thrown into the ravine. The two columns of Quasdanowich and Wukassowich had not been able to come up in time or to join in the battle. One half of Lusignan's column was coming up on the road behind the level of Rivoli, and thought they had turned the French



army, but scarcely had they arrived at the heights when they witnessed the rout of Ocskay, Koblos, and Liptay, and foresaw the fate which unavoidably awaited them. They were first cannonaded by fifteen twelve-pounders, and immediately afterwards attacked and taken. The other half of this column left at Dezenzano was pursued and dispersed. It was two o'clock in the afternoon, when the enemy was everywhere overthrown and the battle won. La Scalera was the only retreat open to the Austrians, who lost 7000 prisoners and twelve pieces of cannon coming by way of Incanole. This day the French General-in-Chief was wounded more than once, and had several horses killed under him \*

\* The following is a striking example of the utter consternation and dispersion of the Austrians after the dreadful battle of Rivoli, and of the confident and audacious promptitude which the French officers derived from their unvaried success. General René was in possession of the village of Guarda, on the lake of the same name, and, on visiting his advanced posts, he perceived some Austrians approaching, whom he caused his escort to surround and make prisoners. Advancing to the front to reconnoitre, he found himself close to the head of an Imperial column of 800 men, which a turning of the road had concealed till he was within twenty yards of them. "Down with your arms!" said the Austrian commandant, to which René answered with the most ready boldness, "Do you lay down your arms! I have destroyed your advanced guard, as witness these prisoners ground your arms, or no quarter!" And the French soldiers, catching the hint of their leader, joined in the cry of "Ground your arms!" The Austrian officer hesitated, and proposed to enter into capitulation: the Frenchman would admit of no terms but instant surrender. The dispirited Austrian yielded up his sword, and commanded his soldiers to imitate his example. But the Austrian soldiers began to suspect the truth, they became refractory, and refused to obey their leader, whom René addressed with the utmost apparent composure, as follows—"You are an officer, sir, and a man of honour—you know the rules of war—you have surrendered—you are therefore my prisoner, but I rely on your parole. Here, I return your sword—compel your men to submission, otherwise I direct against you the division of 6000 men who are under my command." The Austrian was utterly confounded, between the appeal to his honour and the threat of a charge from 6000 men. He assured René he might rely on his punctilious compliance with the parole he had given him, and speaking in German to his soldiers, persuaded them to lay down their arms, a submission which he had soon afterwards the satisfaction of seeing had been made to one twelfth part of their number.—*Gougaud*

On the same day Provera constructed a bridge at Anghiari near Legnago, passed the river, and marched on Mantua, leaving a reserve to guard the bridge. Augereau attacked this guard the next day, defeated them, and burned the pontoons. Napoleon hearing at two o'clock in the afternoon of the 14th in the midst of the battle of Rivoli what Provera was doing, immediately foresaw what was about to take place. He left the task of pursuing Alvinzi on the following day to Massena, Murat, and Joubert, and instantly marched with four regiments to station himself before Mantua. He had thirteen leagues to go. He entered Roverbella as Provera arrived before San Giorgio. Hohenzollern with the vanguard had presented himself on the 16th at break of day at the gate of San Giorgio at the head of a regiment wearing white cloaks. He knew that this suburb was merely covered by a simple line of circumvallation, and was in hopes to surprise it. Miolies, who commanded there, had no guard except toward the city. He knew that a French division was on the Adige, and was not dreaming of the enemy. Hohenzollern's hussars resembled those of the 1st French hussar regiment. But an old serjeant of the garrison of San Giorgio, who was gathering wood about two hundred yards from the walls, observed this cavalry and conceived doubts which he communicated to a drummer who was with him. It seemed to them that the white cloaks were too new for Berchini's regiment. In this uncertainty these sturdy fellows threw themselves into San Giorgio, crying "*To arms*!" and shut the barrier. Hohenzollern galloped up, but was too late, he was recognised, and fired upon with grape. The troops speedily manned the parapets, at noon Provera surrounded the place, but Miolies with 1,500 men defended himself all day, which gave time for the succours from Rivoli to arrive.

Provera communicated with Mantua by means of a boat which crossed the lake, and concerted operations for the following day. On the 16th as soon as

it was day, Wurmser made a sortie with the garrison, and took up a position at La Favorita. At one o'clock in the morning Napoleon stationed General Victor and the four regiments he had brought with him between La Favorita and San Giorgio, to prevent the garrison of Mantua from joining the succouring army. Serurier at the head of the troops conducting the blockade attacked the garrison. Victor attacked the army of succour. It was in this battle that the 57th earned the title of *Terrible*. They attacked the Austrian line, and overthrew everything in their way. By two o'clock in the afternoon the garrison was driven back into the place, and Provera capitulated and laid down his arms. In the meantime, a rear-guard which Provera had left at Molinella, was attacked by General Point of Augereau's division, defeated and taken. Of all Provera's troops only 2000 who had remained beyond the Adige escaped, the rest were taken or killed. This action was called the battle of La Favorita from the name of a palace belonging to the Dukes of Mantua situated near the field of battle.

Joubert chased Alvinzi throughout the 15th, and reached the Scaliera (ladder path) of Bientino so suddenly that 3000 men were intercepted and taken. Murat, with two battalions of light troops, embarked on the Lake of Garda and turned La Corona, so that it was with difficulty Alvinzi escaped. Joubert marched on Trent, and the army occupied the same positions as before the battle of Arcola. The Austrian troops had great difficulty in crossing the passes of the Tyrol, which were blocked up by the snow. Their loss in the course of January had been 25,000 prisoners, twenty-five standards, and sixty pieces of cannon. Bessieres carried the colours to Paris. It was in acknowledgment of the services rendered in so many battles by General Massena, that the Emperor afterwards made him Duke of Rivoli.

The garrison of Mantua had long subsisted on half rations, the horses had been eaten. Wurmser was informed of the result of the battle of Rivoli. He

had no longer anything to hope for. He was summoned to surrender, but proudly answered that he had provisions for a twelvemonth. A few days after, Klenau, his first aide-de-camp, came to head-quarters with certain proposals. Serrurier replied that he would take the orders of his General-in-Chief on the subject. Napoleon went to Roverbella; and remained *incognito*, wrapped up in his cloak, while the conversation between the officers was going on. Klenau employed all the customary artifices, expatiating at length on the great resources Wurmser still possessed. Buonaparte approached the table, took a pen, and spent nearly half an hour in writing his decisions in the margin of Wurmser's proposals, whilst the discussion was going on. When it was over, "If Wurmser," said he to Klenau, "had but provisions for eighteen or twenty days, and talked of surrendering, he would not deserve an honourable capitulation, but I respect the Marshal's age, his bravery, and his misfortunes. If he delays a fortnight, a month, or two, he shall still have the same conditions, he may therefore hold out to his last morsel of bread. I am about to pass the Po, and I shall march on Rome. You know my intentions, go and communicate them to your General." Klenau, who had been quite at a loss to comprehend the first words, soon discovered who it was that addressed him. He examined the conditions, the perusal of which filled him with gratitude for such generous and unexpected treatment. Dissimulation was become useless; he acknowledged that they had not provisions for more than three days. Wurmser sent to request the French General, as he was about to cross the Po, to pass it at Mantua, which would save him much circuitous travelling over bad roads. He also wrote to him to express his obligations, and a few days after dispatched an aide-de-camp to Napoleon at Bologna to apprise him of a conspiracy to poison him, which was to be carried into effect in Romagna. This notice proved seasonable. General Serrurier presided at the

ceremony of the surrender of Mantua, and saw the old Marshal and the staff of his army file off before him, Napoleon being by that time in Romagna. The indifference with which he withdrew himself from the very flattering spectacle of a Marshal of great reputation, Generalissimo of the Austrian forces in Italy, delivering up his sword at the head of his staff, was remarked throughout Europe.\* The garrison of Mantua still amounted to 20,000, of whom 13,000 were capable of service. In the three blockades since the month of June, 27,000 soldiers had died in the hospitals or been killed in the different actions.

Joubert, who was born in the department of the Aisne, had studied for the bar; but at the Revolution he was induced to adopt the profession of arms. He was tall and thin, and naturally of a weak constitution, but he had strengthened his frame amidst fatigues, camps, and mountain warfare. He was intrepid, vigilant, and active. In November, 1796, he was made a General of Division to succeed Vaubois. He was much attached to Napoleon, who sent him to the Directory in November, 1797, with the colours taken by the army of Italy. In 1799 he engaged in the intrigues of Paris, and was appointed General-in-Chief of the army of Italy. He married the daughter of the Senator Semonville. He fell gloriously at the battle of Novi. He was still young, and had not acquired all the experience necessary; but his talents were such that he might have attained great military renown.

\* "Such self-denial did Napoleon as much credit nearly as his victory. His conduct towards Wurmser may be justly compared to that of the Black Prince to his royal prisoner, King John of France." *Scott*

## CHAPTER XII.

## TREATY OF TOLENTINO

Politics of the Vatican, war declared, advance of a French division on the Senio, fanatical enthusiasm of the people, proclamation of the invading army, the Papal troops routed, Faenza entered, Napoleon harangues, and then liberates his prisoners, the Pope's troops under Colli surrounded, and Loretto taken, Napoleon's proclamation in behalf of the exiled priesthood, treaty of Tolentino, Napoleon returns to Mantua

CARDINAL BUSCA had succeeded Cardinal Zelada in the situation of Secretary of State at Rome. He was avowedly hostile to the French, and wished to keep on the war by kindling the religious fanaticism of the Italians. A courier from the Cardinal to Monsignor Albani, the Roman *chargé d'affaires* at Vienna, was intercepted near La Mezzola on the 10th of January, 1797, from whose despatches the whole policy of the Vatican was disclosed. It appeared that the Pope was determined to break off the negotiations with France, that he had entered into a league with Austria, and that the Emperor had empowered General Colli to take the command of the troops that his Holiness was levying in Romagna. A courier was instantly dispatched to Caccault, the French minister, with orders to quit Rome. At the same time General Victor passed the Po at Borgo-Forte at the head of 4000 infantry and 600 horse, and joined the Italian division of 4000 men, commanded by General Lahoz at Bologna. Napoleon arrived here a few days after, and issued a manifesto, in which he accused the Papal Government of having violated the conditions of the armistice concluded at Bologna the preceding summer, and of having entered into an offensive alliance with

the court of Vienna The intercepted letters of Cardinal Busca were published in support of this manifesto They were also sent to Cardinal Mattei, who after having been confined three months in a seminary at Biescia had returned to Rome, and who kept up a correspondence with the General-in-Chief Through his means these papers were communicated to the Sacred College, who were thrown into some confusion by a perusal of them

On the 2nd of February, head-quarters were fixed at the Bishop's palace at Imola, belonging to Chiaramonte, afterwards Pius VII. On the 3rd the French troops reached Castel-Bolognese, and found the Pope's army on the opposite bank of the Senio, intending to dispute the passage of the bridge This army consisted of about 6000 or 7000 men, including regular soldiers and peasants collected by the ringing of the tocsin, commanded by monks, and wrought up to fanatical enthusiasm by preachers and missionaries They had eight pieces of cannon. The French had had a fatiguing day's march. As they were stationing their guard a flag of truce came up, and declared in a pompous manner on the part of his eminence the Lord Cardinal, as Commander-in-Chief, that *if the French army continued to advance he would fire upon it* This threat excited much laughter among the French soldiers, who replied that *they did not wish to expose themselves to the Cardinal's thunders, and that they were going to take up their quarters for the night* Cardinal Busca's hopes had, however, been fulfilled. All Romagna was in a flame, a holy war had been begun, the tocsin had been sounding incessantly for three days and the lowest class of the people was thrown into a state of delirium and frenzy. Prayers of forty hours, missions in public places, indulgences, and even miracles—every engine, in short, had been set at work with success Martyrs were bleeding in one place, Madonnas weeping in another, and everything foreboded a scene of tumult and confusion. Cardinal Busca had boasted to the

French minister that he would make a La Vendée of Romagna, of the mountains of Liguria, nay of all Italy. The following proclamation was on this occasion posted at Imola — "The French army is about to enter the territories of the Pope. It will be faithful to the maxims it professes, and will protect religion and the people. The French soldier bears in one hand the bayonet, the sure harbinger of victory; in the other, the olive-branch, the symbol of peace and the pledge of his protection. Woe to those who may be seduced by men of finished hypocrisy to draw upon their homes the vengeance of an army which has in six months made prisoners of 100,000 of the Emperor's best troops, taken 400 pieces of cannon, and 110 standards, and destroyed five armies." There was perhaps a little too much of a tone of gasconade in the latter part of this address for the occasion.

At four o'clock on the following morning, General Lannes with the van of the little French army marched a league and a half up the bank of the Senio, crossed it at a ford at daybreak, and drew up in line in the rear of the Pope's army, cutting it off from Faenza. General Lahoz, supported by a battery and covered by a cloud of skirmishers, passed the bridge in close column. The armed mob of the enemy was routed in an instant, artillery, baggage, and everything was taken. Four or five hundred men were put to the sword, a few monks (mostly mendicants) perished with their crucifixes in their hands, but the Cardinal-General escaped. The loss of the French was very trifling, they arrived before Faenza the same day. They found the gates shut, the tocsin sounded, the ramparts were lined with a few pieces of cannon, and the enraged populace assailed the besiegers with all sorts of abuse. When summoned to open the gates, they gave an insolent answer, and it became necessary to enter the town by main force. "This is the same thing that happened at Pavia," cried the soldiers, by way of demanding the pillage of the place. "No," replied



Napoleon ; "at Pavia they had revolted after taking an oath, and they wanted to massacre our soldiers who were their guests. These are only misled people, who must be subdued by clemency." In fact, a few convents only were attacked. The town was thus saved from devastation, and the next object was to calm the agitation and apprehensions of the people. The prisoners taken at the action of the Senio were collected at Faenza in a garden belonging to one of the convents. Their first terror had not yet subsided. At the approach of Napoleon they threw themselves on their knees, crying out for mercy. He addressed them in Italian, in these words : "I am the friend of all the nations of Italy, and particularly of the people of Rome. You are free. return to your families, and tell them that the French are the friends of religion, of order, and of the poor." The consternation of the prisoners now gave way to joy, and they abandoned themselves to the expression of their gratitude with all the liveliness that belongs to the Italian character. From the garden of the monastery Napoleon proceeded to the refectory, where he had caused the officers to be assembled, they amounted to several hundreds, and some of them belonged to the best families of Rome. He conversed with them a long time, talked of the liberty of Italy, the abuses of the Papal power, and the uselessness of resistance, and permitted them to go back to their homes, only requiring them in return for his lenity to make known his sentiments in favour of their countrymen. The prisoners proceeded to disperse themselves in the States of the Pope, loudly declaring the generous treatment they had met with, and carrying with them proclamations, which thus reached the remotest castles of the Apennines. The army in consequence found the people much more amicably disposed. Even the monks (with the exception of the mendicant friars) began to consider how much more they had to lose than to gain by resistance.

The French proceeded to overrun Romagna. Colli,

who commanded the Pope's troops, had taken up a good position on the heights before Ancona with the 3000 men he had left, but retired to Loretto as soon as the French army came in sight. General Victor sent a flag of truce to invite the enemy to surrender. During the parley, his troops outflanked them both on the right and the left, surrounded and took them prisoners, and entered the citadel of Loretto without firing a shot. The prisoners taken on this occasion were treated in the same manner as the former ones, that is, sent home with proclamations and a favourable report of the behaviour of the General-in-Chief towards them, which prepared the way for the reception of the French army. Ancona, though the only seaport between Venice and Brindisi, the extreme point of the eastern coast of Italy, had been much neglected, even frigates could not enter it. It was at this period that Napoleon perceived what was necessary for the improvement of the fortifications and the repairs of the harbour, which were afterwards executed during the kingdom of Italy, so that at present the port receives ships of all kinds, even three-deckers. The Jews, who were numerous at Ancona, as well as the Mahometans from Albania and Greece, had been subjected to humiliating customs and oppressive restraints, from which it was one of Napoleon's first cares to relieve them. In the meanwhile, the town's-people were running in crowds to prostrate themselves at the feet of a Madonna that was supposed to shed tears in abundance for the disasters of the country. Monge was sent to inquire into the circumstance, and the Madonna was brought to head-quarters, when it was found to be an optical illusion, ingeniously managed by means of a glass. The following day the Madonna was restored to its place in the church, but without the glass, and consequently without performing any wonders. One of the chaplains was arrested as the contriver of this imposture, which was considered as an insult to the army, and an offence against religion.

On the 10th the French army encamped at Loretto. This is a bishopric, and contains a magnificent convent. The church and buildings are sumptuous; and there are vast and well-furnished apartments for the treasures of the Madonna, and for the accommodation of the abbots, the chapter, and the pilgrims. In the church is the celebrated *Casa Santa*, the pretended residence of the Virgin at Nazareth, and said to be the very place in which she received the visit of the angel Gabriel. It is a little cabin ten or twelve yards square, in which is a Madonna placed on a tabernacle. The legend states that the angels carried it from Nazareth into Dalmatia, at the time when the infidels conquered Syria, and from thence across the Adriatic to the heights of Loretto. From all parts of Christendom pilgrims flocked to see the Madonna. Presents, diamonds, and jewels sent from every quarter formed her treasures, which amounted to several millions in value. The Court of Rome, on learning the approach of the French army, had the treasures of Loretto carefully packed up and placed in safety: property in gold and silver was, notwithstanding, left to the value of upwards of a million. The Madonna, or Lady of Loretto, was forwarded to Paris. It is a wooden statue, clumsily carved, which is so far a proof of its antiquity. It was to be seen for some years at the National Library. The First Consul restored it to the Pope at the time of the *Concordat*; and it has been since replaced in the *Casa Santa*.

It is to be remarked here that several thousand French priests, exiled from their country, had taken refuge in Italy. As the French army advanced in the Peninsula they fled into the Roman States, but they now found themselves without an asylum. Some had retired in time into Germany, Naples refused them shelter. The heads of the different convents in the States of the Pope, who were anxious to get rid of the burthen of feeding and maintaining them, made a pretext of the arrival of the army to turn their un-

fortunate guests out of doors, affecting to be apprehensive that their presence would draw down the vengeance of the victor on their heads. Napoleon published a proclamation, encouraging the priests, and ordering the convents, bishops, and different chapters to receive them and furnish them with everything necessary for their subsistence and comfort. He also commanded the army to look upon them as friends and fellow-countrymen, and to behave to them accordingly. As the army fell into the same sentiment, many interesting scenes were the consequence. Some of the soldiers found their former pastors again, and these unfortunate old men, banished many hundred miles from their native soil, received for the first time tokens of respect and affection from their countrymen, by whom they expected to be treated with the utmost harshness and indignity. Buonaparte, in reverting to this measure, speaks of it with considerable triumph, as exciting much talk in Europe, and as approved of by the Directory. If he was proud of it, on reflection, as an act of humanity and generosity towards those who were the objects of it, he was right, but if he speaks of it as a first step towards a reconciliation with men alike incapable of reason or gratitude, and as relying on any return from them, it was the commencement of "an Iliad of woes." It was a mistaken view of the nature of men and things. As well might he hold a parley with the sea, or take the sting out of the adder by a show of courtesy. As men, and for the moment, they may be touched by suffering or compassion, but the Church is an abstraction that knows no mortifying vicissitudes, that sheds no tears and owns no worldly obligations, nor are her votaries slow to throw away the crutch of humility which sustained them, and exchange it for the staff of power and spiritual dominion, which they grasp with redoubled rancour and cunning. See what this poor, persecuted, and compassionate race of men are doing at present in France, see what they do in

Spain You cannot cozen men 'out of purple pride and access to the ear of kings, by beggarly donations of rags and pity'

The greatest consternation now reigned in the Vatican Disastrous news arrived every hour The vanguard of the French army was already on the summit of the Apennines The officers and soldiers who had been taken prisoners and allowed to return home, gave a very different account of things from what had been expected, so that the friends of liberty ventured once more to show themselves, even within the walls of the city The members of the Sacred College began to think of providing for their own safety, and the horses were already put to the court-carriages to proceed to Naples, when the General of the Camaldolites arrived at the Vatican, and prostrated himself at the feet of the Holy Father. Napoleon in passing through Cesena had noticed this ecclesiastic, and knowing that Pius VI reposed great confidence in him, he had charged him to assure his Holiness that no harm was intended to him personally, that he might remain in Rome with safety, and had only to change his ministers and send plenipotentiaries to Tolentino to conclude a peace with the Republic The Pope agreed to these terms, dismissed Busca, countermanded his departure from Rome, and entrusted the direction of his cabinet and the conclusion of a peace to Cardinal Doria, who had been long distinguished for the liberality of his opinions The instructions from the Directory were, it is true, against any negotiation with Rome. They thought that an end should be put to the temporal power of the Pope, from whom neither moderation nor good faith could be expected, and that there could not be a better opportunity than the present; but the General-in-Chief was of opinion that this could not be done without at the same time overturning the throne of Naples, for which purpose an army of 20,000 or 25,000 men would be requisite;

and the measure was therefore laid aside as inconsistent with Buonaparte's favourite project of dictating peace under the walls of Vienna

The head-quarters of the French army were at Tolentino on the 13th of February, and the van was within three days' march of Rome. The Pope's Ministers-plenipotentiary, Cardinal Mattei, Monsignor Galeppi, the Duke of Braschi, and the Marquis Massini, arrived the same day, and the conferences began on the 14th. The basis having been settled, the treaty was soon concluded, the principal articles were, that the Pope renounced every offensive and defensive alliance with the powers at war with France; that he ceded the legations of Bologna, Ferrara, and Romagna to the Republic, allowing Ancona to be occupied by a French garrison till a general peace; that he was to cause his Minister at Paris to disavow the murder of Basseville, to re-establish the French school of art at Rome as before the Revolution, to make good all the indemnifications agreed upon in the armistice of Bologna, and to furnish an additional contribution of money and horses to the army. Buonaparte wished that the Court of Rome should undertake to suppress the Inquisition. But this point was given up as a particular favour to the Pope. It was represented that the Inquisition was no longer what it was, that it was little more than a tribunal of police, and that *auto-da-fés* no longer took place. But if it was at present reduced to a nonentity, why attach so much importance to it? If it was only a shadow, it was a terrible one, from which the mind shrunk with hatred and fear, why then keep up the forms of an obsolete power but as a receptacle for the spirit in case it should ever revive, or as a tacit justification and indirect avowal of all the horrors that had been committed under its sanction? The very name of the Inquisition is in itself an insult to common sense and humanity, from which all good and honest minds revolt. But by keeping up the

outward form, the imagination is familiarized with it, is taught to look upon it as harmless; the tendency, the pretensions of bigotry and fanaticism are still virtually acknowledged and kept in view by their adherents; and by always having the name ready, opportunity may not be wanting to restore the *thing*! Hence the tenaciousness with which its advocates uniformly adhere to every relic of arbitrary power, and hence the determination with which all such claims, grounded on their apparent insignificance, should be resisted. The whole science and study of social improvement may be reduced to watching the secret aim and rooted purpose of power, and in opposing it step by step and in exact proportion to the obstinacy of its struggles for existence. On the principle already stated, the French General did not accede to the wishes of the more sanguine patriots of the new Italian Republic to include Urbino and Macerata in its acquisitions, or extend its boundary to the frontiers of Naples, lest it should embroil the two governments in a war. Such were the apprehensions entertained by this Court on the subject, that Prince Pignatelli, its minister, followed the French staff from Bologna, resorting to the most contemptible expedients to satisfy his curiosity, and even playing the part of an eavesdropper at the door of council-chambers to gain secret information.

After the signature of the treaty of Tolentino, the General-in-Chief left the superintendence of its execution to General Victor. and dispatching Colonel Junot with a respectful letter to the Pope, returned to Mantua, which had now been a month in the power of the Republic, and was full of Austrian sick. While here, he eyed the fine frescoes of the War of the Titans by Titian in the palace *del T'* with admiration, but their removal was impossible. He had the fortifications repaired, and set out for Milan, where he found the public spirit highly favourable to his plans. At length the Directory roused from its

apathy, had sent six regiments of infantry and two of cavalry, under Bernadotte, from the army of the Sambre and Meuse, and an equal force from the army of the Rhine, under General Delmas, to reinforce the army of Italy. They had only just reached the foot of the Alps at the time of the battles of Rivoli and La Favorita and the surrender of Mantua, and it was not till his return from Tolentino that Napoleon reviewed these new troops. They were estimated at 30,000 men, but their actual strength did not exceed 19,000, in good condition and well disciplined. The army of Italy was henceforth equal to any enterprise, and to the enemy opposed to it.



LONDON .

SAVILL AND EDWARDS, PRINTERS, CHANCERY-STREET,  
COVENT-GARDEN